

Appendix A: Information Sources & Annotated Bibliography

Information sources and contact information

LCFRB website

Data sets are available in ESRI ArcMap format. Versions that are compatible with Google Earth can be provided upon request.

- Habitat action polygons
- Landscape Unit polygons
- Historical fish presence geodatabase
- EDT streamlines
- IWA subwatershed polygons
- Contact details for Lewis County GIS data (culverts, levees, parcels, etc.), as they require data requests
- Contact details for US Forest Service Randle Station, because many data sets were draft/unpublished documents provided by work group member Ken Wieman. Published data sets are included on the LCFRB webpage.

DNR's Washington Lidar Portal

- Lidar data sets
- Tacoma Power Utilities

Tacoma Power's Habitat Data

- Geomorphic assessment
- Hydraulic model

Tacoma Power's Hydropower Management and Monitoring Data

Current information can be found on the Fisheries Technical Committee website.

Overview Summaries of information Sources

The following information source summaries were compiled at the outset of this planning effort and may not represent the entire suite of available information for the Upper Cowlitz Cispus basin.

Fish Population Information

Fish population information includes: Fish movement data (Kock et al. 2016; Kock et al. 2015; Kock et al. 2012; Liedtke et al. 2009; Liedtke et al. 2010; Liedtke et al. 2018; TP2004; TP 201); Fish distribution (Statewide Washington Integrated Fish Distribution data, USFS fish distribution data); stream habitat surveys (McIntosh et al. 1990, USFS Level 2 Stream Inventories); and EDT (MBI 1999).

Available fish information, including basic population biology and life history is substantial. While reliable data from the previous 40 years do not exist at a scale and comprehensiveness to directly inform a basin-wide habitat strategy, they do provide important background and life history information for the focal fish populations.

Adult tracking reports are available from USGS efforts in the upper basin (Kock et al. 2016). These provide useful information regarding distributions and usage of upper basin reaches. However, the results bear the important caveat that these adult fish have been released at point locations, after being trapped and hauled around mainstem dams. Thus, it would be erroneous to infer that these tracking data represent wholly volitional behaviors.

USGS has conducted a body of work describing downstream juvenile movements as they approach and interact with passage structures at Cowlitz Falls Dam (Kock et al. 2015; Kock et al. 2012; Liedtke et al. 2009; Liedtke et al. 2010; Liedtke et al. 2018). These provide an important record of juvenile fish behaviors at both a coarse (e.g., reservoir wide) and fine (e.g., interacting with collectors) scale.

Both Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW, Henning 2010) and a team of researchers including University of Washington, US Geological Society (USGS), WDFW, and Pacific Northwest National Lab (PNNL) (Klett et al. 2013) conducted spawning surveys in the lower Cowlitz. Henning (2010) also reported results of a juvenile-to-adult survival study in the lower basin. These sources provide regionally relevant information, but unfortunately do not specifically address the upper basin.

McIntosh et al. (1990) compiled summary reports of stream habitat surveys conducted during 1938-1942. This compilation appears to be relatively comprehensive, and serves as a good benchmark reference for basin-wide condition before recent development. Substantial habitat degradation already occurred by the time these surveys were completed, so these data do not represent a true “before” state that should be accounted as a reference benchmark.

Two FHMP documents published by Tacoma Power (TP 2004; TP 2011) also contain important summaries and syntheses of background fish ecology and life history data within the basin, including basinwide estimates of production and a summary of identified limiting habitat factors.

The US Forest Service has a host of information on fish populations, including information in Watershed Analysis reports, fish distribution databases, barrier databases, and others.

Physical Habitat Information

Physical processes as they relate to habitat condition and limiting factors are described in: LCFRB (2010) (IWA and other elements), Geoengineers (2003), Cardno (2014), Herrera (2004), USFS Watershed Analysis reports, and others.

Similar to the findings regarding fish data discussed above, physical process and habitat data are either very general, discussing the watershed and sub-basins as a whole, or very specific, intensely studied short reaches within the study area.

A few reaches within the project area have been studied intensely. Herrera (2004) examined erosion issues associated with the 1996 flood event in the Cispus River. They also identified habitat limiting factors that include blocked passage, reduced wood loading, and sedimentation. The 2006 flood event caused a large channel avulsion on the Muddy Fork of the Cowlitz, above Packwood. NRCS (2009) reports on hydrologic, hydraulic, and geomorphic analyses performed to understand channel dynamics and risk resulting from the avulsion for 6.5 miles of channel that includes a portion of the mainstem Cowlitz. GeoEngineers provided a geomorphic analysis and channel migration zone delineation for the upper mainstem Cowlitz from Lake Scanewa to the Muddy Fork confluence.

The USFS has performed Watershed Analysis for many of the watersheds within National Forest Lands within the upper Cowlitz and Cispus. This is from Ken Wieman (USFS, personal communication 2019): “As a component of the NWFP Aquatic Conservation Strategy, all HUC 5 have both a preliminary and a v.2 edition of analysis...These documents are interdisciplinary and comprehensive and provide good summary of Historic Conditions, Current Conditions, and Desired Future Conditions. Not only aquatic conditions but also an account of fire and other resources.”

The USFS has performed Level 2 Stream Inventories on most of the Wadeable streams across the National Forest. These contain information on aquatic habitat conditions, stream substrate, and riparian conditions. The USFS has also performed stream temperature monitoring throughout the National Forest.

Limiting Factors to Focal Populations

Data on limiting factors is from the following sources: EDT model (Wade, 2000) and Limiting Factors Analysis (MBI 1999). Future steps include evaluating hydrologic conditions during critical life stages, and habitat use. If possible, we will tie limiting factors to specific reaches or subbasin areas. We plan to use limiting factors identified in existing sources, and supplement as appropriate with data generated in this project.

An important element of the Habitat Strategy is identifying and prioritizing areas and actions that support the focal population recovery.

Two previous Limiting Factors Analyses (LFAs) were conducted in the Cowlitz, one included with the EDT analysis from 1999 (MBI 1999), and the Wade LFA from 2000. Although these analyses are now nearly twenty years old, reviewing and including findings and conclusions associated with these LFAs can provide meaningful insights regarding salmon and steelhead population bottlenecks/limiting factors and associated watershed processes.

Mobrand and others completed the EDT for the Cowlitz in 1999 (MBI 1999). This effort included 20 stakeholder meetings that were brokered by MBI, and generated a comprehensive document that diagnoses conditions, including limiting factors, and develops prescriptions for addressing these limiting factors. Along with other Lower Columbia watersheds, EDT results and prescriptions for the Cowlitz are summarized in the LCRFB Recovery Plan (LCFRB 2010a).

In addition, an Integrated Watershed Assessment (IWA) was completed during Recovery Plan development (LCFRB 2010b). This IWA relies upon GIS and remotely sensed data to evaluate sediment, flow and riparian processes associated with the habitat conditions that are evaluated by the Wade LFA and EDT.

US Forest Service Watershed Analysis studies, and other various USFS reports, provide information on fish population limiting factors.

Past Projects in the Basins

Past project information includes that captured in the following references: SalmonPORT website, Cardno (2014), Herrera (2004), NRCS (2009). Next steps include incorporating this project information into the reach description table (TM2, Table 2), identifying additional sources of past project information (eg USFS, Cowlitz Indian Tribe), and incorporating projects/lessons learned into the Habitat Strategy if applicable.

Information on past work exists in several formats, ranging from academic papers discussing the merits of individual projects to spatial databases of project locations. SalmonPORT, an online database managed by the LCFRB, includes basic location and project summary data for recently completed restoration work. Herrera (2004) and NRCS (2009) provide concept-level project information and alternatives for their respective study areas. Abbe et al. (1997) discuss the construction of engineered log jams to provide bank stability and also offer suggestions on future strategies. The USFS Watershed Improvement Tracking (WIT) spatial dataset includes project types and locations but has limited site-specific information. The dataset covers the entire Upper Cowlitz but is a work in progress. Cardno (2014) describes an approach for protection projects.

The US Forest Service has a long history of restoration planning and project implementation on streams and hillslope areas within the National Forest. The information on these efforts is contained in numerous USFS documents.

Relevant Literature

- Abbe, T.B., D.R. Montgomery, and C. Petroff. 1997. Design of stable in-channel wood debris structures for bank protection and habitat restoration: An example from the Cowlitz River, WA. Conference on Management of Landscapes Disturbed by Channel Incision. S. S. Y. Wang, E. J. Langendoen and F. D. Shields, Jr. University of Mississippi. Oxford, MS: 809-814.
- Allee, Brian. 1993. Cowlitz Falls Project Fisheries Management Plan: Anadromous Fish Reintroduction Program. Bonneville Power Administration. MZ5775.
- Angilletta, M. J., E.A. Steel, K.K. Bartz, J.G. Kingsolver, M.D. Scheuerell, B.R. Beckman, and L.G. Crozier. 2008. Big dams and salmon evolution: Changes in thermal regimes and their potential evolutionary consequences." *Evolutionary Applications* 1(2): 286-299.
- Bauersfeld, K. 1978. Stranding of juvenile salmon by flow reductions at Mayfield Dam on the Cowlitz River 1976. State of Washington Department of fisheries. Technical Report No. 36. MZ 5050.
- Beechie TJ, Bolton S. 1999. An approach to restoring salmonid habitatforming processes in Pacific Northwest watersheds. *Fisheries* 24: 6–15.
- Beechie, T.J. and H. Imaki. 2014. Predicting natural channel patterns based on landscape and geomorphic controls in the Columbia River basin, USA." *Water Resources Research* 50(1): 39-57.
- Beechie, TJ, Sear, DA, Olden, JD, Pess, GR, Buffington, JM, Moir, H, Roni, P, Pollock, MM. 2010. Process-based Principles for Restoring River Ecosystems. *BioScience* 60: 209–222.
- Beechie, T.J., G. Pess, and P. Roni. 2008. Setting River Restoration Priorities: a Review of Approaches and a General Protocol for Identifying and Prioritizing Actions. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 28:891–905, 2008.
- Beechie, T.J., D.A. Sear, J.D. Olden, G.R. Pess, J.M. Buffington, H. Moir, P. Roni, and M.M. Pollock. 2010. Process-based principles for restoring river ecosystems. *BioScience*, 60(3), pp.209-222.
- Bond, M., T. Nodine, T. Beechie, R. Zabel, and C. Jordan. 2016. Estimating spring Chinook habitat capacity in the Columbia River basin (Powerpoint Presentation). Seattle, WA, United States Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Northwest Fisheries Science Center.
- Brierley, GJ, Fryirs, KA. 2005. *Geomorphology and River Management: Applications of the River Styles Framework*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Bryant, M.D. 1984. The role of beaver dams as coho salmon habitat in southeast Alaska streams, p. 183-192 In J.M. Walton, and D.B. Houston [ed.] *Proceedings of the Olympic Wild Fish Conference*, March 23-25, 1983, Port Angeles, WA.
- Bustard, D.R. and D.W. Narver. 1975. Aspects of the winter ecology of juvenile coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and steelhead trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 32: 667-680.

- Cardno Entrix. 2014. Upper Cowlitz River Basin Reach Characterization Project – Identifying River Reaches Suitable for Protection. Prepared for Tacoma Power.
- City of Tacoma. 2004. Cowlitz Hydroelectric Project FERC No. 2016. Fish Habitat Fund Allocation Plan for the Lower Cowlitz.
- Crandall, DR, and Miller, RD. 1974. Quaternary Stratigraphy and Extend of Glaciation in the Mount Rainier Region, Washington. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY PROFESSIONAL PAPER 847. US Department of the Interior.
- Collins, B.D., D.R. Montgomery, K.L. Fetherston, and T.B. Abbe. 2012. The Floodplain Large-Wood Cycle Hypothesis: A Mechanism for the Physical and Biotic Structuring of Temperate Forested Alluvial Valleys in the North Pacific Coastal Ecoregion. *Geomorphology*. s 139–140. 460–470.
- Dammers, W., Foster, P., Kohn, M., Morrill, C., Serl, J., & Wade, G. 2002. Draft Cowlitz River Subbasin Summary. Prepared for the Northwest Power Planning Council.
- Dethier, D.P. 1988. Chapter F: The soil chronosequence along the Cowlitz River, Washington. *USGS Bulletin* 1590-F.
- Dube, Kathy; Shelly, Alice; Black, Jenelle; Kuzis, Karen. 2010. Washington Road Sub-Basin Scale Effectiveness Monitoring First Sampling Event (2006-2008) Report. https://www.dnr.wa.gov/publications/fp_cmer_08_801.pdf?c8t0p8
- Easterbrooks, J.A. 1980. Salmon production potential evaluation for the Cowlitz River system upstream of the Cowlitz Falls Dam site. State of Washington Department of Fisheries.
- Everest, F. H. and D. Chapman. 1972. Habitat selection and spatial interaction by juvenile Chinook salmon and steelhead trout in two Idaho streams. *Journal of the Fisheries Board of Canada*, 29, 91–100.
- Friends of the Cowlitz. 1992. Project genesis: The rebirth of the Cowlitz Basin.
- GeoEngineers. 2003. Geomorphic Evaluation and Channel Migration Zone Analysis for the Upper Cowlitz River and Rainey Creek. Lewis County, Washington. Prepared for Lewis County Public Works.
- GeoEngineers. 2007. Upper Cowlitz River Preliminary Field Reconnaissance and Channel Migration Hazard Assessment. Lewis County, Washington. Prepared for Lewis County Public Works.
- GeoEngineers. 2009. Geomorphic Evaluation and Channel Migration Zone Analysis – Addendum, for the Cowlitz River, near Packwood and Randle, Lewis County, Washington. Prepared for Lewis County Public Works.
- Gleizes, C., J. Serl, M. Zimmerman, B. Glaser, and W. Dammers. 2014. Lower Cowlitz River Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013. Vancouver, WA, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fish Program. FPA 14-10.

- Hager, R. C. and C. W. Hopley, Jr. 1981. A Comparison of the Effect of Adult Return Timing of Cowlitz and Toutle Hatchery Coho on Catch and Escapement. Technical Report No. 58, State of Washington Department of Fisheries.
- Harza Northwest. 1997. A review of historical fisheries and water quality conditions in the Upper Cowlitz River basin, draft.
- Harza. 1995. Juvenile Coho monitoring study in tributaries to the Upper Cowlitz River. Unpublished report prepared for BPA.
- Healy, M.C. 1991. Life History of Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). In C. Groot & L. Margolis (Eds.), *Pacific Salmon Life Histories* (pp. 313–393). Nanaimo, British Columbia: UBC Press.
- Henning, J.A. 2010. Cowlitz River Evaluation Program: Annual Report for 2009. Olympia, WA, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fish Program, Fish Management Division. FPA 10-02.
- Herrera. 2004. Reach Analysis and Erosion Hazard Management Plan: Cispus River from River Mile 12.3 (Greenhorn Creek) to River Mile 17.6 (Cispus Road Bridge). Prepared for Lewis County Public Works
- Herrera. 2003. Lower Cispus Watershed Analysis.
- Hillman, T.W. and M.D. Miller. 1989. Seasonal Habitat Use and Behavioral Interaction of Juvenile Chinook Salmon and Steelhead. In *Summer and winter ecology of juvenile chinook salmon And steelhead trout in the Wenatchee River, Washington* (Final repo, p. 40). Wenatchee, WA: Don Chapman Consultants, Inc.
- HSRG. 2009. Hatchery Scientific Review Group Review and Recommendations: Cowlitz River Coho Population and Related Hatchery Programs. Seattle, WA, United States Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Hatchery Scientific Review Group.
- Klett, K. J. C., C. E. Torgersen, J. A. Henning, and C. J. Murray. 2013. Spatial consistency of Chinook salmon redd distribution within and among years in the Cowlitz River, Washington. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 33(3): 508-518.
- Kock, T. J., T.L. Liedtke, D.W. Rondorf, J.D. Serl, M. Kohn, and K. Bumbaco. 2012. Elevated streamflows increase dam passage by juvenile coho salmon during winter: Implications of climate change in the Pacific Northwest. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 32(6): 1070-1079.
- Kock, T. J., T.L. Liedtke, B.K. Ekstrom, and W.R. Hurst. 2015. Evaluation of Two Juvenile Salmon Collection Devices at Cowlitz Falls Dam, Washington, 2014. Reston, VA, Prepared by: United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. Prepared in cooperation with: Lewis County Public Utility District, Washington. Open-File Report 2015–1054.
- Kock, T.J., B.K. Ekstrom, T.L. Liedtke, J.D. Serl, M. Kohn. 2016. Behavior patterns and fates of adult steelhead, Chinook salmon, and coho salmon released into the upper Cowlitz River Basin,

- Washington, 2005–09 and 2012: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2016-1144, 36 p., <http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20161144>.
- LaRiviere, Mark and Craig Garrick. 1996. History of anadromous fish passage at the Cowlitz Hydroelectric Project, Cowlitz River, Washington. Cowlitz Hydroelectric Project. City of Tacoma Dept of Public Utilities Light Division and Harza Northwest Inc.
- Lewis County. 2002. Comprehensive Plan
- Lewis County. 2009. Channel Migration Zone Study
- Lewis County. 2017. Shoreline Master Program: Environment Designations, Policies, & Regulations. Ecology Grant: G1200468. Lewis County, Washington.
- Liedtke, T. L., T.J. Koch, B.K. Ekstrom, and D.W. Rondorf. 2009. Behavior and Passage of Juvenile Salmonids during the Evaluation of a Fish Screen at Cowlitz Falls Dam, Washington, 2008, Prepared by: United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Western Fisheries Research Center, Columbia River Research Laboratory (Cook, WA). Prepared for: Tacoma Power (Tacoma, WA). Tacoma Power Report Series 2009-01.
- Liedtke, T. L., T.J. Koch, B.K. Ekstrom, I.D. Royer, and D.W. Rondorf. 2010. Juvenile Salmonid Collection Efforts in the Upper Cowlitz River Basin: 2009 Evaluations, Prepared by: United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Western Fisheries Research Center, Columbia River Research Laboratory (Cook, WA). Prepared for: Tacoma Power (Tacoma, WA). Tacoma Power Report Series 2010-01.
- Liedtke, T. L., T.J. Koch, and W.R. Hurst. 2018. Passage survival of juvenile steelhead, coho salmon, and Chinook salmon in Lake Scanewa and at Cowlitz Falls Dam, Cowlitz River, Washington, 2010–16. Open-File Report. Reston, VA, Prepared by: United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. Prepared in cooperation with: Lewis County Public Utility District, Washington. Open-File Report 2018–1050: 56.
- Liedtke, T.L., T.J. Kock, B.K. Ekstrom, R.G. Tomka, and D.W. Rondorf. 2010. Evaluation of Angler Effort and Harvest of Rainbow Trout (*Onchorhynchus mykiss*), Lake Scanewa, Washington. USGS. Open-File Report 2011–1178.
- Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board (LCFRB). 2010. Upper Cowlitz Subbasin. Found in Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish & Wildlife Subbasin Plan, Vol.2, Ch. F.
- Manlow, S. and A. Andrews. 2008. Grays-Elochoman & Cowlitz Detailed Implementation Plan WRIA 25 and 26. WA Department of Ecology. Lead Agency – Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board.
- Mastin, M.C., Konrad, C.P., Veilleux, A.G., and Tecca, A.E., 2016, Magnitude, frequency, and trends of floods at gaged and ungaged sites in Washington, based on data through water year 2014 (ver 1.2, November 2017): U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2016–5118, 70 p

- McIntosh, B., S. Clarke, and J. Sedell. 1990. Bureau of Fisheries Stream Habitat Surveys: Cowlitz River Basin, Summary Report 1934-1942. Portland, OR, Bonneville Power Administration. DOE/BP-02246-4.
- McMahon, T.E. and G.F. Hartman. 1989. Influence of cover complexity and current velocity on winter habitat use by juvenile coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 46: 1551-1557.
- Myers, J., C. Busack, D. Rawding, A. Marshall, D. Teel, D.M. Van Doornik, and M.T. Maher. 2006. Historical population structure of Pacific salmonids in the Willamette River and lower Columbia River basins. U.S. Dept. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-73, 311 p.
- Miller, Stephanie A.; Gordon, Sean N.; Eldred, Peter; Beloin, Ronald M.; Wilcox, Steve; Raggon, Mark; Andersen, Heidi; Muldoon, Ariel. 2017. Northwest Forest Plan—the first 20 years (1994–2013): watershed condition status and trends. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-932. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 74 p.
- Mossyrock Grange Historical Committee (MGHC). 1976. Memories from Family Albums of School District #206. Centralia, WA, Compiled by: Mossyrock Grange #355 Historical Committee.
- Mobrand Biometrics, Inc. 1999. Application of the Ecosystem Diagnosis and Treatment Method (EDT) to Analyze Fish Resources in the Cowlitz Watershed in Support of FERC Relicensing Process. Prepared for Resource Planning Group of the Cowlitz River FERC Relicensing Process.
- Montgomery, D. R. 1999. Process domains and the river continuum. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*. Vol. 35 No. 2.
- Montgomery, D. R. and J. M. Buffington. 1997. Channel-reach morphology in mountain drainage basins. *GSA Bulletin*; May 1997; v. 109; no. 5; p. 596–611
- Moyle P. 2002. Salmon and Trout, Salmonidae - Chinook Salmon, (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in *Inland Fishes of California*. Los Angeles, California: University of California Press, 251-263.
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2016. 2016 5-Year Review: Summary & Evaluation of Lower Columbia River Chinook Salmon, Columbia River Chum Salmon, Lower Columbia River Coho Salmon, Lower Columbia River Steelhead. National Marine Fisheries Service, Portland, Oregon.
- Natural Systems Design (NSD). 2019. Preliminary Geomorphic Assessment Memo – Cowlitz River Geomorphic Assessment. Memo submitted to Tacoma Power, February 7, 2019.
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2009. Upper Cowlitz River FINAL Flood Hazard Risk Assessment Report. Prepared by TetraTech.
- Perry, R.W., T. J. Kock, M. A. Kritter, and D. W. Rondorf. 2006. Migration behavior and dispersal of adult spring Chinook salmon released into Lake Scanewa on the upper Cowlitz River during 2005. U.S. Geological Survey. Final Report to Lewis County Public Utility District, Chehalis, Washington.
- Pringle, P. 2008. Roadside geology of Mount Rainier National Park and vicinity. Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources, Information Circular 107.

- Quinn T. 2005. The Behavior and Ecology of Pacific Salmon and Trout. American Fisheries Society in Association with University of Washington Press. Seattle, WA.
- Rawding, D., B. Glaser, and T. Buehrens. 2014. Lower Columbia River Fisheries and Escapement Evaluation in Southwest Washington, 2010. Vancouver, WA, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fish Program. FPT 14-10.
- Riedel, J. and S. Dorsch. 2016. Geomorphology of Mount Rainier: Landform mapping at Mount Rainier National Park, Washington. Natural Resource Report NPS/MORA/NRR—2016/1234. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Roni, P, Beechie, TJ, Bilby, RE, Leonetti, FE, Pollock, MM, Pess, GR. 2002. A Review of Stream Restoration Techniques and a Hierarchical Strategy for Prioritizing Restoration in Pacific Northwest Watersheds. North American Journal of Fisheries Management. Volume 22.
- Roni, P, K Hanson, T Beechie. 2008. Global review of the physical and biological effectiveness of stream habitat rehabilitation techniques. North American Journal of Fisheries Management.
- Roni, P, Pess, G, Beechie, T. 2013. Fish-Habitat Relationships & Effectiveness of Habitat Restoration. NOAA Fisheries.
- Schuett-Hames, D; Roorbach, A; and Conrad, R. 2012. Results of the Westside Type N buffer characteristics, integrity and function study final report. Cooperative Monitoring, Evaluation, and Research Report, CMER 12-1201. Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia, WA.
- Stober, Q.J. 1986. Reintroduction of anadromous fish runs to the Tilton and Upper Cowlitz Rivers. Final Report for Washington State Department of Fisheries and Department of Game. FRI-UW-8602.
- State-Wide Integrated Fish Distribution (SWIFD). 2019. Washington Geospatial Open Data Portal gispublic.dfw.wa.gov.
- StreamStats. 2019. StreamStats: Streamflow Statistics and Spatial Analysis Tools for Water-Resources Applications. United States Geological Survey. <https://streamstats.usgs.gov/ss/>.
- Swales, S. and C.D. Levings. 1989. Role of off-channel ponds in the life cycle of coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and other juvenile salmonids in the Coldwater River, British Columbia. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 46: 232-242.
- Tacoma Power (TP). 2004. Cowlitz River Fisheries and Hatchery Management Plan, Final. Tacoma, WA, Tacoma Power.
- Tacoma Power (TP). 2011. Cowlitz River Project FERC No. 2016: Fisheries and Hatchery Management Plan Update, Final. Tacoma, WA, Tacoma Power.
- Trotter, P.C., R.N. Williams, and M. Jaworski. 1995. Genetic profile of Upper-Cowlitz resident trout populations and comparison with currently used hatchery stocks of rainbow trout, steelhead, and searun cutthroat trout. Part 1 – Rainbow trout and steelhead. Technical Advisory Committee, Cowlitz falls project.

- U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 1995. Gifford Pinchot Land Management Plan, Amendment 11, Update #2. Gifford Pinchot National Forest.
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS) 1997. Upper Cowlitz watershed analysis. Gifford Pinchot National Forest, Packwood and Randle Ranger Districts. July 1, 1997.
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 1996. Lower Cispus West watershed analysis. Randle Ranger District, Gifford Pinchot National Forest. March, 1996.
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 1996. Lower Cispus east watershed analysis. Gifford Pinchot National Forest, Randle Ranger District. April, 1996.
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 1997. Middle Cowlitz watershed analysis. Gifford Pinchot National Forest, North Skills Center.
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 2001. Upper Cispus Watershed Analysis. Gifford Pinchot National Forest. Report. Cispus River.
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS). Upper Cowlitz and Cispus Level 2 Habitat Assessment – Reporting
- U.S. Forest Service (USFS) and Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 1994. Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl.
- Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). 2015. Lower Columbia Conservation and Sustainable Fisheries Plan.
- Washington Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) 2018. Rain on Snow. Washington Geospatial Open Data Portal. gis.dnr.wa.gov.
- Washington Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). 2018. Forest Practices HCP Annual Report. Forest Practices Program, Forest Practices Division.
- Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources (WA DGER). 2016. Surface geology, 1:100,000--GIS data, November 2016: Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources Digital Data Series DS-18, version 3.1, previously released June 2010.
- Wieman, Ken. 2019. Personal communication. October 21, 2019 via phone call and email.
- Western Regional Climate Center (WRCC). 2019. WRCC for Packwood, WA Station (#456262) <https://wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?wa6262>.
- Wydoski, R. S. and R. R. Whitney. 2003. Inland fishes of Washington. Bethesda, MD: American Fisheries Society.

Annotated Bibliography

This annotated bibliography was developed at the outset of this planning effort and only contains bibliographic entries for a subset of the entire list of relevant references included previously.

Abbe, T. B., et al. (1997). Design of stable in-channel wood debris structures for bank protection and habitat restoration: An example from the Cowlitz River, WA. Conference on Management of Landscapes Disturbed by Channel Incision. S. S. Y. Wang, E. J. Langendoen and F. D. Shields, Jr. University of Mississippi. Oxford, MS: 809-814.

Early ELJ project by Abbe on the upper Cowlitz. Appears to have been relatively successful at trapping LW recruited during subsequent 20Y flood event. Project was hampered by adjacent landowner refusal to cooperate.

Allee, Brian. 1993. Cowlitz Falls Project Fisheries Management Plan: Anadromous Fish Reintroduction Program. Bonneville Power Administration. MZ5775.

This report discusses the anadromous fish reintroduction plan for the Upper Cowlitz. Five alternatives are discussed regarding how to facilitate spawning and release above and below the dams. When the juveniles head downstream, the plan is to trap and truck them to locations below the dams. The document outlines the need for a limiting factors analysis of the Cowlitz, Cispus, and tributaries in order to identify areas that could benefit from restoration. Species of initial focus are N-type coho, spring Chinook, late winter run steelhead. The project will be funded by BPA until 2032.

Angilletta, M. J., et al. (2008). "Big dams and salmon evolution: Changes in thermal regimes and their potential evolutionary consequences." *Evolutionary Applications* 1(2): 286-299.

Exploration/evaluation of potential impacts to developing salmon from large river dams, including Mayfield on the Cowlitz. Work focused on modeling temperature effects and the impacts on salmon life histories.

Bauersfeld, K. 1978. Stranding of juvenile salmon by flow reductions at Mayfield Dam on the Cowlitz River 1976. State of Washington Department of fisheries. Technical Report No. 36. MZ 5050.

This study analyzed fish mortality in response to stranding from dam operations below Mayfield Dam. Test flow reductions, fish counts, and extrapolation based on airphoto analysis suggests significant mortality. Recommendations to reduce stranding are provided and include minimum flow limits and additional testing to determine ramping rates.

Beechie, T. and H. Imaki (2014). "Predicting natural channel patterns based on landscape and geomorphic controls in the Columbia River basin, USA." *Water Resources Research* 50(1): 39-57.

Multivariate model to predict natural channel patterns for 200m reaches of mid order and larger channels (BFW >8 m) with moderate to wide floodplains (confinement ratio >4), throughout the CRB. Method relies upon readily available geospatial datasets. Authors develop predictions of four channel patterns (straight, meandering, anabranching, braided) with 82% accuracy.

Bond, M., et al. (2016). Estimating spring Chinook habitat capacity in the Columbia River basin (Powerpoint Presentation). Seattle, WA, United States Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Northwest Fisheries Science Center.

This presentation describes ongoing efforts to scale up (expand) estimates of rearing capacity. Method builds on Beechie & Imaki (2014) channel typing, estimating habitat area from type, then applying known fish densities to areas of each habitat type. This approach would enable estimates of historical and predicted capacity, and could help both locate important sites and set benchmark targets. This version of talk contains reference values for scaling up channel length and width to habitat areas, and fish densities in each of mainstem (bank, bar, mid-channel) and side-channel habitat.

Cardno Entrix. 2014. Upper Cowlitz River Basin Reach Characterization Project – Identifying River Reaches Suitable for Protection. Prepared for Tacoma Power.

This effort was an evaluation/analysis to identify reaches in Upper Cowlitz for protection. Importantly, "examination did not reveal any preexisting information at a suitable reach scale that credibly characterized geomorphic conditions or the spatial distribution and nature of habitats suitable to support native salmon reintroduction. An Ecosystem Diagnostic and Treatment (EDT) analysis completed in 1999 (Mobrand Biometrics, Inc.) did offer some coarser grained characterization of conditions, but it is unclear if these were based on actual field data or simply expert opinion, as we were unable to find data to support the EDT conclusions." Generally found a "current lack of empirical data on which to base advancing restoration projects." Document also contains list of sources compiled during "extensive review of existing data and reports that describe environmental conditions and highlight key factors limiting salmonid productivity in Project Area." Key limiting factors identified generally stem from dam construction and land use practices, and include blocked passage, sediment loading, and low large wood recruitment.

City of Tacoma. 2004. Cowlitz Hydroelectric Project FERC No. 2016. Fish Habitat Fund Allocation Plan for the Lower Cowlitz.

This document details the planned use of the fish habitat fund, with objectives that include process and protocols for funding prioritization, selection, and application.

Collins, B.D., & Montgomery, D.R., Fetherston, K.L., & Abbe, T.B. (2012). The Floodplain Large-Wood Cycle Hypothesis: A Mechanism for the Physical and Biotic Structuring of Temperate Forested Alluvial Valleys in the North Pacific Coastal Ecoregion. *Geomorphology*. s 139-140. 460-470.

This is the foundational paper on the floodplain large-wood cycle hypothesis for the North Pacific Coastal Ecoregion. LiDAR was used to examine topography and forest canopy heights. The Upper Cowlitz (upstream of Packwood) is used as an example of how logging, and specifically the removal of larger logs, triggered a doubling of channel width and overall reduction in channel complexity. It is implied that channel migration rates are also increased as a result.

Dammers, W., Foster, P., Kohn, M., Morrill, C., Serl, J., & Wade, G. 2002. Draft Cowlitz River Subbasin Summary. Prepared for the Northwest Power Planning Council.

This summary document provides overviews of the physical characteristics of the Cowlitz watershed, including disturbance and hydrologic regimes. Historical and current information on fish populations are summarized, as are past re-introduction efforts. The limiting factors identified in the EDT model are summarized and goals of the present subbasin management presented.

Dethier, DP. 1988. Chapter F: The soil chronosequence along the Cowlitz River, Washington. USGS Bulletin 1590-F.

This report documents the development of a soil chronosequence along the Cowlitz River. Soils range from thick to thin and are underlain by glacial outwash deposits. Various physical and chemical properties show systematic changes in soil properties over time. Background geological information that may be useful for hydrological assessments, sediment studies/budgets, etc.

Easterbrooks, J.A. 1980. Salmon production potential evaluation for the Cowlitz River system upstream of the Cowlitz Falls Dam site. State of Washington Department of Fisheries.

This study was part of the larger feasibility study for hydropower production at Cowlitz Falls dam site. The goal was to provide an estimate of salmon production potential upstream of the dam site. Methods included nine days of fieldwork on the mainstem Cowlitz, Cispus and tributaries. Information was collected on discharge, grain size, riparian vegetation characteristics, stream stability assessments, rearing habitat, and the presence of fish. Maximum annual smolt production estimates were developed. The study concluded that there was great potential to produce salmon smolts, but the inability to successfully collect juveniles is a fundamental limitation. The report presents a prioritized list of streams for stocking efforts.

Friends of the Cowlitz. 1992. Project genesis: The rebirth of the Cowlitz Basin.

This letter outlines enhancement goals, management goals, and minimum actions required to meet those goals for re-building populations of native fish to pre-dam levels. The document contains fish number targets for populations sizes, escapement, and harvest.

GeoEngineers. 2003. Report Geomorphic Evaluation and Channel Migration Zone Analysis for the Upper Cowlitz River and Rainey Creek. Lewis County, Washington. Prepared for Lewis County Public Works.

This effort to delineate the Channel Migration Zones (CMZ) and identify migration potential areas was initiated as part of Comprehensive Flood Hazard Management Plan (CFHMP) for the Upper Cowlitz, which called for an understanding of the relationship between property damage and bank erosion. The study covers approximately 40 river miles of Upper Cowlitz, from Lake Scanewa to Lewis County Northern boundary (RM126.7) and also includes Rainey Creek. Methods included site visits and historical data review. The study mapped out migration types per reach and delineated a CMZ with a 100-year planning window. Migration Potential Areas (MPA) were given severity ratings, identifying the highest hazard areas.

Gleizes, C., et al. (2014). Lower Cowlitz River Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013. Vancouver, WA, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fish Program. FPA 14-10.

Results from the first year of the Lower Cowlitz (below Mayfield) M&E plan, including spawner abundance and composition, hatchery returns and composition, and fishery impacts on populations of winter

steelhead, coho, and spring and fall Chinook in lower river. Provides regional information regarding life history, phenology, etc.

Hager, R. C. and C. W. Hopley, Jr (1981). A Comparison of the Effect of Adult Return Timing of Cowlitz and Toutle Hatchery Coho on Catch and Escapement. Technical Report No. 58, State of Washington Department of Fisheries.

WDFW report describing effects of Cowlitz coho run timing on ocean distribution (N versus S turning), and capture in fisheries.

Harza. 1995. Juvenile Coho monitoring study in tributaries to the Upper Cowlitz River. Unpublished report prepared for BPA.

This unpublished report presents results of fish monitoring work on juvenile coho salmon released into the Upper Cowlitz in 1995. The purpose was to evaluate success in terms of growth rate, condition factor, habitat use, density per mile in 10 tributaries (Davis Creek, Hall Creek, Johnson Creek, Lake Creek, Siler Creek, Skate Creek) in the upper basin. Resident fish populations residing in the same tributaries were also assessed. Methods included fish surveys and habitat mapping. Every tenth habitat unit, defined as pools, riffles, glides, and cascades, was sampled for fish. In total, 122 habitat units were sampled and fish density and growth rates calculated. The only salmonids found were coho, rainbow trout, and cutthroat trout. All habitat types supported substantial fish.

Harza Northwest. 1997. A review of historical fisheries and water quality conditions in the Upper Cowlitz River basin, draft.

This draft report provides a literature review on the fisheries and water quality in the Upper Cowlitz, with the goal of populating a patient/template analysis for EDT. Information summarized in the report includes species abundance, spawning distribution, habitat quantity, and other variables. Much of the data reported is qualitative and not site specific, though some metrics are provided (e.g., fish density estimates from planting information).

Henning, J. A. (2010). Cowlitz River Evaluation Program: Annual Report for 2009. Olympia, WA, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fish Program, Fish Management Division. FPA 10-02.

Report contains spawning (redd and carcass) survey data for the Lower Cowlitz, which may be regionally relevant but is not specific to the study area. Also contains results from a juvenile-adult survival study from the Lower Cowlitz.

Herrera 2004. Reach Analysis and Erosion Hazard Management Plan: Cispus River from River Mile 12.3 (Greenhorn Creek) to River Mile 17.6 (Cispus Road Bridge). Prepared for Lewis County Public Works

This reach-scale analysis examined erosion hazard issues stemming from the 1996 flood event in a small community on the Cispus River. Methods included historical data review, desktop and field assessments, spawning site identification, flow gage analysis, habitat feature mapping, and a sediment supply assessment. Riparian habitat was classified and notes on fish use of the available aquatic habitat included.

Limiting factors for several species were identified and include sedimentation from eroding banks, channel confinement, blocked passage, reduced wood loading and recruitment. The delineation of a channel migration zone was used to characterize erosion hazard for the reach. Overall recommendations include process-based strategies to protect both habitat and infrastructure. General concepts for prioritized areas include cost estimates.

HSRG (2009). Hatchery Scientific Review Group Review and Recommendations: Cowlitz River Coho Population and Related Hatchery Programs. Seattle, WA, United States Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Hatchery Scientific Review Group.

Provides population status, targets, and programmatic approach for recovery of Cowlitz coho. Describes distinction between LC/UC populations, and pHOS/NOS within each. Recommendation to designate upper watershed population "Primary" and lower population "Contributing."

Isaak, D. J., et al. (2007). "Chinook salmon use of spawning patches: Relative roles of habitat quality, size, and connectivity." *Ecological Applications* 17(2): 352-364.

Spawning habitat connectivity (measured by adapting Moilanen and Nieminen (2002) negative exponential kernel method) and size are more important than quality (stream width and depth, cover, large wood density, mean temperature, sinuosity, etc.) in predicting use by adult Chinook salmon. Connectivity and size interact, with size becoming more important when abundance is low. Recommendation to focus protection of intact large patches of spawning habitat, and to focus restoration on project sites that will increase connectivity, while excluding sites that do not meet minimum size/connectivity thresholds. Conclusion is that efforts focused on improving habitat quality will be less effective than increasing total amount and connectivity of adequate patches.

Klett, K. J. C., et al. (2013). "Spatial consistency of Chinook salmon redd distribution within and among years in the Cowlitz River, Washington." *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 33(3): 508-518.

Analysis of Lower Cowlitz (below Barrier Dam) aerial survey spawning location data, suggesting that Chinook spawn in same locations across 1991-2009. Side channels reaches tended to be overrepresented, whereas reaches with high sinuosity were underrepresented.

Kock, T. J., et al. (2016). Behavior Patterns and Fates of Adult Steelhead, Chinook Salmon, and Coho Salmon Released into the Upper Cowlitz River Basin, 2005-09 and 2012, Washington. Reston, VA, Prepared by: United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. Prepared in cooperation with: the Public Utility District Number 1 of Lewis County, Washington, and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2016-1144.

Results from multi-year telemetry study of adult steelhead, Chinook, and coho movements in Upper Cowlitz, following trap and haul around dams and release into Lake Scanewa or mainstem of Cowlitz or Cispus Rivers. Primary goal was estimating fallback, but also compared behaviors of HOR and NOR fish: NOR fish showed more directed upstream movement after release. More fish entered (and presumably

spawned in) the Cowlitz than Cispus, regardless of origin. Primarily background ecology/life history information.

Kock, T. J., et al. (2015). Evaluation of Two Juvenile Salmon Collection Devices at Cowlitz Falls Dam, Washington, 2014. Reston, VA, Prepared by: United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. Prepared in cooperation with: Lewis County Public Utility District, Washington. Open-File Report 2015-1054.

Evaluation of effectiveness of downstream juvenile passage infrastructure around CFD. Structures include a weir box above CFD and the Upper Riffe Lake Collector (URLC, a type of floating surface collector) below CFD. Includes background ecology/life history data for Upper Cowlitz salmonids.

Kock, T. J., et al. (2012). "Elevated streamflows increase dam passage by juvenile coho salmon during winter: Implications of climate change in the Pacific Northwest." North American Journal of Fisheries Management 32(6): 1070-1079.

Downstream passage of juvenile coho through CFD increases with streamflow during winter, when juvenile passage facilities not operating. Includes background ecology/life history information.

LaRiviere, Mark and Garrick, Craig. 1996. History of anadromous fish passage at the Cowlitz Hydroelectric Project, Cowlitz River, Washington. Cowlitz Hydroelectric Project. City of Tacoma Dept of Public Utilities Light Division and Harza Northwest Inc.

This document presents a timeline of events and considerations and an extensive reference list regarding the development of the Cowlitz Hydroelectric Project, consisting of the Mossyrock and Mayfield generating facilities. The document outlines the success of the hatchery program and lack of success for the downstream migrant collection facilities.

Lewis County. 2002. Comprehensive Plan

Lewis County. 2009. Channel Migration Zone Study

Lewis County. 2017. Shoreline Master Program: Environment Designations, Policies, & Regulations. Ecology Grant: G1200468. Lewis County, Washington.

Liedtke, T. L., et al. (2009). Behavior and Passage of Juvenile Salmonids during the Evaluation of a Fish Screen at Cowlitz Falls Dam, Washington, 2008, Prepared by: United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Western Fisheries Research Center, Columbia River Research Laboratory (Cook, WA). Prepared for: Tacoma Power (Tacoma, WA). Tacoma Power Report Series 2009-01.

Telemetry and DIDSON camera data evaluating downstream steelhead, coho, and Chinook passage of CFD. Includes background ecology/life history information.

Liedtke, Theresa L., Tobias J. Kock, Brian K. Ekstrom, Ryan G. Tomka, and Dennis W. Rondorf. 2010. Evaluation of Angler Effort and Harvest of Rainbow Trout (*Onchorhynchus mykiss*), Lake Scanewa, Washington. USGS. Open-File Report 2011-1178.

The objective of this effort was to determine the impacts to juvenile anadromous salmon from trout predation in Lake Scanewa. Methods included a creel survey, with angler interviews on catch numbers

relative to stocking numbers. The proponents also examined the contents of rainbow trout stomach samples. The study concluded that predation on juveniles was potentially significant, but ultimately more information is needed to make any definite conclusions.

Liedtke, T. L., et al. (2010). Juvenile Salmonid Collection Efforts in the Upper Cowlitz River Basin: 2009 Evaluations, Prepared by: United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Western Fisheries Research Center, Columbia River Research Laboratory (Cook, WA). Prepared for: Tacoma Power (Tacoma, WA). Tacoma Power Report Series 2010-01.

Evaluation of fish screen and weir box at CFD on downstream passage of juvenile steelhead, coho, Chinook. Includes background ecology/life history information.

Liedtke, T. L., et al. (2018). Passage survival of juvenile steelhead, coho salmon, and Chinook salmon in Lake Scanewa and at Cowlitz Falls Dam, Cowlitz River, Washington, 2010–16. Open-File Report. Reston, VA, Prepared by: United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. Prepared in cooperation with: Lewis County Public Utility District, Washington. Open-File Report 2018-1050: 56.

Evaluation of survival of steelhead, Chinook, and coho passing downstream through Lake Scanewa and approaching CFD. Includes background ecology/life history information.

Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board (LCFRB). 2010. Upper Cowlitz Subbasin. Found in Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish & Wildlife Subbasin Plan, Vol.2, Ch. F.

This chapter of the larger Lower Columbia Recovery Plan details the Upper Cowlitz basin physical characteristics, focal fish species, habitat conditions and limiting factors. The loss of key habitats is related to construction of the dams, development, land use change, forestry practices, and channelization. Competition with hatchery fish is also listed as a reason for reduced productivity. Key priorities are identified and include upstream and downstream passage, preservation of functional reaches and forests, growth management, floodplain reconnection, and others. Document appendices detail EDT and IWA modeling for the subbasin.

Manlow, S. & Andrews, A. 2008. Grays-Elochoman & Cowlitz Detailed Implementation Plan WRIA 25 and 26. WA Department of Ecology. Lead Agency – Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board.

This is a planning document resulting from the Washington Watershed Management Act, which provides the vehicle for developing water resource management plans for individual basins. The document outlines the planning process and primarily focuses on water supply issues. The plan was developed in coordination with LCFRB in regards to habitat issues.

McIntosh, B., et al. (1990). Bureau of Fisheries Stream Habitat Surveys: Cowlitz River Basin, Summary Report 1934-1942. Portland, OR, Bonneville Power Administration. DOE/BP-02246-4.

Summary report of in-stream habitat surveys conducted during 1938-42, part of a larger project conducted to evaluate migration, spawning, and rearing habitat for migratory fishes. Earliest and most comprehensive records of pre-hydro habitat, providing baseline data that could be used to establish benchmark targets.

Measurements are relatively coarse, but quantified using tape, rule, sounding line, thermometer, etc. Researchers collected general information, station information, watershed characteristics, stream gradient, flow, temperature, pool and riffle area and depth, substrate, spawning area, barriers, diversions, pollution point sources, and fish observations.

MGHC (1976). Memories from Family Albums of School District #206. Centralia, WA, Compiled by: Mossyrock Grange #355 Historical Committee.

Compilation of photographs and written records documenting historical conditions of Cowlitz basin. Photographs and notes could provide useful baseline data to inform benchmark targets.

Mobrand Biometrics, Inc. 1999. Application of the Ecosystem Diagnosis and Treatment Method (EDT) to Analyze Fish Resources in the Cowlitz Watershed in Support of FERC Relicensing Process. Prepared for Resource Planning Group of the Cowlitz River FERC Relicensing Process.

EDT Analysis of Cowlitz by MBI. Report is substantial, and contains multiple appendices detailing process of analysis and decision making.

Mossyrock Grange Historical Committee. 1976. Memories from family albums. School district #206. City of Mossyrock.

First person account of the history of the valley, stretching back to the late 1800s.

NRCS. 2009. Upper Cowlitz River FINAL Flood Hazard Risk Assessment Report. Prepared by TetraTech.

This report is an intensive study of flood inundation, bank erosion, and channel avulsion for 6.5 mi of the Upper Cowlitz, near Packwood. The study was triggered in response to a large channel avulsion that occurred in November of 2006, with the objective to perform hydrologic, hydraulic, sediment, and geomorphic assessments and modeling. The study included an economic damage assessment and results were used to outline potential flood hazard mitigation strategies. Mitigation measures identified included bank revetments, wood management strategies, floodproofing, and property buyouts. Optimal strategies for reducing damage and providing protection are outlined, as well as a strategy for developing project support.

Perry, R.W., T. J. Kock, M. A. Kritter, and D. W. Rondorf. 2006. Migration behavior and dispersal of adult spring Chinook salmon released into Lake Scanewa on the upper Cowlitz River during 2005. U.S. Geological Survey. Final Report to Lewis County Public Utility District, Chehalis, Washington.

This report examined spring Chinook migratory behavior by using radio tags to track fish movements in the Upper Basin. Differences between hatchery and wild, male and female, and fate locations were examined. Fish were collected from the hatchery, tagged, and placed in Lake Scanewa, with some planted above Packwood. Stream temperature and flow were monitored. Findings include fish spending a lot time in the lake, with many moving downstream, but only a few making it to Riffe Lake. More hatchery fish moved

upstream, compared to wild, with more fish preferring the Cispus overall. Fish that went up the Cowlitz tended to go past Cora, suggesting that most spawning happens above that location.

Quinn, T. P. and K. Fresh (1984). "Homing and straying in Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) from Cowlitz River hatchery, Washington." *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 41(7): 1078-1082.

Quinn's widely cited CWT study of Chinook straying in the Cowlitz. Important findings include (1) very high fidelity to natal river system, (2) increased rate of straying among older age-at-return fish, and (3) increased rate of straying within high return years. Includes background ecology/life history information.

Rawding, D., et al. (2014). Lower Columbia River Fisheries and Escapement Evaluation in Southwest Washington, 2010. Vancouver, WA, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fish Program. FPT 14-10.

Report contains spawner escapement and harvest rate estimates for Chinook, coho, and steelhead across LCR. Includes background ecology/life history information.

Stober, QJ. 1986. Reintroduction of anadromous fish runs to the Tilton and Upper Cowlitz Rivers. Final Report for Washington State Department of Fisheries and Department of Game. FRI-UW-8602.

The primary objective of this report is to identify alternatives for the reintroduction of salmon and steelhead to the Tilton River and Upper Cowlitz. This effort included reviews of communicable disease information and histories of salmon and steelhead in the basin. Methods included literature review, an examination of thermal profiles through the reservoirs, identification of ~248 miles of available habitat, and a review of fish planting and hauling numbers. In total, 13 alternatives were considered, examining volitional access and hauling options for different species. The least cost alternative was the reintroduction of Coho to the Tilton River and Winston Creek. Potential disease was identified as a concern and ways to prevent focused on managing the temperature of releases.

TP (2004). Cowlitz River Fisheries and Hatchery Management Plan, Final. Tacoma, WA, Tacoma Power.

FHMP for Cowlitz fishery and hatchery. Contains important background ecology/life history, and digest of EDT study including production estimates and limiting factors analysis summary.

TP (2011). Cowlitz River Project FERC No. 2016: Fisheries and Hatchery Management Plan Update, Final. Tacoma, WA, Tacoma Power.

Updated FHMP for Cowlitz fishery and hatchery. Includes background ecology/life history information.

Trotter, PC, Williams, RN, Jaworski, M. 1995. Genetic profile of Upper-Cowlitz resident trout populations and comparison with currently used hatchery stocks of rainbow trout, steelhead, and searun cutthroat trout. Part 1 – Rainbow trout and steelhead. Technical Advisory Committee, Cowlitz falls project.

This study looked at the genetics of seven stream resident rainbow trout populations and one adfluvial population in the Upper Cowlitz and compared them with hatchery trout and steelhead. Primary research questions centered on the impacts of hatchery fish to native populations of rainbow trout and steelhead. Fish were collected for sampling from several sites around the basin. The study found that in certain areas hatchery fish have impacted native fish, while in other areas rainbow trout populations appear to be free of hatchery influence.

U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 1996. Lower Cispus West watershed analysis. Randle Ranger District, Gifford Pinchot National Forest. March, 1996.

U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 1996. Lower Cispus east watershed analysis. Gifford Pinchot National Forest, Randle Ranger District. April, 1996.

U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 1997. Middle Cowlitz watershed analysis. Gifford Pinchot National Forest, North Skills Center.

U.S. Forest Service (USFS) 1997. Upper Cowlitz watershed analysis. Gifford Pinchot National Forest, Packwood and Randle Ranger Districts. July 1, 1997.

U.S. Forest Service (USFS). 2001. Upper Cispus Watershed Analysis. Gifford Pinchot National Forest. Report. Cispus River.

These and other US Forest Service Watershed Analysis documents contain comprehensive information on hillslope, riparian, floodplain, and channel processes and habitat, as well as descriptions of historical and desired future conditions.

Appendix B: EDT Applications and Use in the Upper Cowlitz Cispus Habitat Strategy

Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide supporting details to the Upper Cowlitz Cispus Habitat Strategy regarding the use of the Ecosystems Diagnosis and Treatment (EDT) model output. This document develops context around EDT applications, model development, and evaluation of the results.

EDT Applications

EDT modeling informs restoration and protection priorities in the Regional Habitat Strategy for the Lower Columbia River region. With so many salmon and steelhead populations spread across such a wide area, it is difficult to find fish and habitat information that aligns in methods and timeframes across the region. A lack of standardization makes it difficult to assess priorities across and within watersheds. This is especially an issue in watersheds where populations are extremely limited in numbers and distribution, such as the Upper Cowlitz and Cispus spring Chinook, coho, and winter steelhead populations. These populations are in the recolonization phase, and contemporary available data sets may provide more information on opportunistic fish use of habitat rather than pinpoint the highest quality habitat to support productive populations. EDT modeling can support assessment of fish use within watersheds by modeling potential fish behavior under different environmental scenarios, and by incorporating information from a variety of sources, such as past field survey data, modeled fish behavior and habitat conditions from similar systems, and expert opinion. In the case of the Upper Cowlitz and Cispus watersheds, EDT is one of the most comprehensive assessments we have, as current and historical data sets are often patchy in spatial coverage, limiting conclusions to fish presence rather than relative productivity across all habitat. The Upper Cowlitz Cispus Habitat Strategy builds on EDT information developed by Tacoma Power specifically for the Upper Cowlitz and Cispus watersheds, by reviewing EDT results in the context of other fish use and habitat condition information as well as watershed processes, as summarized in the Habitat Strategy Report, and applying all of this information to recommended habitat actions. This approach recognizes the limitations of EDT, and augments its application with other available information and data.

Species Reach Potential (SRP) ratings are assigned for stream reaches based on the estimated population response if habitat is restored and protected in a particular reach relative to all other reaches in a watershed. These ranking values represent the coarse-scale priority of restoring or protecting a stream reach, and do not capture the full picture of habitat restoration and protection considerations. For example: they do not consider the feasibility of restoring or protecting habitat, what the population performance response may be if some (versus all) habitat in a reach is protected or restored, nor the relationship of habitat conditions and watershed processes across stream reaches unless these linkages were specifically considered during the development of EDT habitat attributes. However, they do help identify stream reaches to consider for future review and potential habitat work. Watershed assessments like the Habitat Strategy help pick up where

EDT outputs leave off by reviewing EDT within the context of landscape processes, other modeling or empirical fish use information, and habitat condition information such as levee locations, historical beaver pond complexes, and results from field surveys. This additional context allows technical teams such as the Upper Cowlitz Cispus work group to develop more specific habitat actions to support salmon and steelhead recovery that are not possible to glean from relying on just the Regional Habitat Strategy information, which is in part based on EDT.

EDT Methods

The Recovery Plan includes details on EDT model methods, assumptions, and validation work where Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife (WDFW) and the Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board (LCFRB) led EDT development efforts (Volume III, Appendix E, Chapter 6 and Chapter 7). Detailed descriptions on EDT methodology can also be found in Lestelle et al., 1996, Lestelle and Mobrand, 2005, and Blair et al., 2009.

EDT models can be developed using a variety of data sources, which is both a strength of the model and a weakness. This approach allows for modeled conditions to be analyzed when there is limited existing data for the stream reach of interest, but it can also result in coarse-scale assumptions about habitat conditions and fish behavior to be applied to fine-scales, leading to less than precise predictions. To increase the quality of information and confidence in a built EDT model, it is recommended that local experts attend workshops to discuss model inputs and results (Lestelle et al., 1996). Workshops took place in the Lower Columbia region, led by the agencies that developed the models. For full transparency, the Recovery Plan includes details on data sources and model assumptions where WDFW and LCFRB led the EDT model process (see Volume III, Appendix E, Chapter 7). A Fisheries Technical Team led by Tacoma Power was convened to review risks/benefits modeled by EDT from different Cowlitz River Hydroelectric Project relicensing alternatives (Cowlitz River Fisheries Technical Team, 1998). However, concerns have been raised regarding the quality of information used to develop the EDT model, and thus EDT results, as well as transparency in model analysis.

Documentation from the Upper Cowlitz EDT model development process includes the data sources for each attribute value for current conditions (Patient) and historical conditions (Template) model runs for the 53 EDT stream reaches in the Upper Cowlitz and Cispus subbasin (Upper Cowlitz Data Documentation provided by Tacoma Power, dated January 4, 2007). Environmental Attributes for the Patient scenario were primarily populated using empirical observations (28%) and expansion of empirical observations (38%), with 28% of attributes populated based on derived information and 6% based on expert opinion. Attributes populated based on expansions of empirical observations appear to be developed by applying attribute ratings for similar systems (i.e. other tributaries in the Upper Basin), photos and aerial imagery of the basin, topographic maps, known infrastructure locations and land use (i.e. campgrounds, roads, and bridges as well as harvest locations), and local reports and data sets (i.e. Harza report, Cowlitz Falls Study, USFS and Ecology reports). Some empirical observations are not referenced (i.e. “brook trout have been found in drainage” and “agriculture has changed riparian habitat dramatically”), making it difficult to assess the

quality of data inputs. Some of the attributes relying on expert opinion are referenced though, including information from watershed analyses conducted by the USFS. Ratios and data sources are similar for the Template scenario: 39% of attributes are populated based on expansions of empirical observations, while 28% are based on derived information, 27% from empirical observations, and 6% based on expert opinion.

Evaluation of EDT

Sensitivity analyses of EDT assess the degree to which model results change when different inputs are adjusted. In general, sensitivity analyses of EDT conclude that we can be confident in the relative outputs (i.e. more fish are produced in one stream reach or one watershed than another) from EDT, rather than the absolute estimates (i.e. the number of fish) (McElhany et al., 2010). However, it's also important to understand the sensitivity of EDT results to different attributes, and how these may be important to management questions in case separate analyses are necessary to information fish-habitat relationships (Steel et al., 2009). WDFW found that Puget Sound Chinook population performance inputs ranged in coefficient of variation from 3.5% to 10.5% when biologists with EDT experience were asked to estimate stream channel Environmental Attribute scores, suggesting that uncertainty in stream attribute variables does not necessarily lead to large uncertainties in population performance (Steel et al., 2009). WDFW also found from the same study that stream reaches identified as having high priority for restoration and protection actions were not influenced by the changes in stream attribute values, although reaches ranked as mid-priority or lower were affected, suggesting caution when applying these recommendations (Steel et al., 2009). When assessing model sensitivity to specific attributes, WDFW found that capacity estimates were most sensitive to uncertainty in habitat type attributes and productivity estimates were most sensitive to uncertainty in channel stability and sediment ratings. In reviewing the sensitivity of the Puget Sound model work as well as Yakima Basin modeling, macroinvertebrate diversity had negligible impact to model outputs (Steel et al., 2009). A sensitivity analysis was also conducted on EDT runs for East Fork Lewis River fall Chinook, Germany Creek coho, and West Fork Washougal River mainstem steelhead in the Lower Columbia River region (McElhany et al., 2010). Models were found to be insensitive to changes in habitat condition variables, although model outputs were sensitive to more coarse-scale constants such as adult survival parameters and life stage performance benchmarks under ideal environmental conditions, which may relate to the degree of confidence in these two different data sets (McElhany et al., 2010). As EDT outputs are primarily used to develop coarse-scale priorities for the Regional Habitat Strategy (i.e. the High, Medium, and Low SRP ratings), with fine-scale information considered in the context of other resources when available (i.e. limiting factor identification from EDT is reviewed along with new monitoring information and landscape-scale process trajectories), the LCFRB attempts to account for these sensitivity concerns when implementing the Regional Habitat Strategy.

Comparing modeled data to empirical information can help understand the accuracy and precision of predicting real world scenarios. EDT model results generated by WDFW and LCFRB were evaluated to ensure this comparison occurred (see Volume III, Appendix E, Chapter 6 of the Recovery Plan). Tacoma Power and its Fisheries Technical Committee also compared EDT results to empirical data. Modeled abundances were compared to historical population data for fall Chinook (Cowlitz River Fisheries Technical

Team, 1998). EDT modeling results estimated 5,143 fall Chinook adults (5,143 hatchery origin fish and 1,487 natural origin fish) could return to the Cowlitz River each year under current environmental conditions. This is a little lower than the most recently available data from the Cowlitz (1991 – 1995), which indicated an average of 6,891 total fall Chinook returned to the basin (range of 5,503 to 7,315 total fish). Variability in adult return data is likely due to changes in hatchery management, ocean conditions, harvest, and detection efficiency rates (Cowlitz River Fisheries Technical Team, 1998). Information was not found indicating comparisons were made for other species in the basin.

EDT in the Upper Cowlitz Cispus Habitat Strategy

EDT is one piece of information used by the Habitat Strategy work group to identify habitat priorities in the basin. EDT informs relative Regional Habitat Strategy priorities through the development of Species Reach Potential Ratings, a management application that is supported by sensitivity analyses (McElhany et al., 2010). Restoration and protection priorities identified through SRP ratings, as well as habitat limiting factors and life stage presence, are gleaned from EDT models to compare to and to be built upon by other fish and habitat resources, like historical fish survey information, local knowledge of quality habitat areas, field surveys, and how watershed processes at landscape (versus stream reach scales) may affect habitat conditions. The work group format allows for discussion on differences among these different resources when developing recommendations on priority actions for the strategy. Balancing these different resources allows project managers, work group members, and future habitat project sponsors to consider what is known, the source of information, and how different information relate to each other. Together, these resources support more fine-scale and up to date habitat action development than can be developed from relying just on EDT or other resources as standalone information sources.

References

- Blair, G. R., Lestelle, L. C., & Mobrand, L. E. (2009). The ecosystem diagnosis and treatment model: a tool for assessing salmonid performance potential based on habitat conditions. In *American Fisheries Society Symposium* (Vol. 71, p. 2008).
- Cowlitz River Fisheries Technical Team. October 8, 1998. Fisheries Technical Team Progress Report: EDT Model Results.
- Lestelle, L.C. and Mobrand – Jones & Stokes. (2005). Guidelines for rating Level 2 Environmental Attributes in Ecosystem Diagnosis and Treatment (EDT). Jones & Stokes Associates, Inc.
- Lestelle, L.C., Mobrand, L.E., Lichatowich, J.A., and Vogel, T. S. (1996). Ecosystem Diagnosis & Treatment (EDT) Applied Ecosystem Analysis - A Primer, Report to Bonneville Power Administration. Project No. 199404600, Contract No. 1994AM33243, 112 electronic pages (BPA Report DOE/BP-33243-2)
- McElhany, P., E. A. Steel, D. W. Jensen, K. Avery, N. Yoder, B. Thompson, and C. Busack. (2010). Dealing with uncertainty in ecosystem models: lessons from a complex salmon model." *Ecological Applications* 20.2: 465-482.

Steel, E. A., McElhany, P., Yoder, N. J., Purser, M. D., Malone, K., Thompson, B. E., Avery, K. A., Jensen, D., Blair, G., Busack, C., Bowen, M. D., Hubble, J. and Kantz, T. (2009). Making the Best Use of Modeled Data: Multiple Approaches to Sensitivity Analysis of a Fish-Habitat Model. *Fisheries*, 34: 330-339

Appendix C: Ecological Indicator Rating Criteria

Indicators	Functional (High)	Moderately Impaired (Medium)	Impaired (Low)
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Environmental disturbances are natural and depending on the system could include floods, mass wasting, wildfire, icing, channel avulsion, wind storms, volcanic-related events, and forest stand disease. The magnitude and frequency of disturbance is within the range of natural variation. Disturbances are generally short-lived (“pulse”) and are important for creating complex aquatic habitat with respect to channel adjustment, wood dynamics, and sediment processes. Time between natural disturbance events is long enough for ecological systems to adjust and for species to thrive.	Environmental disturbances have been moderately altered, possibly with new human-related disturbances introduced. The magnitude and frequency of disturbance has been altered and extends beyond the range of natural variation. Floods, wildfires, and mass wasting may now occur at a greater magnitude, frequency, or duration. These changes create more frequent adjustment of channels and associated habitat. Time between natural disturbance events is too short for ecological systems to fully adjust and for species to thrive. Basins dominated by commercial forestry and valley bottoms dominated by agricultural production frequently fall within this category.	Environmental disturbances have been severely altered, with new human-related disturbances introduced. The magnitude and frequency of disturbance is mostly outside the range of natural variation. Changes may reduce or increase disturbance frequency, in some cases resulting in longer-lived “press” disturbances where permanent features or management regimes have added unnatural stability to the system. In other cases, extreme events become the norm. In response to these changes, aquatic habitats are either stagnate and simplified or are in near-constant adjustment, with different processes responding in different ways. Urbanized and dam-controlled systems frequently fall within this category.
Hydrologic Alteration	Magnitude, timing, duration, and frequency of flows within a watershed are not altered relative to natural conditions of an undisturbed watershed of similar size, geology, and geography. Zero or minimal increases in the drainage network that is correlated with human caused disturbances. Hydrologically impaired surfaces in watershed total < 8%. Road density <1 mile/miles ² (Road density thresholds from USFWS 1998).	Some evidence of altered magnitude, timing, duration and/or frequency of flows relative to natural conditions of an undisturbed watershed of similar size, geology, and geography. Low to moderate increase in the drainage network correlated with human caused disturbances. Hydrologically impaired surfaces in watershed total between 8 and 14.9%. Road density 1-2.4 miles/miles ² (Road density thresholds from USFWS 1998).	Pronounced changes in magnitude, timing, duration and/or frequency of flows relative to natural conditions of an undisturbed watershed of similar size, geology, and geography. Substantial increase in the drainage network correlated with human caused disturbances. Hydrologically impaired surfaces in watershed total > 15%. Road density >2.4 miles/miles ² (Road density thresholds from USFWS 1998).
Sediment Processes	Channel sediment processes are in a dynamic equilibrium or are adjusting as expected to natural disturbance. Hillslope sediment contributions to the reach are similar to natural conditions. In known historical spawning areas, gravels or small cobbles make up >50% of the bed materials. ≤12% fines/sand (<2 mm) in spawning gravel (adapted from NMFS 1996).	Channel sediment processes are moderately impaired with respect to bed incision, aggradation, lateral erosion, and fine sediment contribution; and are affected by human features or other human-related disturbance. There are human-related hillslope sediment contributions to the reach that have some effect on channel dynamics, sediment sizes, and sediment distribution. In known historical spawning areas, Gravels or small cobbles make up 30-50% of the bed materials. 12-17% fines (<2 mm) in spawning gravel (adapted from NMFS 1996).	Channel sediment processes are severely impaired with respect to bed incision, aggradation, lateral erosion, and fine sediment contribution; and are highly affected by human features and other human-related disturbance There are human-related hillslope sediment contributions to the reach that severely alter channel dynamics, sediment sizes, and sediment distribution. In known historical spawning areas, gravels or small cobbles make up <30% of the bed materials. >17% fines (<2 mm) in spawning gravel (adapted from NMFS 1996).

Indicators	Functional (High)	Moderately Impaired (Medium)	Impaired (Low)
Large Wood Processes	Large wood processes are intact, including: 1) Sources – there are available large trees in riparian/floodplain areas 2) Recruitment processes – lateral channel dynamics allow for wood to be recruited, and 3) Retention – channel structure and complexity are sufficient to retain large wood Wood accumulates in the same frequency and types of configurations (e.g. jam types) expected under natural conditions. Large wood counts [use Fox and Bolton Western Washington #s (Fox and Bolton 2007)]	Large wood processes are moderately impaired: 1) Sources – clearing in riparian and floodplain areas has reduced the availability of large trees 2) Recruitment processes – lateral channel dynamics have been altered, reducing wood recruitment potential. 3) Retention – channel structure and complexity are not fully adequate to retain large wood in the channel for long periods. Wood accumulations are less and the types of configurations have changed from natural conditions. Large wood counts [use Fox and Bolton Western Washington #s (Fox and Bolton 2007)]	Large wood processes are severely impaired: 1) Sources – substantial clearing in riparian and floodplain areas has reduced or eliminated the availability of large trees 2) Recruitment processes – lateral channel dynamics have been severely altered, or eliminated altogether by bank armoring, thus reducing or eliminating wood recruitment potential. 3) Retention – channel structure and complexity no longer allow for the retention of large wood in the channel. Wood accumulations are non-existent or are severely altered from natural conditions. Large wood counts [use Fox and Bolton Western Washington #s (Fox and Bolton 2007)]
Channel Type and Form	Geomorphic channel type and form are similar to natural conditions that would be expected for the biophysical setting. This includes channel planform, profile, and cross-section as well as the form and function of individual habitat units such as pools and riffles. For low gradient (0.1% to 1% slope) alluvial systems with salmonid use, meets NMFS pool frequency and quality thresholds for “Properly Functioning” (NMFS Matrix of Pathways and Indicators 1996)	Geomorphic channel type and form have been moderately affected in response to anthropogenic influence. For low gradient (0.1% to 1% slope) alluvial systems with salmonid use, meets NMFS pool frequency and quality thresholds for “At Risk” (NMFS Matrix of Pathways and Indicators 1996)	A whole new geomorphic channel type and form have developed in response to anthropogenic influence. This could include conversion of a highly sinuous system to straight, or multi-threaded to single threaded, or significant widening or narrowing of the channel. For low gradient (0.1% to 1% slope) alluvial systems with salmonid use, meets NMFS pool frequency and quality thresholds for “Not Properly Functioning” (NMFS Matrix of Pathways and Indicators 1996)
Floodplain Connectivity	The magnitude, frequency, and duration of floodplain inundation is similar to undisturbed conditions. Overbank flows regularly occur (often in the 1-2 year recurrence range) and maintain floodplain wetland, nutrient exchange, and vegetation processes. The floodplain areas themselves are similar to natural conditions with respect to vegetation and topographic complexity.	There is a reduced linkage of floodplains with the main channel due to human features (e.g. levees) or human-induced process changes (e.g. incision from confinement). Overbank flows occur less frequently (often in the 2-5 year recurrence range) causing moderate impairment with respect to floodplain processes. The floodplain areas themselves are moderately impaired with respect to vegetation and topographic complexity.	Severe reduction in hydrologic connectivity with floodplains due to human features and process changes. Overbank flows occur much less frequently (often greater than 5 year recurrence) causing severe impairment with respect to floodplain processes. The floodplain areas themselves are severely impaired with respect to vegetation and topographic complexity. Vegetation clearing, floodplain filling, and land-use conversion are extensive.
Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Channel is migrating at or near natural rates within the geomorphic construct of the reach. No measurable trend of aggradation or incision beyond the natural geomorphic processes of the reach.	Channel migration is occurring at a faster or slower rate relative to natural rates, but significant change in channel width or planform is not detectable; large woody debris is still being recruited. Measurable trend of aggradation or incision that has the potential to, but has not yet caused, disconnection of the floodplain or a visible change in channel planform (e.g. single thread to braided.)	Little or no channel migration is occurring because of human actions preventing reworking of the floodplain and large woody debris recruitment; or channel migration is occurring at an accelerated rate such that channel width has at least doubled, possibly resulting in a channel planform change, and sediment supply has noticeably increased from bank erosion. Enough incision or human infrastructure has occurred that the floodplain and off-channel habitat areas have been disconnected from the main channel; or enough aggradation has occurred to create a visible change in channel planform (e.g. single thread to braided.)

Indicators	Functional (High)	Moderately Impaired (Medium)	Impaired (Low)
Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Reach is within the expected natural variation with respect to the abundance of connected peripheral and transitional habitats. These may include side channels, flood overflow channels, floodplain wetlands, abandoned oxbows, wall-based channels, or groundwater-fed channels. Within the active channel there are adequate refugia habitats, such as backwaters, alcoves, or large boulder eddies. There are no human-made barriers that prevent access to off-channel or tributary areas.	Reach is moderately impaired with respect to the availability and connectivity of peripheral and transitional habitats. There may be human-made barriers that limit or prevent access to off-channel or tributary areas.	Reach is severely impaired with respect to the availability and connectivity of peripheral and transitional habitats. There are human-made barriers that limit or prevent access to off-channel or tributary areas.
Riparian Processes	Riparian processes are within the range of natural variation and support natural processes including bank erosion resistance, wood recruitment, canopy cover, and nutrient exchange. Threshold values below adapted from USBR Reach-Based Ecosystem Indicators (e.g. USBR 2009). Riparian Disturbance: <20% anthropogenic disturbance in the 200-foot riparian buffer zone (e.g. agriculture and grazing, residential, roads, etc.) and <1 mile/miles² road density in the 200-foot riparian buffer zone. Riparian Structure: >80% large trees (>21” DBH) in the riparian buffer zone (defined as a 200ft buffer along each bank) based on habitat assessment data. Canopy Cover: Trees and shrubs within one site potential tree height distance (~100 feet) have >80% canopy cover that provides thermal shading to the river.	Riparian processes are moderately impaired and extend beyond the range of natural variation. Riparian Disturbance: 20-50% anthropogenic disturbance in the 200-foot riparian buffer zone (e.g. agriculture and grazing, residential, roads, etc.) and 1-2.4 miles/miles² road density in the 200-foot riparian buffer zone. Riparian Structure: 50-80% large trees (>21” DBH) in the riparian buffer zone (defined as a 200ft buffer along each bank) based on habitat assessment data. Canopy Cover: Trees and shrubs within one site potential tree height distance have 50-80% canopy cover that provides thermal shading to the river.	Riparian processes are severely impaired and extend well beyond the range of natural variation. Riparian Disturbance: >50% anthropogenic disturbance in the 200-foot riparian buffer zone (e.g. agriculture and grazing, residential, roads, etc.) and >2.4 miles/miles² road density in the 200-foot riparian buffer zone. Riparian Structure: <50% large trees (>21” DBH) in the riparian buffer zone (defined as a 200ft buffer along each bank) based on habitat assessment data. Canopy Cover: Trees and shrubs within one site potential tree height distance have <50% canopy cover that provides thermal shading to the river.

References

- Fox, MJ and SM Bolton. 2007. A regional and geomorphic reference for quantities and volumes of instream wood in unmanaged forested basins of Washington State. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 27:342-359.
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 1996. Making Endangered Species Act determinations of effect for individual or grouped actions at the watershed scale. Lacey, Washington, National Marine Fisheries Service, Environmental and Technical Services Division, Habitat Conservation Branch.
- United States Bureau of Reclamation (USBR). 2009. Preston Reach Assessment, Entiat River. Chelan County, WA. USBR Pacific Northwest Region, Boise, ID, US Department of the Interior.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1998. A Framework to Assist in Making Endangered Species Act Determinations of Effect for Individual or Grouped Actions at the Bull Trout Subpopulation Watershed Scale.

Appendix D: Landscape Unit Conditions

Appendix D includes detailed results from the assessment of the Upper Cowlitz Cispus watershed. Landscape units (LUs) are first summarized here describing Hillslope and Valley Bottom characteristics in the Upper Cowlitz and Cispus Basin. Following that, individual LUs are described in detail.

Landscape Unit Summary

1 Hillslope Landscape Units Summary

The three hillslope LUs are Highlands, Mid-Elevation Hillslopes, and Lower Hillslopes. These represent the land areas above the broad mainstem valley bottoms that primarily influence the habitat within valley bottom LUs via their contributing processes, including sediment delivery (e.g., sediment transported down to valley bottoms), hydrology (e.g., amount and timing of surface water or groundwater runoff), and wood inputs. These processes are impacted by land use practices, such as timber harvest, as well as by natural processes, such as glacial melt and landslides.

The Lower Hillslopes LU is the area within the WDNR (2018) rain-dominated zone, and corresponds to elevations below approximately 500 meters (1,640 feet). Most of the private agricultural and rural residential land in foothills-type terrain is located within this LU. The Mid-Elevation Hillslopes LU encompasses what is generally considered the core ROS zone, which includes the WDNR peak rain-on-snow zone and the WDNR snow-dominated zone. Elevations range from approximately 500 to 1,200-1,300 meters (1,640 to 3,937-4,265 feet). The Highlands LU corresponds to the WDNR highlands zone, which are high-elevation areas where rain-on-snow events are less likely. This generally corresponds to elevations over 1,200 meters (3,937 feet) in the northern portion of the study area and above 1,300 meters (4,265 feet) in the southern portion, but varies depending on aspect and vegetation conditions. This zone covers the slopes of the high peaks located within the basin, including portions of Mount Rainier, the Goat Rocks, and Mount Adams. This area is almost all within federal ownership, much of it within Mount Rainier National Park and several wilderness areas.

2 Valley Bottom Landscape Units Summary

The valley bottom LUs were delineated to include the broad valley floors of the Cowlitz and lower Cispus rivers. They extend from Lake Scanewa at the downstream end to just above the Muddy Fork confluence on the Cowlitz and up to the Canyon Creek confluence on the Cispus. The valley bottom LUs generally contain the Tier 1 and 2 reaches from the Recovery Plan, representing some of the most important habitat for ESA-listed salmon and steelhead in the basin.

These LUs are characterized by relatively flat, valley floor gradients and they encompass the areas underlain by river alluvium and fluviially-worked glacial sediments. These are depositional “response” reaches with meandering or island braided channel types. The elevation range along the five Upper Cowlitz LUs is from 250 meters at Lake Scanewa to 400 meters at the upper end of the Upper Cowlitz-

Muddy Fork LU. The elevation range along the four Cispus LUs is from 250 meters at Lake Scanewa to 600 meters at the upper end of the Cispus – Upper LU. The area is rain-dominated, but receives upslope snow and glacial melt seasonally. The mainstem channels are large and low gradient with relatively frequent overbank flooding and channel migration. Flood events erode channel and floodplain sediments as well as glacial terraces in some locations. Cowlitz Falls Dam, which creates Lake Scanewa, has a strong influence on channel gradients at the downstream end of the study area; the backwater effect extends several miles up the mainstem Cowlitz and approximately 2 miles up the Cispus.

The valley bottom LUs have generally high human habitation, in particular throughout the Cowlitz River and in the Tower Rock section of the Cispus River. Private land use is primarily rural residential and agricultural. These land uses have various impacts on the valley bottom landscape, at times reducing channel migration with levees, riprap or other structures, and with effects to riparian vegetation.

Landscape Unit Descriptions

1 Highlands

1.1 Location Description

High elevation, snow-dominated terrain draining the high peaks and ridgelines.

1.2 Overview

This LU represents the “highlands” zone from the WA DNR rain-on-snow mapping. It roughly corresponds to areas above 1200 meters in elevation in the northern portion of the study area and above 1300 meters in elevation in the southern portion, but varies depending on aspect and vegetation conditions. It primarily consists of steep, snow-dominated subwatersheds, with some streams draining glaciers on Mt Rainier and Mt Adams. There are also important alpine and subalpine headwater meadows that help regulate hydrology in downstream channels. Most of the streams within the Highlands LU consist of steep source and transport reaches, many of which are subject to avalanches and debris flows. The LU is generally heavily forested with mature conifers except for above treeline. There is very little human development and nearly zero human habitation (<1% private ownership). The LU lies mostly within public lands, primarily within the Gifford Pinchot National Forest, but also with significant portions within highly protected public lands; this includes Mt Rainier National Park, as well as the Tatoosh Wilderness, the William O Douglas Wilderness, the Goat Rocks Wilderness, and the Mt Adams Wilderness, which are within the National Forest. Road density within the Highlands LU is the lowest of all LUs (0.8 mi/mi²). This LU is almost entirely within federal forest lands (National Forest or National Park). With respect to federal forestland management within this LU (see Section 4.4 in the main report), the NWFP land use allocations comprise the following areas: CR/AW (i.e. little-to-no timber harvest) is 65%; LSR (very limited harvest) is 7%; and matrix (greater harvest) comprises

28%. Based on the status and trends monitoring by Miller et al. (2017) and described in Section 4.4 in the main report, the matrix lands are generally improving with respect to sediment, wood, riparian, and hydrologic condition, and the CR lands show a slight degrading trend; however, the authors stated that this is likely due to an elevated status within the CR zones and more degraded status within Matrix zones at the outset of monitoring efforts. Although some harvest does occur in the UCC basin in the AW and LSR designated areas of the NWFP (Ken Wieman, USFS, personal communication 2019), there is relatively little harvest in 72% of the land in this LU, and watershed process scores are improving based on AREMP monitoring. Watershed process conditions are likely to improve if kept under the same management regime.

1.3 Historical Conditions

Prior to Euro-American settlement of the basin, land cover consisted of late seral stage forests except for above timberline or in areas with recent fire, flood, or landslide disturbances. There would have been a range of forest vegetation types depending on slope, aspect, and elevation. Past timber harvest in the lower portions has been the primary driver of change. Climate change continues to alter hydrologic conditions, and is also likely impacting vegetation types (Beason et al., 2019). Glaciers on Mt Rainier and Mt Adams historically extended further downslope than today (Crandell & Miller, 1974, Beason et al., 2019).

Ecological Indicators – Highlands	
Indicator	Description
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Functional to Moderately Impaired The potential for high-intensity stand replacing fires is higher due to decades of fire suppression, but fire return intervals would be expected to be long even under historical conditions. Mass wasting and flooding related to glacial retreat has likely increased disturbance to streams draining this LU as well as downstream LUs. Based on the NWFP land use allocations, timber harvest can still occur on 28% of the area of this LU, with the potential for harvest-related disturbance events (e.g. mass wasting, windthrow).
Hydrologic Alteration	Functional Increased glacial meltwater and slope failures related to glacial retreat has likely affected hydrologic processes to some degree. Timber harvest effects on hydrology are expected to be minor due to land use allocations (72% CR, AW, or LSR). IWA Ratings for subwatersheds in this LU are primarily rated as “Functional”, with a few areas of “Moderately Impaired” and no “Impaired” subwatersheds.

Sediment Processes	Functional to Moderately Impaired In some locations, forestry activities may have increased sediment from mass wasting events, however there are minimal existing roads (0.8mi/mi²). Timber harvest effects on sediment delivery are expected to be minor due to land use allocations (72% CR, AW, or LSR). Glacial meltwater and slope failures related to glacial retreat has also likely affected sediment delivery processes. Sediment inputs related to forest fires are expected to be minimal under current conditions since there have been no significant fires affecting the Highlands LU (USFS GIS data 2019). IWA Ratings for subwatersheds in this LU are mostly rated as either “Functional” or “Moderately Impaired”.
Large Wood Processes	Functional Headwater streams in this LU are expected to have generally high wood loading, and important contributions to downstream reaches through debris flows. Riparian reserves in the NWFP are expected to result in continued improvement in wood loading conditions into the future. Stream-adjacent forest roads and roads that interrupt debris flow paths are expected to have localized impacts to large wood availability and recruitment.
Channel Type and Form	Functional Channels are mainly steep source and transport reaches, many subjected to debris flows. There are some areas with high alpine meadows and slope wetlands.
Floodplain Connectivity	Functional There are not many well-developed floodplains in this LU due to the steep topography, but where they exist, they are assumed to be well-connected due to limited roads or other infrastructure along stream channels.
Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Functional Lateral and vertical channel dynamics are assumed to be relatively unchanged from historical conditions in this LU.
Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Functional Off-channel habitat connectivity and refugia is assumed to be relatively unchanged from historical conditions in this LU due to limited roads or other infrastructure along stream channels.
Riparian Processes	Functional Many of the riparian areas in this LU have been impacted by past timber harvests, although some of them, such as in wilderness or National Park, have not. Previously harvested riparian areas are recovering due to riparian buffer protections in the NWFP and based on the results of status and trends monitoring (Miller et al., 2017). USFS stream temperature monitoring shows very few exceedances of the state

	criteria of 16°C for the 7-day moving average of the daily maximum (7-DADMax) for streams within the Highlands LU, suggesting no significant concerns with stream shading. IWA Ratings for subwatersheds in this LU are mostly rated as either “Functional” or “Moderately Impaired”, with some of the highest rated conditions in the upper Clear Fork Cowlitz and Ohanapecosh drainages.
--	--

1.4 Contributing Process Drivers

These headwater systems are important sources for sediment, hydrology, and large wood inputs to downstream LUs. In general, they are functional with respect to these contributions.

1.5 Target Fish Use

These areas are not accessible to target salmon and steelhead species in the basin, and are not designated critical habitat for salmon or steelhead.

1.6 Habitat Patch Connectivity

These areas are important to keep in functional condition because of their influence on downstream LUs. Continued maturation of riparian forests will improve forest conditions over time. Existing protections, including public ownership and riparian buffer protections, are generally believed to be adequate for protection in this LU.

1.7 Management Plans, Past Studies, Projects

The lands within the Highlands LU are almost 100% public, and management is governed primarily by forest practice regulations and plans. These include the NWFP (see Section 4.4 in the main report) and other programs and policies of the Gifford Pinchot National Forest and Mount Rainier National Park.

1.8 Landownership

Land ownership is nearly 100% federal forest land within the Gifford Pinchot National Forest and Mt. Rainier National Park.

2 Mid-Elevation Hillslopes

2.1 Location Description

Mid-elevation rain-on-snow zone ranging from approximately 500 to 12-1300 meters in elevation.

2.2 Overview

This LU represents the “peak rain-on-snow” and “snow dominated” zones from the WA DNR rain-on-snow mapping. It roughly corresponds to elevations between 500 and 1200-1300 meters and varies depending on aspect and vegetation conditions. It primarily consists of lands within the Gifford Pinchot National Forest, with some protected wilderness lands in the upper drainages and some private commercial forest lands located in the western portion of the study area. Stream reaches range from source to response reaches but transport reaches are most common. There are impacts related to fine sediment delivery and hydrologic impairment due to past timber harvest and the forest road network, although road density is currently moderate, at 2.6 mi/ mi². This LU is almost entirely (94%) within federal forest lands (Gifford Pinchot National Forest and Mount Rainier National Park). With respect to federal forestland management within this LU (see Section 4.4 in the main report), the NWFP land use allocations comprise the following areas: CR/AW (i.e., little-to-no timber harvest) is 16%; LSR (very limited harvest) is 24%; and matrix (greater harvest) comprises 54%. Based on the status and trends monitoring by Miller et al. (2017) and described in Section 4.4 in the main report, the matrix lands are generally improving with respect to sediment, wood, riparian, and hydrologic condition, and the CR lands show a slight degrading trend; however, the authors stated that this is likely due to an elevated status within the CR zones and more degraded status within Matrix zones at the outset of monitoring efforts. Although some harvest does occur in the UCC basin in the AW and LSR designated areas of the NWFP (Ken Wieman, USFS, personal communication 2019), there is relatively little harvest in 40% of the land in this LU, and watershed process scores are improving based on AREMP monitoring. Watershed process conditions are likely to improve if kept under the same management regime.

2.3 Historical Conditions

These forests would have historically consisted of late-successional and late seral stage forests. Stream channels would have had significant large wood loading with a high influence on channel form, processes, and habitat. Streams would have had high habitat complexity with a high degree of connectivity to floodplains, CMZs, and off-channel habitat.

Ecological Indicators - Mid-Elevation Hillslopes	
Indicator	Description
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Functional to Moderately Impaired The potential for high-intensity stand replacing fires is higher due to decades of fire suppression, but fire return intervals would be expected to be long even under historical conditions. There may also be an increased risk of forest diseases due to forestry activities and dense even-aged stands. Increased mass wasting and flooding related to slope and road failures has likely increased disturbance to streams draining this LU as well as downstream LUs. Based on the NWFP land use allocations, timber harvest can still occur on approximately 54% of the area of this LU, with the potential for harvest-related disturbance events (e.g. mass wasting, windthrow).
Hydrologic Alteration	Functional to Moderately Impaired Hydrologic alteration varies considerably across this LU. Impairment is primarily due to high forest road densities and past timber harvest. The higher elevation areas within the National Forest are in relatively good condition and the lower elevation private commercial forest lands are in a more impaired condition. Timber harvest effects on hydrology are expected to be moderate due to land use allocations (40% CR, AW, or LSR; 54% Matrix). IWA Ratings for subwatersheds in this LU are rated as mostly “Functional” in the headwaters contributing to the upper Cowlitz and Cispus mainstem, with “Moderately Impaired” ratings in the NF Cispus drainage and “Moderately Impaired” or “Impaired” ratings in tributary basins draining the private commercial timberlands to the west, including the Kiona, Davis, and Silver Creek drainages.
Sediment Processes	Functional to Moderately Impaired Sediment alteration varies considerably across this LU. Forestry activities, including timber harvest and road building, have likely increased the potential for fine sediment delivery to stream channels from mass wasting. Timber harvest effects on sediment delivery are expected to be moderate due to land use allocations (40% CR, AW, or LSR; 54% Matrix). Sediment inputs related to forest fires are expected to be minimal under current conditions. Two large fires occurred primarily in the Cispus Basin in 1902 (Cispus Fire) and 1918 (Hamilton Fire) (USFS GIS data 2019) but significant regrowth has occurred since then. IWA Ratings for subwatersheds in this LU are mostly rated as “Moderately Impaired”, with some “Functional” ratings in higher elevation areas in the Upper Cowlitz and Cispus headwaters.
Large Wood Processes	Functional to Moderately Impaired Streams in this LU would be expected to have moderate or high wood loading, likely depending on the land use history. Most of the streams in this LU, either on private lands or in the National Forest, likely had significant reductions in large wood loading due to past timber harvests or even stream cleanouts. The wood loading is assumed to be recovering, particularly on the National Forest where there have been strong riparian protections in place since at least the mid-1990s after the beginning of the NWFP. Wood loading in the larger tributaries likely remains impaired because the wood that is available is not sufficient to serve as stable “key pieces” in the channel. Stream-adjacent forest roads and roads that interrupt debris flow paths are expected to have localized impacts to large wood availability and recruitment.
Channel Type and Form	Functional Given the range of headwater to low-gradient valley catchments, channel types would be expected to vary in this LU depending on slope and position within the catchment. Channel types are likely to range from steep source channels to low gradient response reaches. However, most channels would be expected to be transport reaches, confined within narrow valleys. There have not been significant

	impairments to channel form, except for where past timber harvests and road building have significantly reduced wood loading or have constrained floodplains and CMZs. However, these impacts are assumed to be fairly minimal given ownership and land-use allocations
Floodplain Connectivity	Functional Floodplains are not extensive due to the steep terrain, and where they exist, they are generally well-connected, with only isolated areas of impairment primarily related to forest roads.
Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Functional Lateral and vertical channel dynamics have not been significantly altered in this LU due to limited confinement due to roads and other infrastructure.
Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Functional Off-channel habitat connectivity and refugia has not been significantly altered in this LU due to limited confinement due to roads and other infrastructure
Riparian Processes	Functional to Moderately Impaired Most riparian areas in this LU have been impacted by past timber harvests. Riparian areas are recovering due to riparian buffer protections, particularly in the National Forest where strong riparian buffer protections have been in place since the mid-1990s (beginning of the NWFP). USFS stream temperature monitoring shows some exceedances of the state criteria of 16°C for the 7-day moving average of the daily maximum (7-DADMax), including Cowlitz River tributaries Summit Creek, Ohanapecosh Creek, Skate Creek, and Willame Creek; and Cispus tributaries Walupt Creek, East Canyon Creek, Prospector Creek, Pumice Creek, and 1918 Creek (USFS unpublished data). These exceedances suggest there may be some impairment to canopy cover; however, the 7-DADMax rarely exceeded 18°C. IWA Ratings for subwatersheds in this LU are rated as either “Moderately Impaired” or “Functional”, with some of the highest rated conditions in the clear fork Cowlitz, Ohanapecosh, and Iron Creek drainages.

2.4 Contributing Process Drivers

These hillslopes have the greatest influence on hydrology and fine sediment washload in the mainstem rivers. Impairments in this LU have and will continue to impact downstream habitats.

2.5 Target Fish Use

Chinook salmon critical habitat is located in the lower elevation zones of this LU, particularly up Yellowjacket Creek and the North Fork Cispus River. Coho Salmon and steelhead critical habitat is nearly

identical in this LU, and is located at lower elevation areas, including Yellowjacket Creek, North Fork Cispus River, and Skate Creek.

The USFS has identified the NF Cispus in this LU as having good potential to support salmon and steelhead. Yellowjacket Creek has also been identified as a priority watershed (Ken Wieman, personal communication 2019).

2.6 Habitat Patch Connectivity

Stream habitats within this LU are relatively well connected to up- and downstream habitats and are important for supporting overall watershed health. Stream channels within this LU represent the transition from the relatively well functioning, more protected habitat in the Highlands LU, to the Lower Hillslopes and Valley Bottom LUs that have greater human influence and less habitat protection. Watershed conditions in this LU have a strong influence on the anadromous salmonid habitats in the larger downstream stream channels, and are therefore important for protection. Upland and riparian forests in this LU are recovering from past harvest and stream habitat conditions are likely on an improving trend, particularly with respect to wood loading and stream shade. Stream channels within this LU have the potential for offering important refugia habitats relatively well-protected from the human impacts that are more prevalent in downstream areas, particularly for steelhead and coho that make greater use of the smaller stream channels in this LU.

2.7 Management Plans, Past Studies, Projects

The Mid-Elevation Hillslopes LU is 94% public forest land and is managed according to the land use allocations in the NWFP as discussed in the main report in Section 4.

2.8 Landownership

This LU is 94% federal forest land, lying mostly within the Gifford Pinchot National Forest and a small portion within Mt. Rainier National Park in the northern part of the study area. The remaining 6% lies primarily within industrial forest land in the western portion of the study area (upper Lynx, upper Lake, and upper Kiona Creek basins).

3 Lower Hillslopes

3.1 Location Description

Low elevation rain-dominated zone below approximately 500 meters in elevation.

3.2 Overview

This LU represents the “rain dominated” zone from the WA DNR rain-on-snow mapping. It roughly corresponds to elevations below 500 meters, but varies depending on aspect and vegetation conditions. It primarily consists of rolling foothills and lower elevation peaks. It contains some of the larger tributary channels contributing to the mainstem Cowlitz and Cispus rivers, with channel types ranging from source to response but dominated by transport and response reaches. Some of the channels have broad floodplains on relatively flat slopes, such as Kiona, Silver, Siler, and Iron Creeks. Much of the eastern portion of this LU is National Forest and much of the western portion is private land with agriculture, rural residential, and forestry uses. Road density is relatively high, at 5.1 mi/mi², reflecting greater human activity within this LU than the other upland LUs. This LU is about half within federal forest lands (Gifford Pinchot National Forest) and half within private lands. With respect to federal forestland management within this LU (see Section 4.4 in the main report), the NWFP land use allocations comprise the following proportion of the entire LU: CR/AW (i.e., little-to-no timber harvest) is 0%; LSR (very limited harvest) is 35%; and matrix (greater harvest) comprises 13%. Based on the status and trends monitoring by Miller et al. (2017) and described in Section 4.4 in the main report, the matrix lands are generally improving with respect to sediment, wood, riparian, and hydrologic condition, and the CR lands show a slight degrading trend; however, the authors stated that this is likely due to an elevated status within the CR zones and more degraded status within Matrix zones at the outset of monitoring efforts. Overall, 35% of the land is subjected to no or very limited timber harvest. The remainder is mostly within timber harvest areas, either federal Matrix lands or private timberlands. The Matrix lands may be improving as stated earlier. For private lands, clear-cut areas may be impaired with respect to hydrologic and sediment processes; however, riparian buffer protections on perennial streams would be expected to maintain good habitat conditions (see Section 4.4 in the main report for more info).

3.3 Historical Conditions

These forests would have historically consisted of late-successional and late seral stage forests. Stream channels would have had significant large wood loading with a high influence on channel form, processes, and habitat. Streams would have had high habitat complexity with a high degree of connectivity to floodplains, CMZs, and off-channel habitat.

Ecological Indicators – Lower Hillslopes	
Indicator	Description
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Functional to Moderately Impaired The potential for high-intensity stand replacing fires is higher due to decades of fire suppression, but fire return intervals would be expected to be long even under historical conditions. There may also be an increased risk of forest diseases due to forest practices and dense even-aged stands. Increased mass wasting and flooding related to timber harvest and road building has likely increased disturbance to streams draining this LU as well as downstream LUs. Based on land ownership (about half is private land and half is federal forest land) and NWFP land use allocations, timber harvest can still occur on approximately 65% of the area of this LU, with the potential for harvest-related disturbance events (e.g., mass wasting, windthrow).
Hydrologic Alteration	Moderately Impaired to Impaired Hydrologic impairment is primarily due to high forest and non-forest road densities and past timber harvest, as well as some conversion to agriculture and rural residential development. Timber harvest effects on hydrology are expected to be moderate-to-impaired due to ownership (48% private) and NWFP land use allocations (0% CR/ AW; 35% LSR; and 13% Matrix). IWA Ratings for subwatersheds in this LU are mostly rated as either “Moderately Impaired” or “Impaired”.
Sediment Processes	Moderately Impaired Sediment alteration is related to forestry, agriculture, and rural residential development, which has likely increased the potential for fine sediment delivery to stream channels. Timber harvest effects on sediment delivery are expected to be moderate-to-impaired due to ownership (48% private) and NWFP land use allocations (0% CR/ AW; 35% LSR; and 13% Matrix). Sediment inputs related to forest fires are expected to be minimal under current conditions since there have been no significant fires affecting the Lower Hillslopes LU (USFS GIS data 2019). IWA Ratings for subwatersheds in this LU are rated as “Moderately Impaired”.
Large Wood Processes	Moderately Impaired to Impaired Wood loading in these streams is generally low due to past and on-going timber harvest, stream-adjacent roads, agricultural uses, and rural residential development. Riparian reserves on Matrix lands within the National Forest and DNR forest practices protections for riparian buffers for perennial and fish-bearing streams on private timber lands are expected to continue to improve large wood recruitment for fish.
Channel Type and Form	Functional to Moderately Impaired Channel types are mostly transport or response reaches, with only a few areas with source reaches, such as near Huffaker Mountain on the divide between the Cowlitz and Cispus. Overall, there has not been significant impairment to channel form, except for areas subjected to significant past timber harvests or where roads, agriculture, or rural residential development have significantly reduced wood loading or have constrained floodplains and CMZs. Kiona and Siler Creeks, in particular, have been impacted by rural residential development and agriculture, including major dredging and channelization.
Floodplain Connectivity	Functional to Moderately Impaired Overall, there has not been significant impairment to floodplain connectivity, except for areas subjected to significant past timber harvests or where roads, agriculture, or rural residential development have significantly reduced wood loading or have constrained floodplains and CMZs. Kiona and Siler Creeks, in particular, have been impacted by rural residential development and agriculture. Silver Creek has been leveed where it runs through the residential areas of Randle.

Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Functional to Moderately Impaired Overall, there has not been significant impairment to lateral and vertical channel dynamics, except for areas subjected to significant past timber harvests or where roads, agriculture, or rural residential development have significantly reduced wood loading or have constrained floodplains and CMZs. Kiona and Siler Creeks, in particular, have been impacted by rural residential development and agriculture. Silver Creek has been leveed where it runs through the residential areas of Randle.
Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Moderately Impaired Off-channel habitat connectivity and refugia has been impaired by past timber harvests, or where roads, agriculture, or rural residential development have significantly reduced wood loading and have constrained floodplains and CMZs. Kiona and Siler Creeks, in particular, have been impacted by rural residential development and agriculture, reducing potential off-channel habitats. Silver Creek has been leveed where it runs through the residential areas of Randle. As channel migration and evolution is reduced, so is the generation of additional off channel habitats.
Riparian Processes	Moderately Impaired Most riparian areas in this LU have been impacted by past timber harvest or from agriculture, road building, or rural residential development. Riparian areas are recovering due to riparian buffer protections, particularly in the National Forest where strong riparian buffer protections have been in place since the mid-1990s (beginning of the NWFP). Riparian reserves on Matrix lands within the National Forest and DNR forest practices protections for riparian buffers for perennial and fish-bearing streams on private timber lands are expected to continue to improve riparian processes, including shade, bank stability, nutrient exchange, and large wood recruitment. USFS stream temperature monitoring shows some exceedances of the state criteria of 16°C for the 7-day moving average of the daily maximum (7-DADMax), including Cowlitz River tributaries Davis Creek, Silver Creek, Siler Creek, and Schooley Creek; and Cispus River tributaries Woods Creek, Greenhorn Creek, Iron Creek, and Quartz Creek (USFS unpublished data). These exceedances suggest there may be some impairment to canopy cover. Davis Creek, Siler Creek, and Woods Creek have exceeded 20°C on one or more occasions. IWA Ratings for subwatersheds in this LU are mostly rated as “Moderately Impaired”.

3.4 Contributing Process Drivers

Aquatic habitat in this LU is heavily influenced by watershed land use and management including forest practices (within this LU and upslope contributing LUs), agriculture, and rural residential development. These activities affect sediment delivery, hydrology, wood supply, and other processes. The condition of processes within this LU also contribute to downstream valley bottom LU habitats and are likely to affect the amount of fine sediment delivery, the amount of wood delivery, and water temperatures in downstream areas.

3.5 Target Fish Use

This LU contains small amounts of Chinook salmon critical habitat in Silver Creek, Muddy Fork, and mainstem Cowlitz River upstream of the Muddy Fork. Coho salmon and steelhead critical habitat is more widespread, including larger portions of tributaries such as Kiona Creek, Silver Creek, Johnson Creek, Skate

Creek, and Muddy Fork in the Upper Cowlitz basin, and Quartz Creek, Iron Creek, and Woods Creek in the Cispus basin. The USFS has identified lower Iron Creek and Woods Creek as having good potential to support salmon and steelhead (Ken Wieman, personal communication 2019).

3.6 Habitat Patch Connectivity

This LU represents a large portion of the fish-bearing tributary habitat in the study area, and therefore likely provides important spatial diversity for upper Cowlitz fish populations. Tributary habitat and processes within this LU also affect salmon and steelhead production in downstream areas. Conditions within this LU are therefore important for protection and restoration.

3.7 Management Plans, Past Studies, Projects

Federal forest lands, which make up approximately half of the LU, are managed according to the land use allocations in the NWFP. Much of the other half of the LU is private timber land, for which forest practices are governed by the WA DNR forest practices regulations (see Section 4.4 in the main report). There is very little agricultural use and only a small amount (<5%) of rural residential land in this LU. Streams and wetlands in the non-forest and non-agricultural areas are regulated through various existing management frameworks. These include protections for Waters of the US (would primarily be wetlands in this LU), administered through the WA Department of Ecology and US Army Corps of Engineers. For other shoreline areas, land use practices are governed by the Lewis County Shoreline Master Program, which regulates uses that affect riparian zones, wetlands, aquifer recharge areas, frequently flooded areas, wildlife habitat conservation areas, and geologically hazardous areas.

3.8 Landownership

The lands within the Lower Hillslopes LU are approximately half public ownership (51.6%) and half private ownership (48.4%). The lands within the Lower Hillslopes LU are approximately half public ownership (51.6%) and half private ownership (48.4%). Much of the eastern portion of this LU is National Forest and much of the western portion is private land with agriculture, rural residential, and forestry uses.

A



B



Figure 1. Representative images from the Lower Hillslopes LU. A: Private forest land adjacent to the Cowlitz River, B: Greenhorn Creek, a tributary to the Cispus River.

4 Upper Cowlitz – Muddy Fork Avulsion-Affected

4.1 Location Description

Cowlitz River Valley Bottom RM 132.5 – 128.2; previous (pre-2006) Muddy Fork confluence to grade break near Butter Creek confluence.

4.2 Overview

This area is affected by the high bedload generated from the 2006 Muddy Creek Avulsion and is still in a period of rapid adjustment. This LU is characterized by a relatively high road density (6.6 mi/ mi²), but there also appears to be considerable upland restoration (i.e., road decommissioning, thinning, etc.) that may be addressing these limitations.

4.3 Historical Conditions

This LU, located just downstream of an alluvial fan and the confluence of the Muddy Fork Cowlitz and the Clear Fork Cowlitz likely has always been a dynamic area. There have been periodic changes in this area, however, based on the recent air photo record, in the decades prior to the 2006 avulsion, this area was less dynamic. Past lahars and other large mass wasting events originating from the slopes and glaciers of Mount Rainier have periodically affected this area since the last ice age, most originating from the Muddy Fork. Large in-channel wood would have provided structure to support island braided planform, and would have increased instream habitat complexity.

Ecological Indicators – Upper Cowlitz Muddy Fork	
Indicator	Description
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Functional to Moderately Impaired Natural flood, bedload, and debris flood pulse disturbance originating primarily from the Muddy Fork related to glacial meltwater and moraine collapse events from Mount Rainier (Cowlitz and Ingraham Glaciers). Primary human disturbance is related to flood protection (levees, bank armoring, large wood removal)
Hydrologic Alteration	Functional to Moderately Impaired Minor impacts primarily related to flow diversion out of Lake Creek (Packwood Hydro diversion from Packwood Lake) that reduces flow in Lake Creek. Climate change impacts are likely to increase future flood events related to glacial melt and related moraine collapse on the mountain. IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area.

Sediment Processes	Functional to Moderately Impaired This area is affected by the high bedload generated from the 2006 Muddy Fork Avulsion. It is still in a period of adjustment. Significant aggradation occurred during and immediately following the avulsion. This avulsion and subsequent aggradation and lateral channel adjustment is believed to be mostly a natural process. Bank armoring limits recruitment of sediment from banks and therefore limits exchange of sediment and natural adjustment processes. IWA Rating “Moderately Impaired” for upstream contributing area.
Large Wood Processes	Moderately Impaired to Impaired Abundant recruitment of trees and development of log jams related to the Muddy Fork avulsion. However, many trees and jams were removed following this and other events. There is not much wood in the low flow channel. Most is high on the floodplain as well as on high in-channel bars. Historically, larger wood would have been more abundant and more stable and more engaged with the channel year-round
Channel Type and Form	Moderately Impaired Currently braided. Likely alternates from Island Braided to Braided depending on time since last flood/debris event from Mt Rainier. Beechie & Imaki (2014) predicted type is Island Braided. Currently it is mostly braided, with a few islands. Past timber harvest and smaller available wood and levees/armoring likely trends site to braided more than island braided.
Floodplain Connectivity	Moderately Impaired Connectivity is relatively high, due to aggradation of material sourced from the avulsion. The channel bed elevation is high overall in relation to the floodplain. However, floodplain connectivity has been reduced in many areas due to levees.
Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Moderately Impaired Aggradation and resulting lateral channel adjustment eroded out homes in the High Valley community following the 2006 avulsion. In the years since, it appears the channel has downcut into the aggraded coarse bedload at the upstream end, with material continuing to move downstream and creating continued channel adjustment downstream during floods. Armoring and levees in many areas, and past wood cleanouts limits lateral adjustment, which has resulted in the fair rating for this indicator.
Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Moderately Impaired Multiple thread channel. But steep and high velocity overall. Not much for quiescent backwater-type habitat; although likely there is some present at moderately high flows that inundate downstream portions of high flow braids. There is likely limited availability of low velocity areas during large floods with all braids activated. Wall-based channels and backwater channels along margins would have historically provided more of this habitat. Armoring and development has cut off this type of habitat.

Riparian Processes	Moderately Impaired to Impaired Riparian areas were historically clear-cut, then developed for residential/vacation homes. In many areas, bank armoring degrades bank and riparian vegetation. No late seral stage vegetation is left in the area. Even where there is robust second growth timber, it is not of sufficient size to provide the large structure of standing and recruited trees that would have been provided historically, and would have had much more of an effect on channel form and habitat.
--------------------	---

4.4 Contributing Process Drivers

From upstream – This LU is highly affected by flood/debris events from upstream LUs, in particular from Mount Rainier, and from avulsions around the confluence of the Muddy and Clear Forks, which will continue into the future. Inputs of large wood are contributed from upslope as well. Fine glacial sediments are contributed from the Muddy Fork.

To downstream – The coarse bedload pulse from the 2006 avulsion is continuing to move downstream. It is currently contributing smaller material (gravels/cobbles) to downstream LU, likely with coarser material and an increase in gradient moving downstream over time.

From tributaries – Flood and debris events from tributaries have been and will continue to be significant. Particularly from Coal Creek and Butter Creek, and less from Lake Creek due to the moderating influence of Packwood Lake. Wood and sediment recruitment needs are being considered in the new Packwood Hydroelectric Management Plan (Ken Wieman, USFS, personal communication 2019).

To tributaries – Aggradation of the mainstem bed will cause greater backwatering and therefore aggradation of lower portions of tributaries.

4.5 Target Fish Use

Within this LU, the mainstem Cowlitz River appears to be the primary area used by target species for spawning and rearing, based on EDT output (MBI, 1999). This highly dynamic reach is still recovering from the Muddy Fork avulsion. Relatively frequent and rapid vertical and lateral adjustments to the avulsion likely reduces suitability as spawning habitat. Additional potentially important zones for target species include lower reaches of numerous tributaries that were not included in EDT Tier 1 or Tier 2 reaches, such as Lake Creek and Hinkle Tinkle Creek. Coho salmon and steelhead may utilize lower reaches of these or other tributaries, where spawning gravels are able to aggrade and rearing habitat is more abundant, for spawning (McIntosh et al., 1990).

Chinook Salmon critical habitat in this LU is contained in the mainstem Cowlitz River and Muddy Fork. Coho Salmon and steelhead critical habitat is contained in those same mainstem areas, and also in lower tributary reaches such as Coal Creek, Hinkle Tinkle Creek, and Lake Creek.

4.6 Habitat Patch Connectivity

This LU is a transition zone, from steep headwater systems (Clear and Muddy Forks) to much flatter valley bottom areas. It will be important to maintain habitat in this LU at least as viable migration corridor to upper Clear Fork and Ohanapecosh system.

4.7 Management Plans, Past Studies, Projects

Given the recent debris flow and flooding generated from the 2006 avulsion, there have been various recent studies and projects in this LU. Recent studies have been prepared for Lewis County, including a flood hazard risk assessment (NRCS, 2009), a channel migration study (GeoEngineers, 2003 and 2009), and the July 2006 FEMA flood insurance study. The flooding related studies suggest that throughout this area there continues to be risk of additional flooding and bank erosion, including additional potentially catastrophic events. A further report (Cardno, 2014) provides recommendations for potential protection/preservation opportunities.

There have been several river projects in this LU, mostly related to flood hazard protection. These include armoring (e.g., riprap) in several locations, and some more fish-friendly armoring where large wood has been incorporated into armored banks (see Figure 2 for an example). NRCS (2009) documents flood protection projects constructed in the 1970s and the 1990s. Recent and ongoing revetment are working to protect existing structures.



Figure 2. Recent bank stabilization project with large wood and riprap at RM 131.5 (Timberline community).


4.8 Landownership

This LU is nearly 50% privately owned, including communities of Timberline and High Valley.








Figure 3. Representative images from the Cowlitz - Muddy Fork Avulsion-Affected LU. A = Upstream view of broad braid-plain from Muddy Fork avulsion. B = Long riprap along High Valley community. C = Example of abundant large cobble bars with large wood deposits. D = Example of well-connected floodplain forest with active recruitment of riparian trees.




\\WALLYTerra\Client\Files\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReach\Restoration_180233\GIS\MXD





Landscape Unit Overview

Landscape Unit:
Upper Cowlitz - Muddy Fork

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development



© 2019 Microsoft Corporation © 2019 DigitalGlobe © CNES (2019) Distribution Airbus DS



*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets
 *Imagery from Bing Maps

Landscape Unit Boundary Recovery Plan Reach Breaks River Miles	Species Distribution Chinook Salmon Coho Salmon Steelhead Trout Potential Barriers
--	--

Landscape Unit - Fish Distribution

Landscape Unit:
 Upper Cowlitz - Muddy Fork

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
 Community-based Habitat
 Strategy Development

5 Upper Cowlitz – Packwood

5.1 Location Description

Cowlitz River Valley Bottom RM 128.2 – 125.5; grade break near Butter Creek confluence to Skate Creek.

5.2 Overview

This LU is flatter gradient than the upstream LU and not yet under as much influence by the coarse bedload aggraded from the 2006 Muddy Fork avulsion. There is a high degree of residential development in this segment, as it goes through the town of Packwood, which lies within the FEMA-designated 100-year floodplain. This high degree of habitation is reflected by the road density (7.2 mi/ mi²), which is the greatest within the Upper Cowlitz. There are developed high glacial terraces (and some bedrock hillslope features) on the south/east side that are not at risk of flooding, but there are also low developed floodplain surfaces on both sides that are at risk. Substantial upland restoration projects have been completed that may benefit this LU. Floodplain development, flood protection projects (armoring/levees), and the Skate Creek Bridge affect processes and habitat. This LU includes lower Butter Creek and lower Skate Creek.

5.3 Historical Conditions

Historically this LU was likely island braided (Beechie & Imaki, 2014). Historical large forest stands and large in-stream wood would have provided high quality habitat and relative stability to island braided system, with changes occurring infrequently in response to pulse flood/debris disturbance. Abundant abandoned oxbows would have been accessible by fish for productive rearing and flood refuge.

Ecological Indicators – Upper Cowlitz Packwood	
Indicator	Description
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Moderately Impaired Flooding impacts in the river corridor is moderated by revetments and human features.
Hydrologic Alteration	Functional Climate change is likely to increase flood and debris flow events related to glacial melt and related moraine collapse on the mountain. There is also the potential for larger late fall through early spring floods related to more precipitation falling as rain rather than snow. IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area.

Sediment Processes	Moderately Impaired to Impaired Sediment dynamics have been altered due to channel confinement in the mainstem and in the Butter Creek tributary. Sediment transports more readily through the reach now than historically due to these confinements. The Butter Creek fan has been truncated by confining levees on both sides. This channel now more readily transports coarse bedload into the reach and extends the fan further out into the mainstem, which may also reduce lateral channel dynamics on the mainstem as a result. Coarse bedload from upstream of the Muddy Fork avulsion will continue to work its way downstream from the upstream LU. IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area.
Large Wood Processes	Impaired There is a lot of wood but little is engaged with the channel except at high flows. Wood appears to be rapidly re-distributed with each high flow event; whereas historically jams comprised of very large pieces would have been expected to remain stable over longer periods. There are occasional areas with good margin wood where the stream is meandering into mature forests and recruiting wood pieces. However, this wood is second growth, and provides much less structure than it may have historically where channel migration would occasionally result in recruitment of very old and large trees.
Channel Type and Form	Moderately Impaired to Impaired Channel type is braided. Beechie & Imaki (2014) predicts island braided, and it has likely changed from historically island braided to more braided. Island braided form would have been supported by large apex jams that protect sediments and allow for forest growth. Now there is less forested margin habitat.
Floodplain Connectivity	Impaired Floodplain connectivity is affected by development and levees/armoring, particularly on river-right RM 127.5 – 128. Channel incision related to armoring and to the Skate Creek Road Bridge also reduces floodplain connectivity. The town of Packwood and surrounding areas are within the FEMA-delineated 100-year floodplain, potentially leading to future armoring and reductions in connectivity and/or impacts to existing landowners.
Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Impaired Lateral connectivity is affected by a levee near RM 128 and development on the low floodplain surface on the river-right near RM 127.5, which prevents re-occupation of channel into large abandoned oxbow. Although the Skate Creek Bridge is located in an area of relatively more natural confinement compared to other areas in the LU, the bridge and upstream and downstream armoring nevertheless fixes the channel in place, causing channel incision and reduction in lateral dynamics. There are old secondary channels that have been cut off by the bridge approach fill.
Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Moderately Impaired to Impaired Some off-channel habitat is available in this LU but it is limited by human features. There is an abandoned oxbow where Butter Creek now enters, an oxbow downstream on river right near RM 127.5. Additional, smaller off-channel features exist, but are affected by development, bank armoring, and levees to various degrees. Skate Creek Bridge reduces future creation and connectivity to lateral habitats.

Riparian Processes	Moderately Impaired to Impaired Riparian areas were historically clear-cut, then developed for residential homes. In some areas, bank armoring degrades bank and riparian vegetation. No late seral stage vegetation is left in this LU. Even where there is robust second growth timber, it is not of the size to provide the large structure of standing and recruited trees that would have been provided historically. These larger trees would have had much more of an effect on channel form and habitat.
---------------------------	---

5.4 Contributing Process Drivers

From upstream – The pulse of coarse bedload coming from upstream LU derived from 2006 Muddy Fork avulsion is present in this LU and impacting physical processes. Fine, glacial meltwater sediment is contributed from upstream which increases turbidity.

To downstream – Incision related to Skate Creek Bridge has upstream and downstream effects on vertical and lateral channel dynamics.

From tributaries – There are contributions of coarse bedload from Butter Creek to the mainstem, which may extend this tributary fan farther into mainstem.

To tributaries – Butter Creek now flows into an abandoned oxbow, providing potential off-channel habitat fed by clear-water source. Tributaries that enter abandoned oxbows have less connectivity to mainstem than they would have historically due to less connectivity to these oxbow features.

5.5 Target Fish Use

Within this LU, the mainstem Cowlitz River appears to contain important high flow refuge rearing habitat—although habitat capacity is likely lower than historical conditions due to human alterations—plus some spawning habitat. In addition, tributaries such as Butter Creek, Skate Creek and the upper reaches of Hall Creek within this LU historically supported steelhead and coho salmon spawning and rearing, with the highest quality rearing habitat in the downstream alluvial sections where floodplain habitats and pools are more frequent (McIntosh et al., 1990). Upper Skate Creek appears to offer potential for high quality habitat for all three target species, and substantial restoration has been completed in this zone.

Chinook Salmon critical habitat in this LU is contained only in the mainstem Cowlitz River. Coho Salmon and steelhead critical habitat is contained in the mainstem Cowlitz as well as Skate Creek, Butter Creek, and upper Hall Creek.

5.6 Habitat Patch Connectivity

As with the downstream LU, there is good potential to restore an island braided system. Achieving this here in combination with downstream LU would provide a significant portion of restoration of river form and process that would support a complex array of aquatic habitat throughout the upper valley. Restoring this reach would also support potential restoration of the lower portions of two primary tributaries, Butter Creek and Skate Creek.

5.7 Management Plans, Past Studies, Projects

The studies developed for Lewis County after the Muddy Fork avulsion include this LU. The NRCS (2009) report describes potential risk to the Skate Creek Bridge from racked large wood. The GeoEngineers (2003 and 2009) reports describe the CMZ in this region, including an observation of bank recession from 1999-2007 (approximately at RM 127). This LU corresponds to “Cowlitz 10” reach for protection recommendations (Cardno, 2014).

Various river projects have occurred in this LU, primarily connected to bank armoring. Some actions include pieces of large wood to provide some edge fish habitat benefit.

5.8 Landownership





This LU is 67% privately owned, with a relatively high degree of residential development (nearly 30%, the greatest of any LU), as it goes through the town of Packwood. The town of Packwood and surrounding areas are within the FEMA-delineated 100-year floodplain, and significant flood risk still exists, particularly to neighborhood in floodplain river-right RM 127.





Figure 4. Representative images from the Cowlitz-Packwood LU. A = typical broad flat gravel and cobble bar. B = houses high on south bluff in Packwood area. C = Riprap and large wood bank protection structure on south bank upstream of Skate Creek Bridge. D = Skate Creek Bridge and riprap extending up- and downstream.


\\WALLYTerra\Client\Files\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReach\Restoration_180233\GIS\MXD



Landscape Unit Overview



Landscape Unit:
Upper Cowlitz - Packwood

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development



*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets

*Imagery from Bing Maps



Landscape Unit - Fish Distribution

Landscape Unit:
Upper Cowlitz - Packwood

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development

6 Upper Cowlitz – Mid-Valley

6.1 Location Description

Cowlitz River Valley Bottom RM 125.5 – 116.4; Skate Creek to Cora Bridge (Hwy 12).

6.2 Overview

Sparsely developed broad alluvial valley. Occasional impairments related to houses and human confinement features, including a moderate road density (3.9 mi/ mi²). Naturally confined short segment halfway through LU near Johnson Creek confluence. Includes lower Johnson Creek, lower Hall Creek, and lower Wilhelm Creek.

6.3 Historical Conditions

Historical photos, landscape features, and Beechie & Imaki (2014) modeling suggest this segment historically was likely a complex island braided system with multiple channels, abundant abandoned oxbows/wetlands, and large log jams. Floodplain and riparian areas were heavily forested prior to clearing for agriculture.

Ecological Indicators – Upper Cowlitz Mid-Valley	
Indicator	Description
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Moderately Impaired Flooding impacts in the river corridor is moderated by revetments and human features.
Hydrologic Alteration	Functional There is a minor alteration related to return flow from the Packwood Lake Hydroelectric Project diversion, which enters at river-left at upstream end of LU. Future climate change impacts are likely to increase flood events. IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area.

Sediment Processes	Functional to Moderately Impaired There is a high bedload supply from upstream, which is dominated by gravels and cobbles. Banks are composed primarily of coarse alluvium. Bedload supply is expected to continue to be high as pulse of coarse bedload continues to move down from upstream LUs from the Muddy Creek avulsion and the associated lateral adjustment. There is a lack of instream structure from large wood jams that likely historically served to help aggrade bedload and allow for the growth of forested island complexes. IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area, suggesting low fine sediment inputs from the catchment.
Large Wood Processes	Impaired There is wood in many areas but not much of it is engaged with the channel except at high flows. Wood appears to be rapidly re-distributed with each high flow event; whereas historically jams comprised of very large pieces would remain stable over longer periods. There are occasional areas with good margin wood where the river is meandering into mature forests, but this recruited wood is second growth and provides much less structure than it may have historically where channel migration would occasionally result in recruitment of very old and large trees from the banks.
Channel Type and Form	Impaired Currently the channel is braided and single thread. Historical photos, landscape features, and Beechie & Imaki (2014) modeling suggest this segment was likely a complex Island Braided system with multiple channels. It has likely changed from historically Island Braided to more braided and single thread due to past clearing, reduction of large wood structure (standing riparian and in-stream), and human constraints on valley width. Island braided form would have been supported by large apex jams that protect sediments and allow for forest growth. Now there is less forested margin habitat.
Floodplain Connectivity	Moderately Impaired Floodplain connectivity is only occasionally affected by development and levees/armoring. There is likely incision at the downstream end related to the Cora Bridge.
Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Functional to Moderately Impaired There is natural confinement in the middle of the LU where the Johnson Creek fan and Skyo Mountain converge on the mainstem. There are few human confining features. There is the airstrip and nearby pond and the Packwood Lake Hydroelectric Project return flow ditch river-left near RM 125. There are a couple of houses with bank armoring river-right near RM 125. There is old armoring/levee river-left RM 120. There is a washed-out road and houses river-left RM 118.5. There is armoring associated with Cora Bridge at downstream end. There is armoring along lower Johnson Creek.

Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Functional to Moderately Impaired There is good existing off-channel habitat but it likely pales in comparison to historically abundant floodplain oxbows, wetlands, and spring-fed wall-based systems. There are many opportunities to improve existing habitat and reconnect lateral habitats would potentially include temperature refuge for juvenile salmonids during the summer.
Riparian Processes	Moderately Impaired to Impaired Riparian areas were historically clear-cut and many floodplain areas are still in commercial timber production. Some areas have been developed for rural residential homes. In some areas, bank armoring degrades bank and riparian vegetation. No late seral stage stands are left in the LU. Even where there is robust second growth timber, it is not of the size to provide the large structure of standing and recruited trees that would have been provided historically, and would have had much more of an effect on channel form and habitat.

6.4 Contributing Process Drivers

From upstream – Coarse bedload will continue to work downstream from upstream avulsions and flood/debris pulses, but this reach is in relatively good equilibrium with its sediment supply.

To downstream – Incision of downstream LU, combined with past channel clearing of large wood, and confinement in this LU, has possibly extended higher slope and coarser bedload transport downstream into downstream LU.

From tributaries – Hall Creek provides clear water. Johnson Creek provides coarse bedload. Johnson, Wilhelm, and possibly others provide occasional debris flow inputs. Hall Creek provides non-glacial but tannin-heavy water. Hall Creek water quality may be impaired downstream of rural residential areas within the valley bottom.

To tributaries – Channel bed elevation is being maintained in the mainstem via high bedload supply. This supports habitat and connectivity in lower portions of tributaries.

6.5 Target Fish Use

Historically, this reach would have had greater complexity and off-channel habitat availability to support juvenile rearing, as suggested by Beechie & Imaki (2014) modeling efforts. In addition to mainstem habitat, numerous non-EDT Tier 1 or 2 tributaries appear to support target salmonids. For example, Willame Creek contains spawning gravels in the lower portion within the flat Cowlitz River valley, although accessible habitat ends at several falls a short distance upstream. Johnson Creek similarly has the highest potential to support target species spawning and rearing in lower reaches, as the upper creek is in a deep canyon (McIntosh et al., 1990). In addition, Garret, Burton, Dry, and Smith Creeks all appear to support anadromous salmonid production, as evidenced by observations documented within the WDFW SalmonScape database.

Chinook salmon critical habitat in this LU is contained in the mainstem Cowlitz River. Coho salmon and steelhead critical habitat is contained in the mainstem Cowlitz River, and several tributaries such as Hall Creek, Johnson Creek, Smith Creek, Willame Creek, and Burton Creek.

6.6 Habitat Patch Connectivity

This is a transition zone from higher gradient braided/island braided system to lower gradient highly sinuous system. This LU has the greatest potential to re-create island braided type habitat, and so should be viewed as an important linkage between upstream and downstream units.

6.7 Management Plans, Past Studies, Projects

The Lewis County channel migration study (GeoEngineers, 2003 and 2009) describes this area as “braided river section” and notes areas of localized erosion, but does not identify any major additional hazards in this area. The Cardno (2014) protection report includes the following reaches within this LU: Cowlitz 9 (Skate Creek), Cowlitz 8, Cowlitz 7a and 7b (Smith Creek), Cowlitz 6, and Cowlitz 5. Bank revetments have been installed in this area.

6.8 Landownership

Landownership in this LU is greater than 75% privately owned. This area includes less development than the two upstream LUs, however there are residential and agricultural land uses throughout the area.











Figure 5. Representative images from the Cowlitz-Mid-Valley LU. A = riprap bank protecting houses river-right near RM. B = broad braid-plain typical of this reach (near RM 123). C = riprap failing into channel near RM 120. D = Elements of island-braided system downstream of RM 120. E = road washout (and now rock barb protection) river-left near RM 118.5.



*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets
 *Imagery from Bing Maps




Landscape Unit Overview



Landscape Unit:

Upper Cowlitz - Mid-Valley

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
 Community-based Habitat
 Strategy Development




© 2019 Microsoft Corporation © 2019 DigitalGlobe © CNES (2019) Distribution Airbus DS



*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets

*Imagery from Bing Maps



Landscape Unit - Fish Distribution

Landscape Unit:
Upper Cowlitz - Mid-Valley

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development

7 Upper Cowlitz – Randle

7.1 Location Description

Cowlitz River Valley Bottom RM 116.4 – 97.7; Cora Bridge (Hwy 12) to Scanewa Backwater.

7.2 Overview

This area is characterized by a low gradient meandering channel that has cut down through glaciofluvial sediments. There have been substantial changes from historical conditions where much of the valley bottom would have regularly flooded. Widespread agricultural development, bank armoring, bridges, instream wood removal, riparian clearing, flood protection have all impacted instream habitat. This LU is characterized by moderate road density (4.8 mi/ mi²).

7.3 Historical Conditions

Historically, this LU would have had a low gradient highly sinuous planform with tortuous meanders, flow splits and side channels, and abundant abandoned oxbows and floodplain wetlands. The channel planform would have adjusted slowly over time or rapidly as avulsions during large, infrequent floods. Large portions of floodplain would have likely flooded annually during spring run-off, and nearly all of valley bottom would have flooded during large infrequent floods. There would have been a broad age range of riparian and floodplain forests, ranging from new deciduous growth on newly formed bar surfaces to patches of late seral stage conifer forest. In the channel there would have been large, relatively stable log jams supported by very large key pieces.

Ecological Indicators – Upper Cowlitz Randle	
Indicator	Description
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Moderately Impaired to Impaired Flood disturbance is now expressed differently on the landscape than under “natural” conditions. There are now more interactions of floodwaters (and associated erosion) with human property and infrastructure. These impacts, and the human responses to them, have altered this disturbance process; and generally results in an impairment of natural river processes and habitat as a result.
Hydrologic Alteration	Functional No significant withdrawals, regulation, or inputs. Future climate change impacts are likely to increase flood events. IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area.

Sediment Processes	Impaired Valley bottom is comprised of glaciofluvial sediments. Banks are high (5-12 feet). Bank stratigraphy is consistent throughout the LU, with the bottom quarter to half comprised of coarse bedload (gravels and cobbles) and topped with mostly fines, some small gravels, and some ash layers. In many areas, old buried logs and jams are being exhumed via bank erosion. Channel bedload is gravels and cobbles. Past vegetation clearing on banks and floodplain areas is speeding bank erosion and recruitment of bank material, which is high in fines. Sediment dynamics (scour, transport, deposition) is likely more active than it was historically. IWA Rating “Moderately Impaired” for upstream contributing area.
Large Wood Processes	Moderately Impaired to Impaired There are some very large accumulations of wood in this LU, both from recently transported wood and wood being exhumed from eroding banks. More wood is interacting with the low flow channel compared to upstream reaches, likely due to the exhumed wood on banks that is partially in the channel but that remains stabilized by partial burial. Observation of occasional large old pieces and stumps suggests that very large key pieces derived from late seral stage forests were once present and likely abundant. These likely served to stabilize large jams that would influence channel complexity, dynamics, and would help maintain high sinuosity and low gradient (e.g. Figure 7).
Channel Type and Form	Moderately Impaired to Impaired The channel type in this LU has likely been transformed from highly sinuous, multi-thread meandering to mostly single-thread, less sinuous meandering, with some braided channel features within the active channel. Beechie & Imaki (2014) modeling suggests that the upper half would have been island braided and lower half would have been meandering. Based on landscape features and historical maps and aerials, it is more likely that all of it was once highly sinuous meandering but with multiple channels.
Floodplain Connectivity	Impaired There is less floodplain connectivity in this LU than there was historically. Historically, floodplain inundation likely occurred annually during spring run-off, when large portions of the valley bottom would be inundated regularly, with nearly the entire valley bottom inundated during large, infrequent floods. Currently, annual floods are mostly contained within the active channel, with floodplain inundation occurring only during large floods, and with the entire valley bottom almost never inundated. This shift is likely related to channel incision, and possibly widening of the now active channel. These are in turn related to meander cut-offs and reduction in sinuosity as a result of widespread agricultural development of the valley bottom, channel confinement, channel armoring, and possibly stream cleanouts. Floodplain fill and development, including elevated roadways, has also cut off large portions of floodplain.

Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Impaired The channel is laterally active, with erosion now happening at a greater rate than historically, likely related to vegetation clearing and increased gradient (and lower sinuosity), which increases erosive energy. Channel is incised, and may be in a renewed period of aggradation following past incision, but this is difficult to quantify.
Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Moderately Impaired to Impaired Well-connected off-channel habitats have been reduced from what were likely abundant off-channel features. There remain some connected side-channels, abandoned oxbows, and alcoves, but not much in the active low-flow channel. Flood refuge is likely available and possibly abundant, and would also be associated with some large wood complexes.
Riparian Processes	Impaired Most riparian areas in this LU were historically clear-cut, mostly to facilitate agricultural production and some likely for timber production. In some areas, bank armoring degrades bank and riparian vegetation. Very little to no late seral stage vegetation is left in the area. Even where there is robust second growth timber, it is not likely large enough to have the kinds of impacts to channel form and habitat that would have been seen with historical late seral stage wood.



Figure 6. Deeply buried wood being exhumed from river bank due to bank erosion near RM 110.



Figure 7. Large stump in channel near RM 113.

7.4 Contributing Process Drivers

From upstream – Possibly more coarse bedload is being delivered to the upper end of the LU from upstream compared to historically. This is due to channel incision increasing gradient at the upstream end of the LU, and rapid erosion of banks upstream and confinement at Cora Bridge.

To downstream – More fines and bedload are being delivered downstream due to more lateral erosion. There is currently less floodplain storage than historically, which likely affects the downstream LU by transporting more sediment to it.

From tributaries – The lower tributaries are affected by road crossings, development, and agriculture. Alluvial fans have been disconnected. There is likely more coarse bedload delivery, and greater fines delivery than historically. There may be water quality issues from agricultural practices, rural development, and urban development in and near Randle.

To tributaries – Incision likely has reduced channel bed elevations, potentially as much as 5-10 feet. Tributaries that enter abandoned oxbows have less connectivity to mainstem than they would have historically due to less connectivity to these oxbow features.

7.5 Target Fish Use

The mainstem Cowlitz River in this area historically would have provided abundant high-flow refugia areas in off-channel wetland, oxbows, and side channels. The mainstem Cowlitz within this area is likely still utilized by juvenile salmonids during high flows, however the capacity is likely reduced from historical conditions as the mainstem is incised from the floodplain. Numerous tributaries that may represent important—if small—pockets of spawning and rearing habitat, many of which are Tier 3 and 4 in EDT.

These include sections of Siler, Kiona, Hampton, Silver, Cunningham, and Davis Creeks, plus a few others. Notably, Kiona Creek historically contained high quality spawning habitat up-valley, with low gradient and abundant wetlands downgradient within the Cowlitz River valley that would have provided high-quality coho rearing habitat. Silver Creek appears to still contain high quality spawning and rearing habitat near the mouth (McIntosh et al., 1990); the approximate length of anadromy is 5 miles (Ken Wieman, USFS, personal communication 2019). While falls, roughly 3 miles from the mouth, limit fish migration into upstream reaches. Numerous additional tributaries (e.g., Surrey Creek, Owens Creek) likely historically contributed spawning and rearing habitat in their lower reaches, although their current capacity to support spawning and rearing appears reduced.

Chinook salmon critical habitat in this LU is contained in the mainstem Cowlitz River and Silver Creek. Coho salmon and steelhead critical habitat is contained in those same areas, and several tributaries including Kiona Creek, Davis Creek, Siler Creek, Peters Creek, and Oliver Creek.

7.6 Habitat Patch Connectivity

There are important patches within this segment that have relatively intact forested conditions. These are highlighted in the Cardno protection report (2014). Linking these patches with other high-quality habitat may be beneficial by increasing the connectivity of high-quality habitat.

7.7 Management Plans, Past Studies, Projects

The Lewis County channel migration study (GeoEngineers, 2003 and 2009) describes this as the “meander bend section”. The most dramatic channel evolution in this reach has been at the River Ranch area just downstream of the Cora Bridge (at the upstream portion of this LU). In several places the CMZ includes portions of Highway 12. The proposed protection reaches Cowlitz 4, Cowlitz 3, Cowlitz 2 and Cowlitz 1 are included in this LU (Cardno, 2014). Bank stabilization projects have occurred in this reach, including the work along Highway 12 (Figure 8).



Figure 8. Bank stabilization adjacent to Highway 12 with barbs.

7.8 Landownership

The Randle LU is more than 85% privately owned, the second greatest proportion of private ownership of any LU. Land use is agricultural and rural residential, with concentrated developed areas near the town of Randle.













Figure 9. Representative images from the Cowlitz-Randle LU. A = old levee river-left downstream of Cora Bridge. B = typical of the high banks in the LU (near RM 115). C1 = Example of bank stratigraphy. C2 = close up of ash and charcoal layer. C3 = close up of tephra layer that is persistent throughout LU and believed to be from the St. Helens eruption in 1479. C4 = close up of charcoal layer that underlies the tephra layer in upstream portion of LU. D = giant log jam near RM 112. E = bank barbs for bank protection along highway near RM 108.5. F = recruited maple creating large jam near RM 107. G = riprap river-right upstream of mill. H = Siler Creek. I = across from Kiona Creek mouth.




\\WALLYTerra\Client\Files\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReachRestoration_180233\GIS\MXD




Landscape Unit Overview

Landscape Unit:
Upper Cowlitz - Randle

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development




© 2019 Microsoft Corporation © 2019 DigitalGlobe © CNES (2019) Distribution Airbus DS



0 2,300 4,600 9,200 Feet

*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets
*Imagery from Bing Maps



Landscape Unit - Fish Distribution

Landscape Unit:

Upper Cowlitz - Randle

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development

8 Upper Cowlitz – Scanewa

8.1 Location Description

Cowlitz River Valley Bottom RM 97.7 -90; Scanewa Backwater to Lake Scanewa.

8.2 Overview

This LU lies within the backwater influence of Lake Scanewa. It is partially confined by glacial terraces and landslide deposits. This LU is characterized by the lowest road density of the valley bottom LUs (3.0 mi/mi²).

8.3 Historical Conditions

Historically, the upper portion of this LU was similar to the upstream LU and the remainder was partially confined by glacial terraces and from landslide deposits off of the western ridge of Huffaker Mountain. But in-channel habitat was much more suitable to salmon and steelhead use compared to the backwater-influenced condition present today. The channel had pool-riffle habitat, abundant bar-forms, wood jams, and other complexity that is currently missing.

Ecological Indicators – Upper Cowlitz Scanewa	
Indicator	Description
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Impaired Lake Scanewa backwater reduces natural fluvial disturbance and adjustment processes.
Hydrologic Alteration	Impaired The hydro-regulation effects (backwater) from Cowlitz Falls Dam and Lake Scanewa are significant in this LU. IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area.
Sediment Processes	Impaired Sediment dynamics in this LU are highly altered by backwater effects. Historically, the channel segment would have been a transport and response reach, with temporary storage of upstream and locally delivered material, followed by mobilization downstream. It is now fully a response reach, with all of the coarse bedload and much of the fine load deposited in Lake Scanewa. IWA Rating “Moderately Impaired” for upstream contributing area.

Large Wood Processes	Impaired Large wood processes are highly altered. Wood that enters this reach either locally or from upstream now floats down into Lake Scanewa and collects there or is removed from the dam forebay. Large wood likely provides little impact on fish habitat in this segment
Channel Type and Form	Impaired Backwater effect has changed this system from a pool-riffle channel, possibly island braided in some areas, to a lake.
Floodplain Connectivity	Impaired The backwater effect has partially or fully inundated low floodplain surfaces in some areas and has reduced the important flood pulse channel-floodplain processes that occur in natural systems. There are some areas where high flows inundate old channel scars and create floodplain wetlands. These areas likely provide good potential foraging habitat for juvenile salmonids, but they are limited and of unknown value, particularly with respect to flood refuge, which would not be expected to be limiting in this segment.
Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Impaired The backwater effect has reduced the gradient and energy needed for natural lateral adjustment processes. Channel bed is vertically aggrading as bedload material collects in Lake Scanewa.
Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Moderately Impaired to Impaired There appear to be some off-channel wetlands available and accessible, particularly at the downstream end of the LU just above the lake; however, their use by salmon and steelhead is unknown.
Riparian Processes	Moderately Impaired to Impaired Many segments of the riparian areas and floodplains in this LU are vegetated, but stand age is young. Regardless, riparian vegetation is unable to interact with the system as it would have historically prior to hydro-regulation. Its effect on bank stability, temperature (via shade), and large wood recruitment has been degraded.

8.4 Contributing Process Drivers

From upstream – Bedload is delivered this reach and aggrades in Lake Scanewa. Large wood from upstream floats through and either eventually sinks in the lake or is removed from the dam forebay. The backwater/dam provides base-level control to the upstream LU.

To downstream – Hydro-regulation of this LU has significant impacts to the downstream areas outside the study area.

From tributaries– There are no significant tributary inputs to this LU.

To tributaries – There are no significant tributary inputs to this LU. However, the backwater may actually increase fish passage connectivity to lower Schooley Creek, which is located at the upstream end of the LU.

8.5 Target Fish Use

Under current conditions, the mainstem Cowlitz within this LU likely is predominantly used for adult and juvenile migration. Salmon and steelhead released upstream of Cowlitz Falls Dam as part of the truck-and-haul program commonly pass through Lake Scanewa on the way to spawning grounds in the Upper Cowlitz or Cispus Rivers (Kock et al., 2016). All juveniles produced in the basin must pass through this reach during outmigration. The backwater effect from Cowlitz Falls Dam reduces water velocities and makes the area unsuitable for spawning. Residence times of salmon and steelhead in this LU are likely low but relatively unknown.

Of note, Lambert Creek may offer potential coho and steelhead spawning and rearing habitat. Lambert Creek was omitted from the prior EDT analysis, likely due to inaccessibility associated with a box culvert near the mouth. However, it appears that a PCSRF project sponsored by Lewis County removed this culvert in 2006, opening up nearly 5 km of habitat.

Chinook salmon, coho salmon, and steelhead critical habitat in this LU is contained in the mainstem Cowlitz River.

8.6 Habitat Patch Connectivity


This is the upper end of the Cowlitz River hydrosystem, which has significant impacts to fish passage and river ecological processes in the Cowlitz Basin. Addressing this large impediment would connect important patches of better functioning habitat up- and downstream, but this is outside the scope of this effort.

8.7 Management Plans, Past Studies, Projects




Dam operations predominantly control the conditions in this LU and are regulated through Tacoma Power's FERC license.

8.8 Landownership

The landownership in this LU is almost entirely privately owned (the greatest proportion private of any LU), with the primary land use as agricultural.



\\WALLYTerra\Client\Files\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReachRestoration_180233\GIS\MXD




Landscape Unit Overview



Landscape Unit:

Upper Cowlitz - Scanewa

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development



\\WALLYTerra\Client\Files\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReachRestoration_180233\GIS\MXD



*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets
 *Imagery from Bing Maps

Landscape Unit Boundary	Species Distribution
Recovery Plan Reach Breaks	Chinook Salmon
River Miles	Coho Salmon
	Steelhead Trout
	Potential Barriers

Landscape Unit - Fish Distribution

Landscape Unit:
 Upper Cowlitz - Scanewa

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
 Community-based Habitat
 Strategy Development

9 Cispus – Upper

9.1 Location Description

Cispus River Valley Bottom RM 30.7 to 21.7; Canyon Creek confluence to valley widening near RM 21.7.

9.2 Overview

This upper segment of the Cispus River study area is confined in the upstream portion and partially confined in the downstream portion. There are pockets of forested islands present in this LU. The riparian area of this channel segment is heavily vegetated with mature forest and possibly some patches of late seral stage forest. There is abundant large wood in the channel except in the confined reaches. The LU lies entirely within the Gifford Pinchot National Forest and is mostly isolated from roadway or other impacts, although LU road density is moderate (5.6 mi/ mi²).

9.3 Historical Conditions

Historical conditions would not have been substantially different from today except for the presence of late seral stage riparian stands and very large and relatively stable log jams in the channel. This riparian and in-channel structure would have reduced the frequency of channel adjustment and would have increased hydraulic and habitat complexity. Portions of this LU would have had island braided planform (Beechie & Imaki, 2014), more than exists today, with relatively stable secondary or co-dominant channel formation that would persist for many years until large, infrequent floods would cause adjustments.

Ecological Indicators – Cispus Upper	
Indicator	Description
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Functional to Moderately Impaired Large catastrophic fires are probably more likely now due to fire suppression and younger, denser forest stands. Because of the steep, directly contributing hillslopes, fire in this area would contribute significant sediment and debris that would affect this LU and downstream LUs. Stream system is likely less resilient to flood and debris flow disturbance due to smaller riparian trees and smaller in-channel large wood.
Hydrologic Alteration	Functional There are no withdrawals, regulation, or artificial inputs. Future climate change impacts are likely to increase flood events. IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area.
Sediment Processes	Functional There are no major impacts on sediment sources, sinks, or processes. It’s possible there is more active erosion of bank sediment due to past timber harvests and younger trees, leading to potentially less storage of sediments than would have occurred with large log jams. But these impacts are not particularly significant and are on a trend towards recovery.

	IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area.
Large Wood Processes	Functional to Moderately Impaired Abundant wood except for in upstream confined sediments. Wood is likely of smaller size than historically, where very large late seral stage trees would have provided stable key pieces. Many current pieces are nevertheless large and do form large jams that can persist for years. Because of the partial confinement, wood is not large enough, in many cases, to persist in the low flow channel; whereas historically the very large pieces would persist even in the active channel. A few locations, however, do have channel spanning jams.
Channel Type and Form	Functional Channel type is partially or mostly confined pool-riffle channel, with a few pockets of island braided planform. There are a few straight, plane-bed sections. The presence of island braided conditions may have been reduced somewhat from historical conditions, and there may also have been a reduction in wood-forced pool morphology; but overall there is not a significant change.
Floodplain Connectivity	Functional Where they naturally exist, floodplains are well-connected.
Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Functional Where there is a wider unconfined valley, the river moves around or is characterized by split flows around forested island complexes. Lateral dynamics may be slightly greater under current conditions due to younger forests and smaller in-channel wood, but this relatively minor and is on an improving trend as the forest matures. Possibly slight channel incision due to less abundance of large stable jams, causing some disconnection of floodplain channels.
Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Functional Where it exists, there is connectivity to off-channel habitat.
Riparian Processes	Functional Trees are mostly second growth, but there are some patches of what appear to be late seral stage stands. The riparian forest is protected under current USFS policy and is maturing. USFS stream temperature monitoring shows some exceedances of the state criteria of 16°C for the 7-day moving average of the daily maximum (7-DADMax) on the mainstem Cispus River (USFS unpublished data). These exceedances suggest there may be some impairment to canopy cover.

9.4 Contributing Process Drivers

From upstream and tributaries – There are high bedload and wood inputs from headwater systems. Most of this material is transported through the LU.

To downstream – Most upstream and locally generated wood and bedload is transported to the lower gradient and less confined LUs downstream, to the broad, laterally active, alluvial Cispus Valley.

9.5 Target Fish Use

This LU provides adult migration, spawning, and juvenile rearing and migration habitat for target species, primarily within the mainstem Cispus, and also potentially in the lower reaches of some tributaries. Rearing habitat value may be naturally limited within the mainstem during higher flows due to relatively high gradient relative to reaches downstream.

Chinook salmon critical habitat in this LU is contained in the mainstem Cispus River, while coho salmon and steelhead critical habitat also includes lower Twin Creek.

The USFS has identified the mainstem Cispus in this LU as having good potential to support salmon and steelhead (Ken Wieman, personal communication 2019).

9.6 Habitat Patch Connectivity



This LU represents an important transition zone from flatter downstream alluvial valley to headwater systems. It is currently functioning properly in that capacity and is well-protected.

9.7 Management Plans, Past Studies, Projects


The LU is within the Gifford Pinchot National Park and is governed by the Northwest Forest Plan and the WA DNR Forest Practices Act.

9.8 Landownership

The LU lies entirely within the Gifford Pinchot National Forest.




*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets
 *Imagery from Bing Maps





Landscape Unit Overview

Landscape Unit:
 Cispus - Upper


Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
 Community-based Habitat
 Strategy Development



\\WALLYTerra\client\Files\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReachRestoration_180223\GIS\MXD



*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets
 *Imagery from Bing Maps



Landscape Unit - Fish Distribution

Landscape Unit:
 Cispus - Upper

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
 Community-based Habitat
 Strategy Development

10 Cispus – Mid-Valley

10.1 Location Description

Cispus River Valley Bottom RM 21.7 – 17.5; Valley widening near RM 21.7 to Cispus Road Bridge.

10.2 Overview

This LU includes a highly dynamic braided channel, with some vestiges of island braided character. There is high bedload, multi-thread channels, high large wood loading and jams, high complexity, and multiple age-classes of young to very mature forests. There is some bank protection/restoration project history in this LU, most recently with riprap protection of FS Road 23 at the upstream end (2018 project). There are also older engineered log jams along FS 23 in now-abandoned oxbows. Incision and channel simplification at downstream end of this LU is observed, related to Cispus Road Bridge and approach fill. The North Fork Cispus enters this segment and has push-up levees along the lower portion that disconnects the tributary from its historical fan. This LU is characterized by moderately high road density (6.4 mi/ mi²)

10.3 Historical Conditions

Dynamic island braided system, with multiple side channels and co-dominant channels separated by forested islands. Multiple age-classes of riparian and floodplain vegetation from newly established to late seral stage. Massive relatively stable log jams that span channel, force planform changes, and meter flow into side channels and off-channel areas. Abundant and well-connected off-channel features including abandoned oxbows and floodplain wetlands.

Ecological Indicators – Cispus Mid-Valley	
Indicator	Description
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Functional The stream system is less resilient to flood and debris flow disturbance due to smaller riparian trees and smaller in-channel large wood assumed to be a result of historical forest management practices.
Hydrologic Alteration	Functional No withdrawals, regulation, or artificial inputs. Future climate change impacts are likely to increase flood events. IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area.

Sediment Processes	Functional There are no major impacts on sediment sources, sinks, or processes. There may be more active erosion of bank sediment due to past timber harvests and younger trees. And compared to historical conditions, there is possibly less storage of sediments in the channel due to a lack of large log jams that would have helped to further protect mid-channel bars and to support forested island development. But these impacts are not particularly significant and are on a trend towards recovery. There have been some localized increases in sediment inputs from past road washouts, especially at the FS 23 Road washout near RM 21, but these are relatively small inputs overall and in the case of the RM 21 washout have been halted with bank armoring. Upriver road washouts have also contributed sediment to this reach and since the 1996 flood, the channel has been very dynamic due to excess sediment (Ken Wieman, USFS, personal communication 2019). IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area.
Large Wood Processes	Functional to Moderately Impaired There is abundant wood, much of it interacting with the low flow channel, and also abundant in secondary channels, floodplain overflow channels, and throughout the floodplain. Wood is likely of smaller size than historically, where very large late seral stage trees would provide stable key pieces. Many current pieces are nevertheless large and do form occasional large jams that can persist for 2-5 years based on observations from the recent historical air photo record. Stream-adjacent roads including the 23 and 2801 roads affect large wood availability and recruitment in some locations. There is a lack of large wood input in the upper NF Cispus (Ken Wieman, USFS, personal communication 2019).
Channel Type and Form	Functional to Moderately Impaired Historically, this LU was likely an island braided system. This conclusion is supported by the Beechie & Imaki (2014) predictions as well as site observations. It has transitioned to a more braided morphology, likely due to past timber harvest and related reduction in riparian stand size and in-channel wood size, and possibly from greater delivery of coarse bedload from upstream for similar reasons. Channel form appears to be trending back towards island braided as the forest matures. The incision related to the Cispus Road Bridge will limit this trend from happening at the downstream end of the LU.
Floodplain Connectivity	Functional to Moderately Impaired This LU has mostly good floodplain connectivity, with abandoned oxbows and much of the other floodplain areas regularly wetted during annual high flows, based on site observations. The incision and cross-valley levee related to the Cispus Road Bridge and fill limits floodplain connectivity at the downstream end of the LU. The lower North Fork Cispus is confined by push up levees and has severely reduced floodplain connectivity.
Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Moderately Impaired Much of the LU is in good condition with respect to vertical and lateral dynamics. There is a push-up levee that was constructed as part of the FS 23 riprap at upstream end (RM 21). Levee is located opposite the armor (and associated engineered log jam) and serves to fix the river in place at this

	location. It will likely eventually wash out during large floods but could persist for years depending on the hydrology. There is new riprap armoring on river-right at the upstream end of the LU (RM 21) to protect FS 23, but the road is at the toe of the hillslope so this is not a major lateral constriction. There is riprap river-right along an abandoned oxbow and along a portion of the active mainstem (RM 19-19.7). The riprap is intermittent and failing, but nevertheless is limiting lateral channel migration and margin habitat in this area. The Cispus Road Bridge at the downstream end has caused channel incision and has reduced lateral dynamics. However, during very large floods, the bridge may provide a constriction that results in upstream bedload deposition and a lateral response. Evidence for this response can be seen in the abandoned oxbow river-right upstream of the bridge, which possibly developed during the 1996 flood. The lower North Fork Cispus is confined by push up levees and has severely reduced lateral connectivity.
Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Functional to Moderately Impaired There is generally high connectivity to off-channel habitats including abandoned oxbows, floodplain wetlands, and alcoves along channel margins. The riprap river-right from RM 19-19.7 limits the development and connectivity to off-channel habitat in that area. The incision related to the Cispus Road Bridge limits connectivity to off-channel habitats at the downstream end. Push up levees on the lower North Fork Cispus limit off-channel and distributary fan channel habitat availability.
Riparian Processes	Functional Trees are mostly second growth, but there are some patches of what appear to be late seral stage stands. The riparian forest is protected under current US Forest Service policy and is maturing. Riparian conditions are impaired at the FS 23 riprap bank, at the upstream end, and at downstream end around the Cispus Road Bridge. USFS stream temperature monitoring shows some exceedances of the state criteria of 16°C for the 7-day moving average of the daily maximum (7-DADMax) on Camp Creek and the mainstem Cispus River (USFS unpublished data). These exceedances suggest there may be some impairment to canopy cover.

10.4 Contributing Process Drivers

From upstream – This reach is more of a response reach than the upstream LU and represents a break in valley grade and width where material delivered from upstream aggrades. Large wood generated from abundant upstream sources also deposits in this reach.

To downstream – Material (bedload and wood) is delivered to downstream LUs but this LU is mostly a sink for much of this material.

From tributaries – Coarse bedload from the North Fork Cispus is more readily transmitted to this reach due to artificial confinement of the lower North Fork.

To tributaries – Conditions in the mainstem generally lead to high connectivity to tributaries through this reach.

10.5 Target Fish Use

This LU includes the lowermost reaches of Camp Creek and the North Fork Cispus and high floodplain connectivity contains good floodplain and off-channel habitat that is likely used by juvenile salmonids during high-flow periods for rearing. The mainstem within this LU is complex and appears to contain high quality spawning habitat. The North Fork historically contained a healthy run of coho salmon and provided juvenile rearing habitat as well (McIntosh et al., 1990).

Chinook salmon critical habitat in this LU is contained in the mainstem and North Fork Cispus River, while coho salmon and steelhead critical habitat also includes lower Camp Creek.

The USFS has identified the lower NF Cispus and the mainstem Cispus in this LU as having good potential to support salmon and steelhead (Ken Wieman, personal communication 2019).

10.6 Habitat Patch Connectivity

This LU represents an important valley bottom segment that is of relatively high quality and needs to be maintained.

10.7 Management Plans, Past Studies, Projects

The LU is within the Gifford Pinchot National Park and is governed by the Northwest Forest Plan and the WA DNR Forest Practices Act. There have been multiple US Forest Service projects in Cispus mainstem, mainly associated with FS Road 23 protection (Figure 10). A couple of the older projects are now within abandoned oxbows.



Figure 10. Large engineered log jam at upstream of FS Road 23 repair near RM 21.



Figure 11. Older engineered log jam placed along FS Road 23 near RM 19 (now in abandoned oxbow).

10.8 Landownership

This LU comprises public forest land, almost exclusively.











Figure 12. Representative images from the Cispus-Mid-Valley LU. A = USFS Road 23 repair near RM 21. B = large ELJ at upstream end of USFS Road 23 repair. C = push-up levee created across from USFS Road 23 repair. D = large wood complexity typical of this reach. E = high terrace being eroded into near RM 20. F = riprap bank along spur road along oxbow near RM 19.5. G = failing riprap bank near RM 19.5. H = large wood complexity typical of this LU. I = Channel incision through aggraded bed material upstream of Cispus Road Bridge.



\\WALLYTerra\Client\Files\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReachRestoration_180233\GIS\MXD



0 850 1,700 3,400 Feet


*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets
*Imagery from Bing Maps

- Landscape Unit Boundary
- Parcel Boundaries
- River Miles
- Levee and/or Armoring
- Roads



Landscape Unit Overview

Landscape Unit:
Cispus - Mid-Valley


Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development



\\WALLYTerra\Client\Files\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReachRestoration_180223\GIS\MXD



*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets
 *Imagery from Bing Maps



Landscape Unit - Fish Distribution

Landscape Unit:
 Cispus - Mid-Valley

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
 Community-based Habitat
 Strategy Development

11 Cispus – Tower Rock

11.1 Location Description

Cispus River Valley Bottom RM 17.5 – 13.5; Cispus Road Bridge to start of channel confinement.

11.2 Overview

This LU has a dynamic braided and island-braided channel type. It has low sinuosity compared to historical conditions. There is some in-channel wood, but much of it is perched on bar surfaces. There are young to middle-aged riparian forest stands, many dominated by alder with Himalayan blackberry undergrowth. Private development and US Forest Service campground impair and disconnect floodplains and lateral channel migration. This LU includes lower Yellowjacket Creek, a major tributary, which has a complex and dynamic lower end but is incised with floodplain and CMZ disconnection due to the Cispus Road Bridge crossing 0.75 miles up from the confluence with the Cispus River. This LU is characterized by the second highest road density of any LU within the study sub-basin (7.3 mi/ mi²).

11.3 Historical Conditions

Historically, this LU was likely a dynamic island braided system, with multiple side channels and co-dominant channels separated by forested islands (Beechie & Imaki 2014). It would have included multiple age-classes of riparian and floodplain vegetation from newly established to late seral stage. There would have been massive, relatively stable log jams that spanned the channel, forced planform changes, and metered flow into side channels and off-channel areas. There were abundant and well-connected off-channel features including abandoned oxbows and floodplain wetlands.

Ecological Indicators – Cispus Tower Rock	
Indicator	Description
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Functional to Moderately Impaired Compared to natural conditions, the stream system is now less resilient to flood and debris flow disturbance due to past timber harvests resulting in much smaller riparian trees and smaller and less abundant in-channel large wood. There have also been channel and floodplain encroachment, including from the road and campground.
Hydrologic Alteration	Functional No withdrawals, regulation, or artificial inputs. Future climate change impacts are likely to increase flood events. IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area.

Sediment Processes	Functional to Moderately Impaired There is currently more active erosion of bank sediment due to past timber harvests and younger trees, which in some cases is contributing fines to the channel. Compared to historical conditions, there is likely considerably less storage of sediments/bedload in the channel due to a lack of large log jams that would have helped to protect mid-channel bars and to support forested island development. The frequency and magnitude of erosion of the channel bed has likely increased due to channel straightening and a reduction in log jams, which increases stream energy. This has likely shifted the regime more towards transport, although it is still very much a response reach overall. IWA Rating “Moderately Impaired” for upstream contributing area.
Large Wood Processes	Moderately Impaired There is less wood in this LU compared to upstream. Most of the wood is atop bars and does not interact with low flow channel. The channel is eroding into forested stands and recruiting wood in some locations, with some bank-attached trees providing good margin habitat; but the stands are either alder or young conifers, and are ultimately not recruiting material large enough to serve as key pieces in the active channel.
Channel Type and Form	Moderately Impaired The historical channel type was likely either highly sinuous meandering or island-braided. Beechie & Imaki (2014) modeling predicts island braided. Now it is braided and single-thread wandering. Sinuosity has been sharply reduced through meander cut-offs. Channel form would have been historically highly influenced by large log jams that would help stabilize forested islands and create side channel and multiple co-dominant channels. There is currently less margin habitat than would have occurred historically.
Floodplain Connectivity	Moderately Impaired The broad, historically active floodplain has been disconnected by floodplain development and confining features, and also by channel straightening and likely a bit of related incision. Floodplain connectivity has been decreased due to the Cispus Road Bridge at the upstream end of the LU, as described for that LU. There is also armoring and a remnant road/levee on river-left near the old road crossing near RM 15.8. There are numerous residences (mostly vacation cabins) and the US Forest Service Tower Rock Campground that lie within the river-left floodplain. Floodplain connectivity on the Yellowjacket Creek fan is disconnected by the Cispus Road that forms a levee across the east end of the fan. The floodplain of Yellowjacket Creek is disconnected by the Cispus Road Bridge (over Yellowjacket Creek) and eastern approach fill; the disconnection is being worsened by the bridge-related channel incision.
Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Moderately Impaired There is a high glacial terrace bordering the river-right side in the downstream half of the LU, and a bedrock outcrop river-right RM 16.1. There is an old bridge abutment river-left RM 15.7 as well as an old segment of levee/armoring on the old south bridge approach that may be preventing migration to the south. The levee is close to the Tower Rock Campground and may be providing protection to this area. There is riprap river-left at downstream end associated with cabins in the Tower Rock community. The Yellowjacket Creek fan is laterally constrained by the Cispus Road that forms a levee across the east end of the fan. The upper fan/floodplain is constrained by the Cispus Road Bridge over Yellowjacket Creek, creating channel incision.

Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Moderately Impaired Off-channel habitat availability and quality has been reduced from historical conditions due to changes in channel type, lateral dynamics, and floodplain connectivity. There does exist off-channel rearing and refuge habitat at higher flows, but not much connected at lower or average flows and much less available habitat than historically, where side channels, well-connected abandoned oxbows, and connected floodplain wetlands would have been abundant. There is off-channel habitat in Yellowjacket Creek, primarily in the east distributary channel scar, that is wetted and mostly accessible to fish from the downstream end. This has also been the location of habitat improvements. Off-channel habitat in other areas of Yellowjacket Creek, particularly in the vicinity of the bridge and upstream, has been reduced due to channel incision from the bridge.
Riparian Processes	Moderately Impaired This LU has younger forest stands compared to the upstream LUs. There is also a much greater presence of invasive species – primarily Himalayan blackberry and Scotch Broom. In some cases, dense blackberry within alder stands is limiting natural succession by preventing conifer undergrowth. There are older forests on the steep hillslopes at the downstream end providing some wood inputs. And in many cases, there is good new willow growth occurring on newly formed gravel bars. USFS stream temperature monitoring shows some exceedances of the state criteria of 16°C for the 7-day moving average of the daily maximum (7-DADMax) on Yellowjacket Creek and the mainstem Cispus River (USFS unpublished data). Yellowjacket Creek shows at least one exceedance of 20°C for the 7-DADMax. These exceedances suggest there may be some impairment to canopy cover.

11.4 Contributing Process Drivers

From upstream – This LU has less wood and coarse sediment loading compared to upstream LUs. Some of this material may be working its way down to this area. The backwater effect of Cispus Road Bridge during large floods may be limiting some of the continuity between the reaches.

To downstream – The downstream constriction point, where natural confinement increases, helps to hold and control grade of this LU. Most bedload and wood that is transported out of this reach is readily transported down through the downstream (“Cispus River Valley - Lower”) LU to Lake Scanewa.

From tributaries – Yellowjacket Creek is a major tributary that enters the Cispus River in this LU.

Confinement from the bridge, and the Cispus Road that forms a levee across the eastern portion of the fan, has reduced connectivity across the entire historical fan surface, and likely results in a greater contribution of coarse bedload and wood to the mainstem as a result.

To tributaries – Nearby tributaries appear to be accessible to fish. Tributaries appear to have mostly adjusted to the minor incision that has occurred in the mainstem.

11.5 Target Fish Use

The Cispus River in this LU is relatively low gradient and less confined compared to upstream reaches, and contains suitable spawning gravels for salmon and steelhead. The mainstem Cispus River between Iron Creek and the North Fork Cispus historically contained the best spawning habitat in the Cispus subbasin

(McIntosh et al., 1990). Yellowjacket Creek contains relatively good habitats for rearing and migration, but complexity is reduced by floodplain encroachment and infrastructure.

Chinook salmon critical habitat in this LU is contained in the mainstem Cispus River and Yellowjacket Creek. Coho salmon critical habitat includes those areas and Dry Creek and Covell. Steelhead critical habitat includes the mainstem Cispus River, Yellowjacket Creek, and Covell Creek.

The USFS has identified the mainstem Cispus in this LU as having good potential to support salmon and steelhead. Yellowjacket Creek has also been identified as a priority watershed (Ken Wieman, personal communication 2019).

11.6 Habitat Patch Connectivity

This is a very important valley bottom segment that links to the upstream LU that has high potential for improvement. Improving this link would create a long well-functioning segment of the middle alluvial Cispus Valley.

11.7 Management Plans, Past Studies, Projects

Reaches identified for protection include Cispus 4 and Cispus 3 (Cardno, 2014). An Erosion Hazard Study was completed for Lewis County in response to channel migration after the 1996 flooding (Harrera, 2004). Subreaches 1, 2 and 3 from this study are contained within this LU. This document provides valuable synthesis information about channel evolution in this area, and also identifies channel migration and avulsion hazards in the area. Multiple US Forest Service projects have been completed in Yellowjacket Creek.

11.8 Landownership

Landownership in this LU is split almost equally between private ownership and public ownership. The area is within the Gifford Pinchot National Forest, but also includes a small residential community.




Figure 13. Yellowjacket Creek projects with large wood








Figure 14. Representative images from the Cispus-Tower Rock LU. A=Looking downstream near confluence with Yellowjacket Creek. B=Washed out bridge. C=within historical alignment. D=riprap protecting Tower Rock community (RM14). E=wood accumulation on Yellowjacket Creek.




\\WALLYTerra\Client\Files\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReach\Restoration_180233\GIS\MXD





Landscape Unit Overview

Landscape Unit:
Cispus - Tower Rock


Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development



\\WALLYTerra\Client\Files\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReachRestoration_180233\GIS\MXD



*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets
 *Imagery from Bing Maps



Landscape Unit - Fish Distribution

Landscape Unit:
 Cispus - Tower Rock

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
 Community-based Habitat
 Strategy Development

12 Cispus – Lower

12.1 Location Description

Cispus River Valley Bottom RM 13.5; Start of channel confinement to Lake Scanewa.

12.2 Overview

This is a confined and partially confined channel, bordered by glacial terraces and bedrock hillslopes, with steep gradient and alternating pool-riffle and plane bed channel segments. Forests are mostly second growth, but mature. In-channel wood abundance is relatively low. There is very little human development or direct impacts to the LU, except for the backwater effect of Lake Scanewa at the downstream end. The upper portion is within the National Forest and the lower portion is commercial timber land. This LU is characterized by the greatest road density of any LU within the sub-basin (7.4 mi/ mi²).

12.3 Historical Conditions

Historical channel planform would have been similar to today due to natural confinement. However, late seral stage riparian stands and large in-channel wood delivered from upstream would have created large jams in some locations, particularly in pockets of valley widening and split flow areas. Bank-attached fallen trees would also have provided important key pieces for jam development as well as complex channel margin habitat.

Ecological Indicators – Cispus Lower	
Indicator	Description
Natural vs. Human Disturbance	Functional to Moderately Impaired The primary change in disturbance regime is the backwater effect of Lake Scanewa at the downstream end, but this only really affects the very downstream end of the LU due to the high gradient channel.
Hydrologic Alteration	Functional to Moderately Impaired Lake Scanewa backwater affects channel conditions at the downstream end of the LU. Future climate change impacts are likely to increase flood events. IWA Rating “Functional” for upstream contributing area.
Sediment Processes	Functional to Moderately Impaired There is likely less bedload stored in this segment compared to historical conditions due to loss of in-stream wood. Otherwise, sediment processes are likely fairly unaltered. IWA Rating “Moderately Impaired” for upstream contributing area.

Large Wood Processes	Moderately Impaired In-channel wood abundance is relatively low because everything except large late seral stage trees have trouble self-stabilizing in this channel. There are occasional bank-attached fallen trees that remain along margins, but these do not persist for long. There is also wood that racks up on the upstream sides of islands or that is perched on the occasional bar features or on bedrock shelves, but not much is engaged with the low flow channel.
Channel Type and Form	Functional There has been no major alteration because the channel is naturally confined. There likely has been a reduction in scour pools and backwater pools that would have been created by large jams, historically.
Floodplain Connectivity	Functional There has been no major alteration because the channel is naturally confined.
Lateral and Vertical Channel Dynamics	Functional There has been no major alteration because the channel is naturally confined. Lake Scanewa backwater likely has created aggradation of bedload material at the downstream end of the LU.
Off-Channel Habitat Connectivity and Refugia	Functional There has been no major alteration because the channel is naturally confined.
Riparian Processes	Functional to Moderately Impaired The upper portion of the LU in the National Forest has well-forested riparian zones and connected upland forests. Riparian forests are generally mature second growth, with some older stands. The lower portion, within private timber lands, generally has a forested 200-foot buffer of second growth forest, with connected upland forests ranging from recently clear-cut to mature second growth. USFS stream temperature monitoring shows some exceedances of the state criteria of 16°C for the 7-day moving average of the daily maximum (7-DADMax) on lower Greenhorn Creek, lower Iron Creek, lower Woods Creek, and the mainstem Cispus (USFS unpublished data). These exceedances suggest there may be some impairment to canopy cover.

12.4 Contributing Process Drivers

From upstream – There is coarse bedload and wood delivered to this LU from upstream, low gradient alluvial segments.

To downstream – Most material delivered to this LU channel segment is transported through to Lake Scanewa.

From tributaries – Major tributaries include Quartz, Woods, Iron, and Greenhorn. Quartz, Iron, and Greenhorn drain the southern range extending south towards Mount St Helens and are fairly steep, high bedload cobble and boulder systems as they enter the Cispus. These tributaries have flood signatures from the 2006 and/or the 1996 events based on the age of alder colonization on bars, indicating debris flow activity during at least the 1996 event. Woods Creek is lower gradient and drains more gentle topography between the Cispus and Cowlitz, with abundant headwater wetlands.

To tributaries – No significant influence.

12.5 Target Fish Use

The Cispus River in this LU has relatively lower gradient compared to upstream reaches and contains suitable spawning gravels for all species. The mainstem Cispus River between Iron Creek and the North Fork Cispus historically contained the best spawning habitat in the Cispus subbasin (McIntosh et al., 1990). Floodplain and off-channel habitats are limited as a result of natural valley confinement.

Historical and current fish use of tributaries within this LU is limited by gradient and barrier falls. Quartz Creek fish passage is limited by a barrier falls, and steelhead historically spawned up to the falls. Crystal Creek is steep and fish use is likely limited to downstream areas. Woods Creek historically had very low baseflow (1 cfs) and is too small and rough to provide salmonid habitat. Greenhorn Creek contains limited spawning habitat and has a barrier falls limiting fish passage upstream. Iron Creek supports steelhead and coho salmon spawning and rearing, and historical observations showed that fish were abundant up to the falls (McIntosh et al., 1990).

Chinook salmon critical habitat in this LU is contained in the mainstem Cispus River, while coho salmon and steelhead critical habitat also includes Quartz Creek, Iron Creek, Greenhorn Creek, and Woods Creek lower Twin Creek. Steelhead critical habitat also includes Crystal Creek.

The USFS has identified lower Iron Creek, Woods Creek, and the mainstem Cispus upstream of Iron Creek in this LU as having good potential to support salmon and steelhead (Ken Wieman, personal communication 2019).

12.6 Habitat Patch Connectivity

This channel segment is primarily an important migration corridor, with adult holding and likely steelhead parr rearing. Although it is not a critically important or threatened link between upstream and downstream segments, it does need to have at least some occasional holding pools and velocity refuge for juveniles during high flows.

12.7 Management Plans, Past Studies, Projects





The upper section of this LU, above Greenhorn Creek, is included in the CMZ study (Herrera, 2004). The study identifying reaches for protection includes Cispus 1 (Quartz Creek) and Cispus 2 in this LU. Approximately 30% of this LU is within the Gifford Pinchot National Park and is guided by the Northwest Forest Plan and the WA DNR Forest Practices Act.

12.8 Landownership

Landownership in this LU is predominantly private, with forestry being the primary land use.




Figure 15. Representative images from the Cispus-Lower LU. A= looking downstream at RM7 at bridge. B=Iron Creek. C: RM 9, boulders and minimal in-channel wood.





Landscape Unit Overview

Landscape Unit:
Cispus - Lower

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development



\\WALLYTerra\Client\Files\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReachRestoration_18023\GIS\MXD



*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets
 *Imagery from Bing Maps

 Landscape Unit Boundary	Species Distribution
 Recovery Plan Reach Breaks	 Chinook Salmon
 River Miles	 Coho Salmon
	 Steelhead Trout
	 Potential Barriers

Landscape Unit - Fish Distribution

Landscape Unit:
Cispus - Lower

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development

© 2019 Microsoft Corporation © 2019 DigitalGlobe © CNES (2019) Distribution Airbus DS

References

- Beason, S.R., P.M. Kennard, L.C. Walkup, and T.B. Abbe. 2019. Landscape response to climate change and its role in infrastructure protection and management at Mount Rainier National Park. Mount Rainier Science Brief. National Park Service, Mt Rainier National Park. Accessed January 3, 2019.
- Beechie, T. and H. Imaki. 2014. Predicting natural channel patterns based on landscape and geomorphic controls in the Columbia River basin, USA. *Water Resources Research*, Vol. 50, 39–57.
- Cardno Entrix. 2014. Upper Cowlitz River Basin Reach Characterization Project – Identifying River Reaches Suitable for Protection. Prepared for Tacoma Power.
- Crandell, D. R. and R. D. Miller. 1974. Quaternary Stratigraphy and Extend of Glaciation in the Mount Rainier Region, Washington. Geological Survey Professional Paper 847. US Department of the Interior.
- GeoEngineers. 2003. Report Geomorphic Evaluation and Channel Migration Zone Analysis for the Upper Cowlitz River and Rainey Creek. Lewis County, Washington. Prepared for Lewis County Public Works
- GeoEngineers, 2009. Report Geomorphic Evaluation and Channel Migration Zone Analysis Addendum. Cowlitz River, Rear Packwood and Randle, Lewis County, Washington. Prepared for Lewis County Public Works.
- Herrera. 2004. Reach Analysis and Erosion Hazard Management Plan: Cispus River from River Mile 12.3 (Greenhorn Creek) to River Mile 17.6) Cispus Road Bridge. Prepared for Lewis County Public Works.
- Kock, T.J., B.K. Ekstrom, T.L. Liedtke, J.D. Serl, and M. Kohn. 2016. Behavior patterns and fates of adult steelhead, Chinook salmon, and coho salmon released into the upper Cowlitz River Basin, Washington, 2005–09 and 2012: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2016-1144, 36 p., <http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20161144>.
- LCFRB (Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board). 2010. Appendix E. Integrated Watershed Assessment. WA Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish & Wildlife Subbasin Plan, Vol 3.
- LCFRB (Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board). 2010. Upper Cowlitz Subbasin, Chapter F. in Lower Columbia Salmon Recovery and Fish & Wildlife Subbasin Plan, Vol.2.
- McIntosh, B., S. Clarke, and J. Sedell. 1990. Bureau of Fisheries Stream Habitat Surveys: Cowlitz River Basin, Summary Report 1934-1942. Portland, OR, Bonneville Power Administration. DOE/BP-02246-4.
- NRCS (Natural Resources Conservation Service). 2009. Upper Cowlitz River: FINAL Flood Hazard Risk Assessment Report, Lewis County, WA. Prepared by TetraTech.
- USFS (US Forest Service) 2019. Northwest Forest Plan – Document Library. <https://www.fs.fed.us/r6/reo/library/documents.html>
- WA DNR (Washington Department of Natural Resources). 2018. Rain-on-Snow GIS coverage. Washington Geospatial Open Data Portal (<http://geo.wa.gov/datasets/wadnr::rain-on-snow>).

Appendix E: Action Tables and Maps

Habitat Action Tables

Descriptive tables of habitat actions are presented here. The tables are divided by landscape unit (LU).

Habitat Action Maps

Maps of habitat actions are presented here. The maps include the outlines of each valley-bottom LU, but in many cases the LUs span multiple pages. The action maps are shown from upstream to downstream, with the Cowlitz River first and the Cispus River second. References for the datasets used in the maps are as follows:

- Action Areas (developed by Inter-Fluve);
- Landscape Unit Boundaries (developed by Inter-Fluve);
- Levee and/or Armoring (various sources, including Lewis County data);
- Parcel Boundaries (GIS dataset from Lewis County data);
- Roads (GIS dataset adapted from County data and other sources);
- River Miles (USGS, 2007¹); and,
- NHD Streams (USGS, 2004²).

¹ U.S. Geological Survey. 2007. USGS WDFW River Miles. [Reston, Va.]: U.S. Dept. of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey. Digitized by Ecology from 7.5 minute 24k topographic quadrangle maps.

² U.S. Geological Survey. 2004. National Hydrography Dataset. [Reston, Va.]: U.S. Dept. of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey,

Table 1. Specific actions identified for the Upper Cowlitz River Valley – Muddy Fork LU. See maps for action locations.

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
1	UCMF	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation, channel migration, and sediment transport processes by modifying (e.g. removing / setting back) levees and armoring, development, and roadways located within hazard areas caused by debris flow related erosion and avulsions off of Mount Rainier. Would require relocation of infrastructure and features out of flood hazard zone. Would provide secondary benefits of flood hazard reduction to communities.	RL	129.0	131.2	1. Removing impediments to channel migration (e.g., bank armoring, infrastructure and assets) to provide the river with adequate width as it adjusts to the 2006 avulsion; 3. Protecting riparian areas that are functioning well; and, 4. Enhancing tributary habitats (e.g., wood jam installation).	Restoring CMZ would help to restore components of Island Braided channel type that would support highly complex main channel and side-channel spawning and rearing habitat for steelhead, chinook, and coho.	Medium-to-long	Low. Established communities and vacation cabins with significant (though likely inadequate over the long-term) flood protection infrastructure in place.	\$\$\$
2	UCMF	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation, channel migration, and sediment transport processes by modifying (e.g. removing / setting back) levees and armoring, development, and roadways located within hazard areas caused by debris flow related erosion and avulsions off of Mount Rainier. Would require relocation of infrastructure and features out of flood hazard zone. Would provide secondary benefits of flood hazard reduction to communities.	RR	125.3	131.0	1. Removing impediments to channel migration (e.g., bank armoring, infrastructure and assets) to provide the river with adequate width as it adjusts to the 2006 avulsion; 3. Protecting riparian areas that are functioning well; and, 4. Enhancing tributary habitats (e.g., wood jam installation).	Restoring CMZ would help to restore components of Island Braided channel type that would support highly complex main channel and side-channel spawning and rearing habitat for steelhead, chinook, and coho.	Medium-to-long	Low. Established communities and vacation cabins with significant (though likely inadequate over the long-term) flood protection infrastructure in place.	\$\$\$
3	UCMF	Enhance and Create	Enhance habitat cover, complexity, and structure in lower Coal Creek where channelization and simplification have impaired instream habitat.	RL	131.2	131.2	4. Enhancing tributary habitats (e.g., wood jam installation).	Restoring habitat structure, cover, and complexity would primarily benefit spawning and rearing for steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate-to-high. Private downstream of highway and USFS above.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
4	UCMF	Protect	Protect undeveloped and forested parcel within flood hazard zone. Protect via willing landowner agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	129.0	129.8	3. Protecting riparian areas that are functioning well.	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate. Mainly one owner, but may be a developer.	\$
5	UCMF	Protect	Protect undeveloped and forested parcel within flood hazard zone. Protect via willing landowner agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RR	129.0	129.7	3. Protecting riparian areas that are functioning well; and,	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate. Multiple private parcels and a homeowners association.	\$\$
6	UCMF	Enhance and Create	Enhance habitat cover, complexity, and structure in lower Lake Creek where channelization, simplification, and timber harvest have impaired instream habitat.	RL	129.0	129.0	4. Enhancing tributary habitats (e.g., wood jam installation).	Restoring habitat structure, cover, and complexity would primarily benefit spawning and rearing for steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate. Two private parcels.	\$

Table 2. Specific actions identified for the Upper Cowlitz River Valley – Packwood LU. See maps for action locations.

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
7	UCP	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation and channel migration by modifying (e.g. relocating) development and roadways located within the flood hazard zone. Would provide secondary benefits of flood hazard reduction to communities.	RL	126.4	129.2	1. Removing impediments to channel migration (e.g., bank armoring, infrastructure and assets) and reconnecting the channel to its floodplain (e.g., selective grading to lower and revegetate floodplain surfaces); 3. Managing riparian forests for the production of trees that are large enough to produce wood that can self-stabilize in the channel;	Restoring CMZ would help to restore components of Island Braided channel type that would support highly complex main channel and side-channel spawning and rearing habitat for steelhead, chinook, and coho.	Medium-to-long	Low. Established communities and vacation cabins with significant (though likely inadequate over the long-term) flood protection infrastructure in place.	\$\$\$
8	UCP	Reconnect and Restore	Restore sediment storage and lateral connectivity to re-create anastomosing channel pattern including forested island complexes & multiple thread channels. Achieve this by using large apex jams to stimulate sediment aggradation and island formation; and also, via reconnection of side-channels.	Chan	126.4	129.1	1. Removing impediments to channel migration (e.g., bank armoring, infrastructure and assets) and reconnecting the channel to its floodplain (e.g., selective grading to lower and revegetate floodplain surfaces); 2. Kick starting the re-establishment of an island braided channel pattern with the construction of mainstem large wood jams;	Installing large apex jams and creating an island braided system would create pools, enhance margin complexity, help to stabilize spawning gravels, and provide side-channel refugia. These improvements would benefit spawning for Chinook and steelhead; juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and adult holding and migration habitat for all salmonids.	Short - Long	Moderate-to-low. Periodic adjacent infrastructure at risk.	\$\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
9	UCP	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation and channel migration along lower Butter Creek by modifying (e.g. removing / setting back) levees and armoring, development, and roadways located within historical floodplain/CMZ and flood hazard zone. Address incision caused by extensive levee system. Would provide secondary benefits of flood hazard reduction to communities.	RR	128.3	128.3	1. Removing impediments to channel migration (e.g., bank armoring, infrastructure and assets) and reconnecting the channel to its floodplain (e.g., selective grading to lower and revegetate floodplain surfaces); 2. Kick starting the re-establishment of an island braided channel pattern with the construction of mainstem large wood jams; 5. Enhancing tributary habitats (e.g., riparian revegetation).	Addressing levee confinement would help to change straightened and confined high energy and low complexity reach into a meandering stream with pool-riffle and step-pool habitats that would support spawning and rearing of steelhead and coho and possibly chinook.	Short	Low. Heavily developed area protected by existing levees, though may be inadequate during large debris flow events in Butter Creek.	\$\$\$
10	UCP	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation and channel migration by modifying (e.g. removing / setting back) levees and armoring, development, and roadways located within flood hazard zones. Would provide secondary benefits of flood hazard reduction to communities.	RR	127.3	128.0	1. Removing impediments to channel migration (e.g., bank armoring, infrastructure and assets) and reconnecting the channel to its floodplain (e.g., selective grading to lower and revegetate floodplain surfaces); 3. Managing riparian forests for the production of trees that are large enough to produce wood that can self-stabilize in the channel; 4. Protecting riparian areas that are functioning well; and,	Restoring CMZ would help to restore components of Island Braided channel type that would support highly complex main channel and side-channel spawning and rearing habitat for steelhead, chinook, and coho.	Medium-to-long	Low. Established communities and vacation cabins with significant (though likely inadequate over the long-term) flood protection infrastructure in place.	\$\$\$
11	UCP	Enhance and Create	Enhance connection to the mainstem and habitat complexity within the abandoned oxbow. If reconnection of UCP-4 occurs, it could be grouped with this area for larger-scale reconnect and restore opportunities.	RR	127.0	127.6	5. Enhancing tributary habitats (e.g., riparian revegetation).	Enhancing connectivity to the mainstem and habitat within the oxbow would enhance fish access to high quality off-channel rearing habitat. Primarily would benefit juvenile rearing and flood refuge for steelhead, coho, and chinook.	Short	High. Forest Service land.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
12	UCP	Protect	Protect undeveloped and forested parcel within low floodplain surface and flood hazard zone. Protect via willing landowner agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RR	126.4	127.0	3. Managing riparian forests for the production of trees that are large enough to produce wood that can self-stabilize in the channel; 4. Protecting riparian areas that are functioning well; and,	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate. Multiple private parcels.	\$\$
13	UCP	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation and channel migration zone connectivity impaired by Packwood Bridge crossing and nearby development and associated floodplain fill, levees, and armoring.	Chan	126.2	126.4	1. Removing impediments to channel migration (e.g., bank armoring, infrastructure and assets) and reconnecting the channel to its floodplain (e.g., selective grading to lower and revegetate floodplain surfaces); 2. Kick starting the re-establishment of an island braided channel pattern with the construction of mainstem large wood jams;	Restoring lateral connectivity would improve habitats including pools, riffles, wood cover, spawning gravels, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would benefit spawning for Chinook and steelhead; juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and adult holding and migration habitat for all salmonids.	Medium	Low. Large infrastructure, high cost, multiple landowner and community interests	\$\$\$
14	UCP	Protect	Protect undeveloped and forested parcel within low floodplain surface and flood hazard zone. Protect via willing landowner agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RR	126.4	127.0	3. Managing riparian forests for the production of trees that are large enough to produce wood that can self-stabilize in the channel; 4. Protecting riparian areas that are functioning well;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate. Multiple private parcels.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
15	UCP	Reconnect and Restore	Restore sediment storage and lateral connectivity to re-create anastomosing channel pattern including forested island complexes & multiple thread channels extending along the Skate Creek alluvial fan. Achieve this by using large apex jams to stimulate sediment aggradation and island formation; and also via reconnection of side-channels.	RR	125.5	126.3	1. Removing impediments to channel migration (e.g., bank armoring, infrastructure and assets) and reconnecting the channel to its floodplain (e.g., selective grading to lower and revegetate floodplain surfaces); 2. Kick starting the re-establishment of an island braided channel pattern with the construction of mainstem large wood jams; 5. Enhancing tributary habitats (e.g., riparian revegetation).	Installing large apex jams and creating an island braided system would create pools, enhance margin complexity, help to stabilize spawning gravels, and provide side-channel refugia. These improvements would benefit spawning for Chinook and steelhead; juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and adult holding and migration habitat for all salmonids.	Short - Long	High. WA State Park property.	\$
16	UCP	Enhance and Create	Enhance habitat cover, complexity, and structure in Hall Creek where it enters the valley floor and where simplification and past timber harvest have impaired instream habitat.	RL	~127		5. Enhancing tributary habitats (e.g., riparian revegetation).	Restoring habitat structure, cover, and complexity would primarily benefit spawning and rearing for steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate. Multiple private parcels.	\$
17	UCP	Reconnect and Restore	Enhance Hall Creek where it is incised through pasture and open ground via channel reconstruction and reconnection of floodplain inundation processes. Address incision from Park Ditch crossing.	RL	~126		5. Enhancing tributary habitats (e.g., riparian revegetation).	Restoring channel planform and floodplain connectivity would benefit spawning and rearing of steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate. Low in area of ditch crossing. High in areas up- and downstream.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
18	UCMV	Reconnect and Restore	Restore sediment storage and lateral connectivity to re-create anastomosing channel pattern including forested island complexes & multiple thread channels. Achieve this by using large apex jams to stimulate sediment aggradation and island formation; and also, via reconnection of side-channels.	Chan	122.5	126.2	2. Restoring connectivity to the floodplain; 3. Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes; 4. Initiating the formation of stable islands; and, 5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Installing large apex jams and creating an island braided system would create pools, enhance margin complexity, help to stabilize spawning gravels, and provide side-channel refugia. These improvements would benefit spawning for Chinook and steelhead; juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and adult holding and migration habitat for all salmonids.	Short - Long	High. Minimal adjacent infrastructure at risk.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
19	UCMV	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation and channel migration by modifying (e.g. removing / setting back) levees and armoring, airstrip, rural development, old gravel pond, and roadways. Would require relocation of infrastructure and features out of flood hazard zone.	RL	123.1	125.9	2. Restoring connectivity to the floodplain; 3. Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes; 4. Initiating the formation of stable islands; and, 5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes and side-channel connectivity would improve habitats including channel types, wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Medium - Long	Low as a whole, but moderate in upstream section where it is unclear what the levee is protecting. Multiple private parcels and residences. Addressing airstrip and highway would be challenging. Set-back levees likely required in various areas.	\$\$\$
20	UCMV	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation and channel migration via select relocation of structures out of flood hazard zone and through removal / setting-back of bank armoring.	RR	124.8	125.5	2. Restoring connectivity to the floodplain; 3. Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes; 4. Initiating the formation of stable islands; and, 5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Medium - Long	Low. High cost for relatively low benefit due to houses and minimal area reconnected.	\$\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
21	UCMV	Protect	Protect undeveloped timber land parcel within flood hazard zone. Protect via willing landowner agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RR	124.6	125.0	1. Protect existing, high-functioning areas	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	High. Two timberland owners.	\$
22	UCMV	Protect	Protect and enhance this minimally developed floodplain and CMZ that is within the flood hazard zone and has abundant channel scars, including an old oxbow that has good reconnection potential. Protect via willing landowner agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	122.7	124.2	1. Protect existing, high-functioning areas	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes existing side-channel habitat and potential future mainstem habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	High. Multiple private parcels but some large. Mostly undeveloped.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
23	UCMV	Protect	Protect floodplain and CMZ area. May be at low risk of development as a result of limited access. Protect via willing landowner agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RR	123.0	123.7	1. Protect existing, high-functioning areas	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes existing side-channel habitat and potential future mainstem habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	High. Single timberland owner.	\$
24	UCMV	Protect	Protect and enhance buffered section of Hall Creek through private timber land. Protect via willing landowner agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	124.0	125.4	1. Protect existing, high-functioning areas	Existing processes and habitat in this area are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	High. Currently undeveloped timberland. Only a few private parcels.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
25	UCMV	Enhance and Create	Enhance habitat complexity and riparian conditions in Hall Creek through a rural residential zone.	RL	123.3	124.0	5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing complexity and riparian conditions will create immediate cover and complexity habitat and long-term riparian functions including wood recruitment, shade, and natural bank stability. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho. Possible benefits to steelhead and coho spawning.	Short	High. Multiple private owners but some large ones and would only require landowner agreements.	\$
26	UCMV	Reconnect and Restore	Reduce artificial confinement and increase habitat complexity in lower Hall Creek.	RL	123.0	123.3	2. Restoring connectivity to the floodplain; 3. Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes; 5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Restoring lateral connectivity and habitat in lower Hall Creek would improve channel type and instream complexity with benefits to coho, Chinook, and steelhead spawning and juvenile rearing.	Short	Moderate. Addressing confinement from Hwy 12 is challenging. Enhancement downstream is highly feasible.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
27	UCMV	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation and channel migration via select relocation of structures out of flood hazard zone. Important to address if restoration of old oxbow occurs (UCMV-5).	RL	122.7	123.2	2. Restoring connectivity to the floodplain; 3. Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes; 4. Initiating the formation of stable islands; and, 5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing CMZ/floodplain processes and [future potential] margin habitat would improve wood cover, margin complexity, and riparian processes. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho.	Medium	Low. Multiple private parcels and houses.	\$\$\$
28	UCMV	Enhance and Create	Reduce levee confinement where feasible & cost effective. Enhance complexity and off-channel habitat. Highly impacted by levees and Hwy 12 crossing. Assume full fan processes not restored.	RL	122.6	122.7	5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing processes and habitat in lower Johnson Creek are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat primarily for steelhead, with some potential benefits for Chinook and coho.	Short	High. Mostly within stream corridor.	\$
29	UCMV	Enhance and Create	Enhance instream cover and complexity, particularly channel margin habitat.	Main channel	121.2	122.5	5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing cover and complexity is expected to support juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for steelhead, chinook, and coho.	Short	Moderate. Completely within stream corridor but high energy zone.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
30	UCMV	Protect	Protect lower alluvial section of Willame Creek. Protect via willing landowner agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RR	121.2	121.6	1. Protect existing, high-functioning areas	Existing processes and habitat in lower Willame Creek are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat primarily for steelhead and coho.	Short	Mod-High. Mostly one timberland owner but already a vacation cabin or two.	\$
31	UCMV	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation and channel migration in this moderately developed area within CMZ hazard zone. Achieve this via select relocation of structures out of flood hazard zone, removal of fill, and reconnection of former side-channels. Action considered strategic because the structures/development need to be addressed prior to further action.	RL	119.8	122.0	2. Restoring connectivity to the floodplain; 3. Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes; 5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes and side-channel connectivity would improve habitats including channel types, wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat for all species over the long-term.	Medium	Low-to-moderate. Multiple private parcels with some rural residential development.	\$\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
32	UCMV	Protect	Protect and restore this partially developed floodplain and CMZ that is within the flood hazard zone and has numerous channel scars. Protect via willing landowner agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	120.0	121.6	1. Protect existing, high-functioning areas	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	High. Several private parcels but a few large timber parcels. Relatively undeveloped.	\$\$
33	UCMV	Reconnect and Restore	Restore sediment storage and lateral connectivity to re-create anastomosing channel pattern including forested island complexes & multiple thread channels. Achieve this by using large apex jams to stimulate sediment aggradation and island formation; and also, via reconnection of side-channels.	Chan	116.7	121.2	2. Restoring connectivity to the floodplain; 3. Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes; 4. Initiating the formation of stable islands; and, 5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Installing large apex jams and creating an island braided system would create pools, enhance margin complexity, help to stabilize spawning gravels, and provide side-channel refugia. These improvements would benefit spawning for Chinook and steelhead; juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and adult holding and migration habitat for all salmonids.	Short - Long	High. Minimal adjacent infrastructure at risk.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
34	UCMV	Reconnect and Restore	Reduce limitations to channel migration through removal of a section of no-longer-needed levee/armoring and enhance activation of side channel.	RL	119.5	120.2	2. Restoring connectivity to the floodplain; 3. Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes; 4. Initiating the formation of stable islands; and, 5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing CMZ processes and side-channel connectivity would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho.	Short - Medium	High. Levee and riprap appear to be no longer protecting anything. Undeveloped timber land	\$
35	UCMV	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation and channel migration in this moderately developed area within CMZ hazard zone. Achieve this via select relocation of structures and other features (e.g. roadways) out of flood hazard zone, removal of fill, and reconnection of former side-channels. Great opportunity for re-connection of long side channel from RM 117.7 to 119.6. Action considered strategic because the structures/development need to be addressed prior to further action.	RL	116.7	120.0	2. Restoring connectivity to the floodplain; 3. Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes; 4. Initiating the formation of stable islands; and, 5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes and side-channel connectivity would improve habitats including channel types, wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat for all species over the long-term.	Medium	Low. Multiple private parcels and rural residential development.	\$\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
36	UCMV	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RR	118.3	118.8	1. Protect existing, high-functioning areas	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Mod-High. Undeveloped. Mainly one owner.	\$\$
37	UCMV	Strategic	Reduce floodplain and CMZ disconnection created by Cora Bridge and approach fills, and from the upstream and downstream levees and armoring. Increase bridge span and/or create perforations through the approach fills to convey greater flood flows. Action considered strategic because the bridge needs to be addressed prior to further action.	RL and RR	115.8	116.7	2. Restoring connectivity to the floodplain; 3. Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes; 4. Initiating the formation of stable islands; and, 5. Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Restoring lateral connectivity would improve habitats including pools, riffles, wood cover, spawning gravels, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would benefit spawning for Chinook and steelhead; juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and adult holding and migration habitat for all salmonids.	Medium	Low. Large infrastructure, high cost, multiple landowners.	\$\$\$

Table 4. Specific actions identified for the Upper Cowlitz River Valley – Randle LU. See maps for action locations.

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
38	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	115.4	116.0	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate. Undeveloped. A few owners.	\$
39	UCR	Strategic	Enhance floodplain forest conditions, floodplain inundation, and side-channel connectivity to the mainstem in this heavily agriculturally developed area with many private parcels within the CMZ hazard zone (much of it within the high hazard zone). Achieve this via select relocation of structures and other features (e.g. roadways) out of flood hazard zone, removal of fill, reforestation, and reconnection of former side-channels. Potential opportunity for re-connection of long side channel(s) that reconnect to mainstem near RM 113. Action considered strategic because the structures/development/ag use need to be addressed prior to further action.	RR	114.0	115.7	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes and side-channel connectivity would improve habitats including channel types, wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat for all species over the long-term.	Medium	Low. Multiple private parcels, active agriculture, and rural residential development.	\$\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
40	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian and floodplain functions.	RL	115.3	115.5	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	Moderate. Mainly one large owner. Currently in agricultural use.	\$
41	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	114.8	115.3	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate-to-high. Undeveloped. Two owners. Timberland.	\$
42	UCR	Enhance and Create	Enhance instream cover and complexity, particularly channel margin habitat.	Main channel	114.5	115.3	Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing cover and complexity is expected to support juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for steelhead, chinook, and coho.	Short	Moderate-to-high. Completely within stream corridor but access may be challenging.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
43	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RR	113.0	114.8	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Low. Many individual owners. Some sparse development, structures, and dirt roads.	\$\$\$
44	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Enhance connectivity of mainstem with large side channel (former mainstem channel) and floodplain wetland complex. May require large jams in mainstem to raise water elevations and divert flows, as well as select excavation in side channel. Likely would require excavation of channel to connect mainstem or side channel to large wetland complex to the southeast. Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities throughout.	RL	111.0	114.5	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing activation of the side channel would benefit spawning and rearing for steelhead and coho, and possibly chinook, depending on the flow in the side channel. Providing fish access to the wetland complex would primarily benefit coho juvenile rearing but would also provide flood refuge habitat for juveniles of steelhead and chinook.	Short	Moderate-to-High. Side channel alignment is public land. Adjacent lands mainly a few large owners. May require aggressive large wood jams and extensive excavation to make connections.	\$\$\$
45	UCR	Strategic	Enhance mainstem habitat as well as floodplain inundation and channel migration by modifying (e.g. removing / setting back) levees and armoring, rural development, and possibly modification of Highway 20. Would likely require relocation of infrastructure and features out of flood hazard zone. Action considered strategic because the structures/ development need to be addressed prior to further action.	RR	122.6	113.0	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing main channel, floodplain, and CMZ processes would improve habitats including channel types (e.g. quality pools and riffles), wood cover, and margin complexity. These improvements would benefit juvenile (i.e. rearing, migration) and adult (holding, spawning) life stages for Chinook, steelhead, and coho.	Short-to-Medium	Low. Existing house adjacent to channel and numerous small parcels. Highway 12 also at risk and would require relocation and/or protection.	\$\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
46	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	111.5	113.4	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	High. Undeveloped. Mainly one large owner. Somewhat isolated parcel on island created by flood channel.	\$
47	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian and floodplain functions.	RL	115.3	115.5	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	Moderate. Mainly one large owner. Currently in agricultural use.	\$
48	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RR	110.4	112.6	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate-to-low. Undeveloped, but many small parcels.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
49	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Reconnect mainstem side channels through placement of large wood jams and select excavation. Reforest cleared areas of riparian and floodplain forest.	Chan	111.0	112.6	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing activation of side channels would benefit spawning and rearing for steelhead and coho, and possibly chinook, depending on the flow in the side channels.	Short for side channel activation, Long for riparian growth	Moderate-to-high. Most of the site is one large owner. May require aggressive large wood jams and extensive excavation to make connections.	\$\$\$
50	UCR	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation, channel migration, and side-channel connectivity in this moderately developed area within CMZ hazard zone. Achieve this via select relocation of structures and other features (e.g. roadways) out of flood hazard zone, removal of fill, and reconnection of former side-channels. Great opportunity for re-connection of long side channels (former mainstem channel scars) at the upstream and downstream ends. Action considered strategic because the structures/ development need to be addressed prior to further action.	RL	107.2	111.0	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes and side-channel connectivity would improve habitats including channel types (e.g. quality pools and riffles), wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat for all species over the long-term.	Short-to-Medium	Low. Multiple private parcels and rural residential development.	\$\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
51	UCR	Strategic	Re-establish forested floodplain and enhance channel margin habitat, floodplain inundation, and channel migration processes. Action considered strategic because the structures/ development need to be addressed prior to further action.	RR	109.3	110.7	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing main channel, floodplain, and CMZ processes would improve habitats including channel types (e.g. quality pools and riffles), wood cover, and margin complexity. These improvements would benefit juvenile (i.e. rearing, migration) and adult (holding, spawning) life stages for Chinook, steelhead, and coho.	Short	Moderate-to-high. Multiple private parcels but at severe risk of continued erosion. Erosion has already reached the “moderate” hazard zone from the 2008 CMZ study.	\$\$
52	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RR	109.0	112.0	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate-to-low. Undeveloped, but many small parcels.	\$\$
53	UCR	Strategic	Enhance mainstem habitat as well as floodplain inundation and channel migration by modifying (e.g. removing / setting back) armoring (barbs), agricultural development, and possibly modification of Highway 20. Would likely require relocation of infrastructure and features out of flood hazard zone. Action considered strategic because the structures/ roadway need to be addressed prior to further action.	RR	108.2	108.9	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing main channel, floodplain, and CMZ processes would improve habitats including channel types (e.g. quality pools and riffles), wood cover, and margin complexity. These improvements would benefit juvenile (i.e. rearing, migration) and adult (holding, spawning) life stages for Chinook, steelhead, and coho.	Short	Low. Highway 12 and agricultural land would need to be modified. Multiple land owners.	\$\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
54	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	107.8	108.9	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate-to-high. Undeveloped. Two owners.	\$
55	UCR	Enhance and Create	Enhance instream cover and complexity, particularly channel margin habitat.	Main channel	103.4	108.2	Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing cover and complexity is expected to support juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for steelhead, chinook, and coho.	Short	Moderate-to-high. Completely within stream corridor but access may be challenging in some areas.	\$\$
56	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian and floodplain functions.	RL	115.3	115.5	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	Moderate. Mainly one large owner. Currently in agricultural use.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
57	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian and floodplain functions.	RL	115.3	115.5	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	Moderate. Mainly one large owner. Currently in agricultural use.	\$
58	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	114.8	115.3	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate-to-high. Undeveloped. One owner.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
59	UCR	Strategic	Enhance mainstem habitat as well as floodplain inundation and channel migration by modifying (e.g. removing / setting back) levees and armoring and industrial development. Would likely require relocation of infrastructure and features out of flood hazard zone. Action considered strategic because the structures/ development need to be addressed prior to further action.	RR	105.5	106.0	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing main channel, floodplain, and CMZ processes would improve habitats including channel types (e.g. quality pools and riffles), wood cover, and margin complexity. These improvements would benefit juvenile (i.e. rearing, migration) and adult (holding, spawning) life stages for Chinook, steelhead, and coho. Tributary fan dynamics in lower Silver Creek would also be improved, improving long-term habitat primarily for coho and steelhead using lower Silver Creek.	Short	Low. Levee and armoring protect log yard at mill.	\$\$\$
60	UCR	Enhance and Create	Enhance instream complexity, off-channel availability, and riparian conditions in lower Surrey Creek.	RR	106	106	Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Instream and off-channel habitat enhancements would be expected to primarily benefit coho spawning and rearing (possibly steelhead).	Short	High. One owner.	\$
61	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	104.3	105.6	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate. Some rural residential development. Several owners.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
62	UCR	Strategic	Enhance floodplain inundation, channel migration, and instream habitat along lower Silver Creek and its alluvial fan by modifying (e.g. removing / setting back) levees and armoring, development, and roadways located within historical floodplain/CMZ and flood hazard zone. Address incision caused by extensive levee system. May provide secondary benefits of flood hazard reduction to communities. Action considered strategic because the structures/ development need to be addressed prior to further action.	RR	104.9	105.6	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Addressing levee confinement would help to change straightened and confined high energy and low complexity reach into a meandering stream with pool-riffle and step-pool habitats that would support spawning and rearing of steelhead and coho and possibly chinook.	Short	Low. Heavily developed area protected by existing levees. Highway 12 crosses in this area and the lumber mill lies adjacent to the stream.	\$\$\$
63	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian and floodplain functions.	RL	104.8	104.9	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	Moderate. One owner. Currently in ag/rural residential use.	\$
64	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian and floodplain functions.	RL	115.3	115.5	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	Moderate. Mainly one large owner. Currently in agricultural use.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
65	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RR	103.3	104.7	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	Moderate. Undeveloped. Mainly one large owner, with a couple smaller ones. Surrounded by active ag land.	\$\$
66	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	103.2	104.2	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	High. Undeveloped. Mainly one large owner plus smaller bits of multiple owners; plus some State land. Some may be isolated due to old channel scar.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
67	UCR	Strategic	Improve floodplain forest conditions, enhance in-channel habitat conditions, and reduce floodplain, CMZ, and side channel disconnection created by Randle Bridge, southern bridge approach fill, upstream levees and armoring, residential development, agriculture, Highway 12, and Highway 131. Action considered strategic because numerous infrastructure modifications or relocations would need to be addressed prior to further action.	RL and RR	101.5	103.4	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Improving floodplain forests, instream habitat, and restoring floodplain, CMZ, and side-channel connectivity would improve habitats including pools, riffles, wood cover, spawning gravels, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would benefit spawning for Chinook and steelhead; juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and adult holding and migration habitat for all salmonids.	Short	Low. Large and widespread infrastructure challenges, high cost, multiple landowner and community interests.	\$\$\$
68	UCR	Enhance and Create	Enhance instream cover and complexity, particularly channel margin habitat.	Main channel	100.4	102.5	Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing cover and complexity is expected to support juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for steelhead, chinook, and coho.	Short	High. Completely within stream corridor. Good access.	\$
69	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian and floodplain functions. Reconnect abandoned oxbows. Re-align and enhance ditched section of lower Peters Creek.	RR	115.3	115.5	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	Moderate. Mainly one large owner. Currently in agricultural use.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
70	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian and floodplain functions. Reconnect channel scars. Re-align Peters Creek.	RL	115.3	115.5	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	Moderate. Mainly one large owner. Currently in agricultural use.	\$
71	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	101.3	100.7	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	High. Undeveloped. One large owner. Somewhat isolated parcel on inside of sharp bend subject to cut-off.	\$
72	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Re-align/re-meander ditched section of Kiona Creek to reconnect to its floodplain. Enhance instream habitat complexity. Restore riparian and floodplain forests.	RR	~100.5	~100.5	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Restoring channel planform and floodplain connectivity would benefit spawning and rearing of steelhead and coho.	Short	High. Tacoma Power and one other agriculture owner. Undeveloped.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
73	UCR	Protect	Protect large, undeveloped wetland area adjacent to Kiona Creek.	RR	NA	NA	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Potential benefit to off-channel juvenile salmonid rearing, primarily for coho, but also for limited use by steelhead.	Short	High. Possibly challenging to develop due to wetlands. Needs review to see if there are existing protections that would conserve this area already. Partially owned by Tacoma Power.	\$
74	UCR	Enhance and Create	Enhance instream complexity, off-channel availability, and riparian conditions in lower Kiona Creek.	RR	~100.4	~100.4	Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Instream and off-channel habitat enhancements would be expected to primarily benefit coho and steelhead spawning and rearing.	Short	High. PUD and one other ag owner.	\$
75	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Reconnect mainstem side channels and alcove/backwater habitat through placement of large wood jams and select excavation.	Chan	99.3	100.3	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing activation of side channels and alcoves would benefit spawning and rearing for steelhead and coho, and possibly chinook, depending on the flow in the side channels.	Short	Moderate-to-high. Most is PUD land, other portion is made up of two owners.	\$\$\$
76	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian and floodplain functions.	RL	115.3	115.5	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	Moderate. Mainly one large owner. Currently in agricultural use.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
77	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Restore instream habitat complexity and ditched channel segments in lower Siler Creek and the north tributary to Gibbs Pond. Restore former mill ponds to wetlands connected to Siler Creek. Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities throughout.	RL	~100	~100	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Restoring channel planform and floodplain/wetland connectivity would benefit spawning and rearing of steelhead and coho.	Short	High. Mostly Tacoma Power property.	\$\$
78	UCR	Enhance and Create	Enhance instream complexity, off-channel availability, and riparian conditions in lower Siler Creek.	RL	~99.8	~99.8	Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Instream and off-channel habitat enhancements would be expected to primarily benefit coho and steelhead spawning and rearing.	Short	High. One owner.	\$
79	UCR	Enhance and Create	Enhance instream cover and complexity, particularly channel margin habitat.	Main channel	97.8	99.3	Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Enhancing cover and complexity is expected to support juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for steelhead, chinook, and coho.	Short	High. Completely within stream corridor. Good access.	\$
80	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian and floodplain functions.	RL	115.3	115.5	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	Moderate. Mainly one large owner. Currently in agricultural use.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
81	UCR	Protect	Protect undeveloped parcels within flood hazard zone via willing agreement, easement, or acquisition.	RL	98.5	99.1	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation;	Existing processes and habitat in this area, which includes future potential mainstem and side-channel habitat, are expected to support spawning, juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for Chinook, steelhead and coho.	Short	High. Undeveloped. One large owner.	\$
82	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian and floodplain woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian and floodplain functions.	RL	115.3	115.5	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	Moderate. Mainly one large owner. Currently in agricultural use.	\$
83	UCR	Reconnect and Restore	Reconnect lower Schooley Creek into its historical meandering alignment and out of the current ditched channel to enhance instream habitat and floodplain connectivity. Address upstream ditched section via channel realignment/re-meandering. Restore riparian and floodplain forests in the area of lower Schooley Creek.	RL	97.3	97.9	Removing barriers to channel migration processes and reconnecting the channel to floodplains and side channels where possible; and, Enhancing mainstem and tributary habitats.	Restoring channel planform and floodplain connectivity would benefit spawning and rearing of steelhead and coho.	Short	High. A few owners but one primary owner for most of the area. Undeveloped but in ag use.	\$

Table 5. Specific actions identified for the Upper Cowlitz River Valley – Scanewa LU. See maps for action locations.

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
84	UCS	Enhance and Create	Enhance instream cover and complexity, particularly channel margin habitat.	Main channel	90.7	97.8	Creating margin habitat.	Enhancing cover and complexity is expected to support juvenile rearing, migration, and adult holding habitat for steelhead, chinook, and coho. Benefits affected by impoundment.	Short	Moderate-to-high. Completely within stream corridor but access limited in many places.	\$\$
85	UCS	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian functions.	RR	96.4	97.8	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation; and, Creating margin habitat.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	High. Two owners, but some forested buffer already exists.	\$
86	UCS	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian functions.	RL	97.4	97.8	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation; and, Creating margin habitat.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	High. PUD and one other owner. Good access.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
87	UCS	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian functions.	RR	95.5	95.8	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation; and, Creating margin habitat.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	Moderate. Multiple owners.	\$\$
88	UCS	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian functions.	RL	94.8	95.2	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation; and, Creating margin habitat.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	High. PUD land and one other owner.	\$
89	UCS	Reconnect and Restore	Re-establish native riparian woody vegetation communities to support long-term riparian functions.	RL	94.0	94.3	Protecting and/or restoring riparian forests and vegetation; and, Creating margin habitat.	Re-establishing vegetation conditions will have long-term benefits to all species and life-stages of native aquatic biota via direct and indirect benefits including stream shading/temperature, large wood recruitment, hydraulic roughness, and nutrient exchange.	Long	High. PUD land and one other owner.	\$

Table 6. Specific actions identified for the Cispus River Valley – Upper LU. See maps for action locations.

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
90	CRU	Enhance and Create	Enhance habitat complexity and local sediment storage by placing large key pieces of wood to form large log jams.	Both	29.2	30.7	Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead.	Enhancing complexity and riparian conditions will create immediate cover and complexity habitat and long-term riparian functions including wood recruitment, shade, and natural bank stability. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho. Possible benefits to steelhead and coho spawning.	Short-to-Medium	Moderate to High. US Forest Service land but access is challenging. Helicopter work and/or felling large riparian trees.	\$
91	CRU	Strategic Actions	Reduce lateral confinement and floodplain disconnection associated with FS 23 Road crossing and approach fills. Action is considered strategic because the road requires action prior to implementing restoration measures.	Both	29.0	29.2	Increasing connectivity to the floodplain; Initiating the formation of stable islands; and, Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead.	Reducing anthropogenic lateral confinement would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Medium	Low. Would require bridge removal or relocation.	\$\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
92	CRU	Reconnect and Restore	Restore sediment storage and lateral connectivity to re-create anastomosing channel pattern including forested island complexes & multiple thread channels.	Both	27.4	29.0	Increasing connectivity to the floodplain; Initiating the formation of stable islands; and, Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead.	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short-to-Long	High. No infrastructure at risk. Access can be obtained from 23 Road. Can be accomplished via creation of large log jams to promote sediment deposition and forested island creation.	\$\$
93	CRU	Enhance and Create	Enhance habitat complexity and local sediment storage by placing large key pieces of wood to form large log jams.	Both	24.6	27.4	Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead.	Enhancing complexity and riparian conditions will create immediate cover and complexity habitat and long-term riparian functions including wood recruitment, shade, and natural bank stability. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho. Possible benefits to steelhead and coho spawning.	Short-to-Medium	Moderate to High. US Forest Service land but access is challenging. Helicopter work and/or felling large riparian trees.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
94	CRU	Reconnect and Restore	Restore sediment storage and lateral connectivity to re-create anastomosing channel pattern including forested island complexes & multiple thread channels.	Both	21.2	24.6	Increasing connectivity to the floodplain; Initiating the formation of stable islands; and, Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead.	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short-to-Long	Moderate. No infrastructure at risk. But access is likely challenging. Could be accomplished via creation of large log jams to promote sediment deposition and forested island creation.	\$\$

Table 7. Specific actions identified for the Cispus River Valley – Mid-Valley LU. See maps for action locations.

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
95	CRMV	Reconnect and Restore	Address effects of recently-constructed push-up levee on keeping channel along riprap highway embankment and effects on lateral channel dynamics. Address impaired margin habitat from riprap embankment. Potentially use large wood jams to move channel away from highway.	RL	21.0	21.2	Remove barriers to channel migration processes and floodplain connectivity	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short	Moderate-to-High. Removal of push-up berm is straightforward. Addressing channel location and margin habitat is more challenging due to proximity of highway. Forest Service land.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
96	CRMV	Reconnect and Restore	Address channelization of lower NF Cispus on its fan where push-up berms confine and straighten the channel. Channel modification and relocation may be necessary to restore active channel processes and sediment storage to larger portion of historical fan.	RL. Both sides of NF Cispus	19.7	20.6	Remove barriers to channel migration processes and floodplain connectivity	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short-to-Medium	High. Push up berms appear to be no longer protecting anything. Forest Service land.	\$\$
97	CRMV	Strategic Actions	Reduce floodplain and CMZ disconnection caused by abandoned and failing riprap bank, spur road, and the FS 23 Road. Consider set-back of 23 Road to hillslope toe to facilitate CMZ restoration. Action is considered strategic because the road requires action prior to implementing restoration measures.	RL	18.6	19.7	Remove barriers to channel migration processes and floodplain connectivity	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short-to-Medium	Moderate-to-High. Removal of riprap at channel margin is highly feasible. It is currently failing in places already. Relocation of 23 Road is more challenging. Forest Service land.	\$\$
98	CRMV	Strategic Actions	Reduce floodplain and CMZ disconnection, and channel incision caused by Cispus Road fill and bridge over Cispus River. Consider perforations through road fill or larger-scale bridge removal and removal/relocation of Cispus Road and FS 2801 Road where they cross the floodplain. Could be combined with large-scale modifications to Yellowjacket Creek Bridge. Action is considered strategic because the road requires action prior to implementing restoration measures.	Both	17.3	18.0	Remove barriers to channel migration processes and floodplain connectivity	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Long	Low. Large-scale efforts here have low feasibility due to requirements to address access issues. Will require road program planning and modification over many years with stakeholders. Forest Service land.	\$\$\$

Table 8. Specific actions identified for the Cispus River – Tower Rock LU. See maps for action locations.

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
99	CRTR	Strategic Actions	Address floodplain and CMZ disconnection and related incision in Yellowjacket Creek due to Cispus Road approach fills and bridge crossing over Yellowjacket Creek. Restore island braided system. Restore sediment storage and lateral connectivity to re-create anastomosing channel pattern including forested island complexes & multiple thread channels on upper portion of Yellowjacket Creek fan.	Both sides of Yellowjacket Creek	Yellowjacket Cr RM 0.5	Yellowjacket Cr RM 1.0	Restoring connectivity to the floodplain throughout the LU Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes Initiating the formation of stable islands Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Medium-to-long	Low. Would require removing or relocating the bridge crossing. Forest Service land.	\$\$\$
100	CRTR	Reconnect and Restore	Restore sediment storage and lateral connectivity to re-create anastomosing channel pattern including forested island complexes & multiple thread channels on Yellowjacket Creek fan.	Both sides of Yellowjacket Creek	Yellowjacket Cr RM 0	Yellowjacket Cr RM 0.5	Restoring connectivity to the floodplain throughout the LU Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes Initiating the formation of stable islands Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short-to-Long	High. Can be accomplished via creation of large log jams to promote sediment deposition and forested island creation.	\$\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
101	CRTR	Reconnect and Restore	Restore sediment storage and lateral connectivity to re-create anastomosing channel pattern including forested island complexes & multiple thread channels.	Both	14.9	17.3	Restoring connectivity to the floodplain throughout the LU Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes Initiating the formation of stable islands Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short-to-Long	High-to-Moderate. Mostly private property but not much nearby infrastructure.	\$\$
102	CRTR	Strategic Actions	Address floodplain and CMZ disconnection from road, levee, campground, floodplain fill. Address flood hazard to campground and private property.	RL	15.3	15.6	Restoring connectivity to the floodplain throughout the LU Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short-to-Medium	Low. Private and public infrastructure would need to be removed/relocated.	\$\$\$


Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
103	CRTR	Strategic Actions	Address floodplain and CMZ disconnection from levees, development, and floodplain fill. Address flood hazard.	RL	13.5	15.3	Restoring connectivity to the floodplain throughout the LU Removing impediments to channel migration zone processes Initiating the formation of stable islands Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing floodplain and CMZ processes would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short-to-Medium	Low. Primarily a privately developed neighborhood in historical floodplain.	\$\$\$
104	CRTR	Reconnect and Restore	Enhance connectivity of abandoned side-channel. Levee and riprap removal associated with CRTR-5 could facilitate the reconnection of a flow-through side-channel.	RL	13.5	15.1	Restoring connectivity to the floodplain Enhancing spawning and rearing habitats for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing side-channel connectivity and habitat would improve juvenile rearing habitat for steelhead and coho and possibly spawning habitat for coho.	Short	Moderate-to-High. Lower portion is Forest Service land. No infrastructure within channel alignment. Reconnection of upper portion is more challenging and there are multiple private residences.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
105	CRL	Enhance and Create	Enhance habitat complexity and local sediment storage by placing large key pieces of wood to form large log jams.	Both	8.0	13.5	Enhancing rearing and holding habitats in the mainstem and tributaries for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing complexity and riparian conditions will create immediate cover and complexity habitat and long-term riparian functions including wood recruitment, shade, and natural bank stability. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho. Possible benefits to steelhead and coho spawning.	Short-to-Medium	Moderate to High. US Forest Service land but access is challenging. Helicopter work and/or felling large riparian trees.	\$
106	CRL	Reconnect and Restore	Address entrenchment, push-up levees, and Forest Road 76 and bridge on lower Iron Creek. Restore sediment storage and lateral channel migration processes to fan. Restore riparian vegetation.	RL. Both sides of lower Iron Cr.	Iron Cr RM 0	Iron Cr RM 0.7	Enhance sediment storage and lateral connectivity Enhancing rearing and holding habitats in the mainstem and tributaries for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing sediment storage and lateral connectivity where possible would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short-to-Medium	Moderate-to-High. Work downstream of bridge is highly feasible (no infrastructure, good access). Addressing road and bridge effects is more challenging. All Forest Service land.	\$\$


Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
107	CRL	Reconnect and Restore	Restore sediment storage and lateral connectivity to re-create anastomosing channel pattern including forested island complexes & multiple thread channels.	Both	7.5	8.0	Enhance sediment storage and lateral connectivity Enhancing rearing and holding habitats in the mainstem and tributaries for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing sediment storage and lateral connectivity where possible would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short-to-Long	High. No nearby infrastructure. Forest Service land.	\$\$
108	CRL	Enhance and Create	Enhance habitat complexity and local sediment storage by placing large key pieces of wood to form large log jams.	Both	6.3	7.5	Enhancing rearing and holding habitats in the mainstem and tributaries for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing complexity and riparian conditions will create immediate cover and complexity habitat and long-term riparian functions including wood recruitment, shade, and natural bank stability. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho. Possible benefits to steelhead and coho spawning.	Short-to-Medium	High. Private timber land.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
109	CRL	Reconnect and Restore	Restore sediment storage and lateral connectivity to re-create anastomosing channel pattern including forested island complexes & multiple thread channels.	Both	5.6	6.3	Enhance sediment storage and lateral connectivity Enhancing rearing and holding habitats in the mainstem and tributaries for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing sediment storage and lateral connectivity where possible would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short-to-Long	High. Single private timber land owner.	\$\$
110	CRL	Enhance and Create	Enhance habitat complexity and local sediment storage by placing large key pieces of wood to form large log jams.	RR. Both sides of Lower Woods Creek	Woods Cr RM 0	Woods Cr RM 1.0	Enhancing rearing and holding habitats in the mainstem and tributaries for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing complexity and riparian conditions will create immediate cover and complexity habitat and long-term riparian functions including wood recruitment, shade, and natural bank stability. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho. Possible benefits to steelhead and coho spawning.	Short-to-Medium	High. Good access. Single private timber land owner.	\$

Action No.	LU Code	Primary Action Type	Approach	Side	Start (RM)	End (RM)	Strategy Objectives Affected	Primary Fish Effects	Time to Benefit Accrual	Feasibility Considerations	Cost Estimate
111	CRL	Enhance and Create	Enhance habitat complexity and local sediment storage by placing large key pieces of wood to form large log jams.	Both	2.4	5.6	Enhancing rearing and holding habitats in the mainstem and tributaries for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing complexity and riparian conditions will create immediate cover and complexity habitat and long-term riparian functions including wood recruitment, shade, and natural bank stability. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho. Possible benefits to steelhead and coho spawning.	Short-to-Medium	High. Private timber land.	\$
112	CRL	Reconnect and Restore	Restore sediment storage and lateral connectivity to re-create anastomosing channel pattern including forested island complexes & multiple thread channels.	Both	1.1	2.4	Enhance sediment storage and lateral connectivity Enhancing rearing and holding habitats in the mainstem and tributaries for all targeted species: spring Chinook, Coho, and Winter Steelhead	Enhancing sediment storage and lateral connectivity where possible would improve habitats including wood cover, margin complexity, and off-channel refugia. These improvements would primarily benefit juvenile rearing for Chinook, steelhead, and coho; and could also enhance spawning habitat over the long-term.	Short-to-Long	High. Single private timber land owner and PUD land, including PUD regulations on large wood removal.	\$\$



\\WALL\Terra\Client Files\U-Z\Wind River\Beaver Reach\Restoration_180233\GIS\MXD





*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets

*Imagery from Bing Maps

0 1,000 2,000 4,000 Feet

Action Type	
	Protect
	Strategic Actions
	Reconnect and Restore
	Enhance and Create

	Landscape Unit Boundary
	Levee and/or Armoring
	Parcel Boundaries



	Roads
	River Miles
	NHD Streams

Habitat Action Areas

Landscape Unit(s):
Upper Cowlitz - Packwood
Upper Cowlitz - Muddy Fork

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development

\\WALL\TerraClient\Files\U-Z\WindRiver\BeaverReach\Restoration_180233\GIS\MXD



*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets

*Imagery from Bing Maps

0 1,000 2,000 4,000 Feet

Action Type	
	Protect
	Strategic Actions
	Reconnect and Restore
	Enhance and Create


	Landscape Unit Boundary
	Parcel Boundaries
	Roads
	Levee and/or Armoring
	NHD Streams
	River Miles

Habitat Action Areas



Landscape Unit(s):
Upper Cowlitz - Mid-Valley
Upper Cowlitz - Packwood
Upper Cowlitz - Muddy Fork

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development

Page 2 of 12





\\WALL\TerraClientFiles\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReach\Restoration_180223\GIS\MXD



Habitat Action Areas

Landscape Unit(s):
Upper Cowlitz - Mid-Valley

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development



Scale: 0, 1,000, 2,000, 4,000 Feet

North Arrow: N

*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets

*Imagery from Bing Maps



Action Type

 Protect	 Landscape Unit Boundary	 Roads
 Strategic Actions	 Levee and/or Armoring	 River Miles
 Reconnect and Restore	 Parcel Boundaries	 NHD Streams
 Enhance and Create		

Habitat Action Areas

Landscape Unit(s):
 Upper Cowlitz - Randle
 Upper Cowlitz - Mid-Valley

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development



N

0 1,000 2,000 4,000 Feet

*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets

*Imagery from Bing Maps


Action Type

 Protect	 Landscape Unit Boundary	 Roads
 Strategic Actions	 Levee and/or Armoring	 River Miles
 Reconnect and Restore	 Parcel Boundaries	 NHD Streams
 Enhance and Create		


Habitat Action Areas

Landscape Unit(s):
Upper Cowlitz - Randle

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development



\\WALL\TerraClientFiles\U-Z\WindRiverBeaverReachRestoration_180223\GIS\MXD





*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets

*Imagery from Bing Maps

0 1,000 2,000 4,000 Feet

Action Type

 Protect	 Landscape Unit Boundary	 Roads
 Strategic Actions	 Levee and/or Armoring	 River Miles
 Reconnect and Restore	 Parcel Boundaries	 NHD Streams
 Enhance and Create		

Habitat Action Areas



Landscape Unit(s):

Upper Cowlitz - Scanewa

Upper Cowlitz - Randle

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development

Page 6 of 12



*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets

*Imagery from Bing Maps

0 1,000 2,000 4,000 Feet

Action Type

- Protect
- Strategic Actions
- Reconnect and Restore
- Enhance and Create



- Landscape Unit Boundary
- Levee and/or Armoring
- Parcel Boundaries
- Roads
- River Miles
- NHD Streams

Habitat Action Areas

Landscape Unit(s):
Cispus - Upper

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development

Page 8 of 12



Scale: 0 1,000 2,000 4,000 Feet

North Arrow: ↑

*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets

*Imagery from Bing Maps



Action Type

 Protect	 Landscape Unit Boundary	 Roads
 Strategic Actions	 Levee and/or Armoring	 River Miles
 Reconnect and Restore	 Parcel Boundaries	 NHD Streams
 Enhance and Create		

Habitat Action Areas

Landscape Unit(s):
Cispus - Mid-Valley
Cispus - Upper

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development



N

0 1,000 2,000 4,000 Feet

*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets

*Imagery from Bing Maps

Action Type


Protect	Landscape Unit Boundary	Roads
Strategic Actions	Levee and/or Armoring	River Miles
Reconnect and Restore	Parcel Boundaries	NHD Streams
Enhance and Create		

Habitat Action Areas


Landscape Unit(s):

Cispus - Lower
Cispus - Tower Rock
Cispus - Mid-Valley

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development



\\WALLY\Terra\Client\Files\U-Z\WindRiver\BeaverReach\Restoration_180233\GIS\MXD



N

0 1,000 2,000 4,000 Feet

*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets

*Imagery from Bing Maps

Action Type	
	Protect
	Strategic Actions
	Reconnect and Restore
	Enhance and Create

	Landscape Unit Boundary
	Levee and/or Armoring
	Parcel Boundaries


	Roads
	River Miles
	NHD Streams

Habitat Action Areas



Landscape Unit(s):
Cispus - Lower

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development


Page 11 of 12



\\WALL\Terra\Client Files\U-Z\Wind River\Beaver Reach\Restoration_180233\GIS\MXD



*Underlying hillshade calculated from multiple LiDAR datasets
 *Imagery from Bing Maps



Habitat Action Areas

Landscape Unit(s):
Cispus - Lower

Upper Cowlitz-Cispus
Community-based Habitat
Strategy Development

Page 12 of 12